Chiral Aspects of Improved Staggered Fermions with 2 + 1-Flavors from the hotQCD Collaboration

Wolfgang Söldner¹ for the hotQCD Collaboration

¹HICforFAIR International Center at GSI Darmstadt, Germany

Lattice 2010, Sardinia, Italy

W. Söldner (hotQCD)

Chiral Aspects of Improved Staggered Ferm.

Lattice2010 1 / 15

A D N A B N A B N

The hotQCD Collaboration

Members of the hotQCD Collaboration

A. Bazavov	T. Bhattacharya	M. Cheng
N. Christ	C. DeTar	S. Gottlieb
R. Gupta	P. Hegde	U.M. Heller
C. Jung	F. Karsch	E. Laermann
L. Levkova	C. Miao	R. Mawhinney
S. Mukherjee	P. Petreczky	D. Renfrew
C. Schmidt	R. Soltz	WS
R. Sugar	D. Toussaint	W. Unger
P. Vranas		-

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Motivation

Motivation

Different results of the two groups: hotQCD \leftrightarrow Wuppertal-Budapest

 \Rightarrow calculations closer to the continuum are necessary, i.e. go to larger N_{τ} and/or improve action

NEW RESULTS

- asoptad action for $N_{\tau} = 12$ (larger N_{τ})
- HISQ action for $N_{\tau} = 8$ (more improved action)
- in addition: asqtad action for $N_{\tau} = 8$ for $m_l = 0.05 m_s$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Outline

Topics covered by this talk:

- Chiral properties of QCD thermodynamics
 - (Subtracted) chiral condensate
 - Connected and disconnected chiral susceptibility
- Renormalized Polyakov loop, strange quark number susceptibility
- *T_c*: continuum extrapolation
- QCD Equation of State

See also talk by A. Bazavov for the hotQCD collaboration

Taste symmetry and QCD thermodynamics with improved staggered fermions

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Numerical Details

Data Overview

- p4fat3: $N_{\tau} = 4, 6, 8$ $\frac{m_l}{m_s} = \dots, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05$
- asqtad: $N_{\tau} = 4, 6, 8, 12$ $\frac{m_l}{m_s} = \dots, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05$
- hisq: $N_{\tau} = 6,8$ $\frac{m_l}{m_s} = 0.2, 0.05$

Scale Setting and LCP

- Scale is set by *r*₁ = 0.318fm (0.3117fm), *r*₀ = 0.469fm
- Line of constant physics (LCP): set strange quark mass m_s to physical value, keep m_l/m_s fixed

Thanks to

supercomputing centers at Brookhaven (BNL), Jülich, Livermoore (LLNL)

W. Söldner (hotQCD)

(Subtracted) Chiral Condensate

Preliminary



Definition: $\Delta_{I,s} = \frac{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{I,\tau} - \frac{m_I}{\bar{m}_s} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{s,\tau}}{\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{I,0} - \frac{\bar{m}_I}{\bar{m}_s} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle_{s,0}}$ • combination: cancel add. renormalization • normalization by T = 0: cancel mult. renormalization

factors

Details

- sharp drop in $\Delta_{l,s}$ for $m_l = 0.1 m_s$ and $m_l = 0.05 m_s$
- $N_{\tau} = 12 \rightarrow$ shift towards lower temperature

(Subtracted) Chiral Condensate

Preliminary





- combination: cancel add. renormalization
- normalization by T = 0: cancel mult. renormalization factors

Details

- sharp drop in $\Delta_{l,s}$ for $m_l = 0.1 m_s$ and $m_l = 0.05 m_s$
- $N_{\tau} = 12 \rightarrow$ shift towards lower temperature

Disconnected Chiral Susceptibility

Preliminary



Asqtad action

(Full) chiral susceptibility

 $\chi_{m,I} \equiv \chi_{I,disc} + 2\chi_{I,con}$

- peak location related to singular part of partition function: $\chi_{m,l} \equiv \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} \ln Z$
- pseudo-critical temperature $T_{m,l}$ chiral limit $\rightarrow \chi_{m,l}(T_{m,l}) \sim m_l^{\frac{1}{\delta}-1}$

Disconn. chiral susceptibility $\chi_{I,disc}$

- dominated by singular part in partition function (in chiral limit)
- T_c determination

Disconnected Chiral Susceptibility

Preliminary



(Full) chiral susceptibility

 $\chi_{m,l} \equiv \chi_{l,disc} + 2\chi_{l,con}$

- peak location related to singular part of partition function: $\chi_{m,l} \equiv \frac{T}{V} