・ロト ・ 得 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ クタマ

Ghost-gluon coupling, power corrections and $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ from twisted-mass QCD at $N_f = 2$

Mario Gravina Laboratoire de Physique Théorique CNRS and Universite Paris-Sud, Orsay



Villasimius, 15th june 2010

In collaboration with: B. Blossier, Ph. Boucaud, O. Pène (LPT, Orsay) F. De soto (Univ. Pablo de Olavide, Sevilla), V. Morenas (Univ. Blaise Pascal, Aubière)

J. Rodríguez-Quintero (Univ. de Huelva, Huelva)

Ghost-gluon vertex

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\nu}^{abc}(-q,k;q-k) = - \underbrace{\underset{k}{\bullet}}_{k} \underbrace{ \bullet }_{q} \underbrace{ - \underset{q}{\bullet}}_{q} = ig_{0}f^{abc}\left(q_{\nu}H_{1}(q,k) + (q-k)_{\nu}H_{2}(q,k)\right)$$

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{R} = \widetilde{Z}_{1}\Gamma$$

Strong coupling

$$g_{R}(\mu^{2}) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} Z_{g}^{-1}(\mu^{2}, \Lambda^{2})g_{0}(\Lambda^{2}) = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{Z_{3}^{1/2}(\mu^{2}, \Lambda^{2})\widetilde{Z}_{3}(\mu^{2}, \Lambda^{2})}{\widetilde{Z}_{1}(\mu^{2}, \Lambda^{2})} g_{0}(\Lambda^{2})$$

Taylor scheme: zero incoming ghost momentum¹

$$\widetilde{Z}_1(\mu^2,\Lambda^2) \equiv 1$$

$$\alpha_T(\mu^2) \equiv \frac{g_T^2(\mu^2)}{4\pi} = \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \frac{g_0^2(\Lambda^2)}{4\pi} Z_3(\mu^2, \Lambda^2) \widetilde{Z}_3^2(\mu^2, \Lambda^2)$$

¹J. C. Taylor, Nuclear Physics B33 (1971) 436

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

Lattice:
$$\frac{1}{L^2} \ll p^2 \ll \frac{1}{a^2}$$

Propagators in Landau gauge

$$\begin{pmatrix} G^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu}^{ab} (p^2, \Lambda) &= \frac{G(p^2, \Lambda)}{p^2} \,\delta_{ab} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_{\mu}p_{\nu}}{p^2} \right)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} F^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}^{a,b} (p^2, \Lambda) &= -\delta_{ab} \, \frac{F(p^2, \Lambda)}{p^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_R(p^2,\mu^2) &= \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} Z_3^{-1}(\mu^2,\Lambda) \ G(p^2,\Lambda) \\ F_R(p^2,\mu^2) &= \lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \widetilde{Z}_3^{-1}(\mu^2,\Lambda) \ F(p^2,\Lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Non-perturbative renormalization: MOM scheme

$$G_R(\mu^2,\mu^2) = F_R(\mu^2,\mu^2) = 1$$

Strategy

Matching lattice-perturbation theory

Ŧ

æ

 a^2p^2

ヘロト 人間 とく ヨン くきとう

4-loops perturbation theory³: $p \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$

$$\begin{split} \alpha_T(\mu^2) &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 t} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0^2} \frac{\log(t)}{t} + \frac{\beta_1^2}{\beta_0^4} \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\left(\log(t) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_2 \beta_0}{\beta_1^2} - \frac{5}{4} \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(\beta_0 t)^4} \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}_3}{2\beta_0} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \right)^3 \left(-2\log^3(t) + 5\log^2(t) + \left(4 - 6\frac{\tilde{\beta}_2 \beta_0}{\beta_1^2} \right) \log(t) - 1 \right) \right) \,, t = \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_T^2} \end{split}$$

$$\beta_T(\alpha_T) = \frac{d\alpha_T}{d \ln \mu^2} = -4\pi \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\beta}_i \left(\frac{\alpha_T}{4\pi}\right)^{i+2}, \quad \frac{\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{\Lambda_T} = e^{-\frac{c_1}{2\beta_0}} = e^{-\frac{507 - 40N_f}{792 - 48N_f}} = 0.541449$$
Quenched QCD: $N_f = 0^2$
Matching lattice-perturbation theory
$$\Lambda = 0^{2\beta_0} \left(\frac{\alpha_T}{4\pi}\right)^{i+2}, \quad \frac{\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{\alpha_T} = 0^{2\beta_0} \left(\frac{\alpha_T}{4\pi}\right)^{i+2\beta_0}, \quad \frac{\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{\alpha_T} = 0^{2\beta_0} \left(\frac{\alpha_T}{$$

² Ph. Boucaud *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 014508
 ³ K. G. Chetyrkin, Nucl. Phys. B **710** (2005) 499

・ロト・西・・田・・田・・日・ ひゃぐ

OPE power corrections

$$(F^{(2)})^{ab}(q^2) = (F^{(2)}_{pert})^{ab}(q^2) + w^{ab} \frac{\langle A^2 \rangle}{4(N_C^2 - 1)} + \dots, w^{ab} = 2 \times \dots$$

$$(G^{(2)})^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q^2) = (G^{(2)}_{pert})^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(q^2) + w^{ab}_{\mu\nu} \frac{\langle A^2 \rangle}{4(N_C^2 - 1)} + \dots, w^{ab}_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$F_{R}(q^{2}, \mu^{2}) = F_{R, \text{pert}}(q^{2}, \mu^{2}) \left(1 + \frac{3}{q^{2}} \frac{g_{R}^{2} \langle A^{2} \rangle_{R, \mu^{2}}}{4(N_{C}^{2} - 1)}\right), \quad G_{R}(q^{2}, \mu^{2}) = G_{R, \text{pert}}(q^{2}, \mu^{2}) \left(1 + \frac{3}{q^{2}} \frac{g_{R}^{2} \langle A^{2} \rangle_{R, \mu^{2}}}{4(N_{C}^{2} - 1)}\right)$$

Leading logarithm ⁴:
$$\alpha_T(\mu^2) = \alpha_T^{\text{pert}}(\mu^2) \left(1 + \frac{9}{\mu^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_T^{\text{pert}}(\mu^2)}{\alpha_T^{\text{pert}}(q_0^2)}\right)^{1 - \gamma_0^{A^2}/\beta_0} \frac{g_T^2(q_0^2) \langle A^2 \rangle_{R,q_0^2}}{4(N_C^2 - 1)}\right)^{1 - \gamma_0^{A^2}/\beta_0} = 1 - \frac{105 - 8N_f}{132 - 8N_f} = \frac{9}{44 - \frac{8}{3}N_f}$$

Wilson coefficient is known at four-loop 5

⁴R. Wilson, Phys. Rev. **179** (1969) 1499

⁵K. G. Chetyrkin and A. Maier, arXiv:0911.0594 [hep-ph]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

4-loops perturbation theory⁷: $p \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$

$$\begin{split} \alpha_T(\mu^2) &= \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 t} \left(1 - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0^2} \frac{\log(t)}{t} + \frac{\beta_1^2}{\beta_0^4} \frac{1}{t^2} \left(\left(\log(t) - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{\tilde{\beta}_2 \beta_0}{\beta_1^2} - \frac{5}{4} \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(\beta_0 t)^4} \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}_3}{2\beta_0} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \right)^3 \left(-2\log^3(t) + 5\log^2(t) + \left(4 - 6\frac{\tilde{\beta}_2 \beta_0}{\beta_1^2} \right) \log(t) - 1 \right) \right) \,, t = \ln \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_T^2} \end{split}$$

$$\beta_{T}(\alpha_{T}) = \frac{d\alpha_{T}}{d\ln\mu^{2}} = -4\pi \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\beta}_{i} \left(\frac{\alpha_{T}}{4\pi}\right)^{i+2}, \quad \frac{\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{\Lambda_{T}} = e^{-\frac{c_{1}}{2\beta_{0}}} = e^{-\frac{507 - 40N_{f}}{792 - 48N_{f}}} = 0.541449$$
Quenched QCD: $N_{f} = 0^{6}$

$$Matching lattice-perturbation theory$$

$$\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{N_{f}=0} = 224^{+8}_{-5} \text{ Mev}, g_{T}^{2}\langle A^{2} \rangle = 5.1^{+0.7}_{-1.1} \text{ Gev}^{2}$$

$$a^{2}p^{2}$$

⁶ Ph. Boucaud *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 014508
 ⁷ K. G. Chetyrkin, Nucl. Phys. B **710** (2005) 499

European Twisted Mass Collaboration



 $S_{\rm tm}^{\rm F} = a^4 \sum_{x} \left\{ \bar{\chi}_x \left[D_{\rm W} + m_0 + i \gamma_5 \tau_3 \mu_q \right] \chi_x \right\}$



Gauge fields: tlSym action

$$S_{g} = \frac{\beta}{3} \sum_{x} \left(b_{0} \sum_{\substack{\mu,\nu=1\\1 \le \mu < \nu}}^{4} \{ 1 - \mathbb{R}e\mathrm{Tr}(U_{x,\mu,\nu}^{1\times 1}) \} + b_{1} \sum_{\substack{\mu,\nu=1\\\mu \neq \nu}}^{4} \{ 1 - \mathbb{R}e\mathrm{Tr}(U_{x,\mu,\nu}^{1\times 2}) \} \right)^{\mathsf{chord}}, \ \beta \equiv 6/g_{0}^{2}$$

$$(b_0 = 1 - 8b_1, b_1 = -1/12) +$$
 Maximal twist : $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$

$$V = 24^3 \times 48 \quad \beta = 3.9 \quad \mu = 0.004, \ 0.0064, \ 0.010$$
$$V = 32^3 \times 64 \quad \beta = 4.05 \quad \mu = 0.003, \ 0.006, \ 0.008, \ 0.012$$
$$\beta = 4.2 \quad \mu = 0.0065$$

Artefacts: $\mathcal{O}(a^2 \Lambda_{QCD}^2)$, $\underline{\mathcal{O}(a^2 p^2)}$, $\underline{\mathcal{O}(a^2 \mu^2)}$

Ghost and gluon on the lattice

Landau gauge

$$F_U[g] = \operatorname{Re}\left[\sum_{x}\sum_{\mu}\operatorname{Tr}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N}g(x)U_{\mu}(x)g^{\dagger}(x+\mu)\right)\right]$$

Gluon:

$$A_{\mu}(x+\hat{\mu}/2) = \frac{U_{\mu}(x) - U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x)}{2iag_0} - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{U_{\mu}(x) - U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x)}{2iag_0}\right)$$

$$(G^{(2)})_{\mu_1\mu_2}^{a_1a_2}(p) = \langle A^{a_1}_{\mu_1}(p) A^{a_2}_{\mu_2}(-p) \rangle$$

Ghost:

$$\widetilde{D}(U)\eta(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(U_{\mu}(x)\eta(x+\mu) - \eta(x)U_{\mu}(x) + \eta(x+\mu)U_{\mu}^{\dagger} - U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(x)\eta(x) \right)$$

O(4) breaking: H(4) discretization artefacts⁸

Orbit labeled by H(4)-invariants: $p^{[2n]} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} p_{\mu}^{2n}$, n = 1, 2, 3

Momentum on the lattice: $\tilde{p}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{a} \sin a p_{\mu}$, $p_{\mu} = \frac{2\pi n}{Na}$ $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$

$$a^{2}\tilde{p}^{2} \equiv \sum_{\mu=1}^{4} a^{2}\tilde{p}_{\mu}^{2} = a^{2}p^{2} + c_{1}a^{4}p^{[4]} + \dots = a^{2}p^{2}\left(1 + c_{1}a^{2}\frac{p^{[4]}}{p^{2}} + \dots\right)$$



⁸F. de Soto and C. Roiesnel, JHEP **0709** (2007) 007

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Quark mass artefacts



Triyng $\mathcal{O}(a^2 \mu_q^2)$ dependence

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{T}(a^{2}p^{2}, a^{2}\mu_{q}^{2}) = \frac{g_{0}^{2}(a^{2})}{4\pi} \widehat{G}(a^{2}p^{2}, a^{2}\mu_{q}^{2}) \widehat{F}^{2}(a^{2}p^{2}, a^{2}\mu_{q}^{2})$$

$$= \widehat{\alpha}_{T}(a^{2}p^{2}, 0) + \frac{\partial\widehat{\alpha}_{T}}{\partial(a^{2}\mu_{q}^{2})} \left(a^{2}p^{2}\right) a^{2}\mu_{q}^{2} + \cdots$$

Quark mass artefacts

$$\widehat{\alpha}_T(a^2p^2, a^2\mu_q^2) = \alpha_T(p^2) + R_0(a^2p^2) a^2\mu_q^2$$
$$R_0(a^2p^2) \equiv \frac{\partial\widehat{\alpha}_T}{\partial(a^2\mu_q^2)}$$

Example: $\beta = 4.05$





 $R_0 = -92(11), \quad p \ge p_{\min} \simeq 2.8 \text{ GeV} \quad (a(3.9)=0.0801(14) \text{ fm}^9)$

Requiring a plateau for $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}$ in the window $ap \ge p_{\min}$



⁹R. Baron *et al.* [ETM Collaboration], arXiv:0911.5061

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Global fit and calibration of lattice spacing

$$\chi^{2} \left(a(\beta_{0}) \Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}, c, \frac{a(\beta_{1})}{a(\beta_{0})}, \frac{a(\beta_{2})}{a(\beta_{0})} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{2} \sum_{i} \frac{\left(\Lambda_{i}(\beta_{j}) - \frac{a(\beta_{j})}{a(\beta_{0})} a(\beta_{0}) \Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}} \right)^{2}}{\delta^{2}(\Lambda_{i})}$$
Variables: $a(\beta_{0}) \Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}, c, \frac{a(\beta_{1})}{a(\beta_{0})}, \frac{a(\beta_{2})}{a(\beta_{0})}$

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha_{T} \\ \alpha_{T} \\ \alpha_{T$$

¹⁰R. Baron et al. [ETM Col laboration], arXiv:0911.5061

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三三 のへで

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ のへぐ

Systematics

Higher orders for Wilson coefficient...

| | One loop | Two loops | Three loops | Four loops |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| $\Lambda_{\overline{\rm MS}}a(3.9)$ | 0.134(7) | 0.136(7) | 0.137(7) | 0.138(7) |
| $g^2 \langle A^2 \rangle a^2(3.9)$ | 0.70(23) | 0.52(18) | 0.44(14) | 0.39(14) |

Three-loop versus four-loop perturbative coupling constant...

| | Four loops | Three loops |
|--|------------|-------------|
| a(3.9)/a(4.05) | 1.224(23) | 1.229(23) |
| a(3.9)/a(4.2) | 1.510(32) | 1.510(29) |
| a(4.05)/a(4.2) | 1.233(26) | 1.234(25) |
| $\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}a(3.9)$ | 0.134(7) | 0.125(6) |
| $g^2 \langle A^2 \rangle a^2(3.9)$ | 0.70(23) | 0.80(20) |

Higer orders in OPE...unstable!

$$\alpha_{T,P4}(\mu^2) = \alpha_T(\mu^2) + \frac{c_4}{\mu^4}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Conclusions

 $N_f = 2:$

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}} &= (330 \pm 23 \pm 22_{-33}) \,\text{MeV} \\ g^2(q_0^2) \langle A^2 \rangle_{q_0} &= \left(4.2 \pm 1.5 \pm 0.7^{+?} \right) \text{GeV}^2 \,, \ q_0 \sim 10 \,\text{GeV} \end{split}$$

 $N_f = 0$:

$$\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 224^{+8}_{-5} \text{Mev}$$
$$g_T^2 \langle A^2 \rangle = 5.1^{+0.7}_{-1.1} \text{Gev}^2$$

Outlooks

ETMC new configurations:

$$N_f = 4$$
$$N_f = 2 + 1 +$$

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - のへで

THANK YOU!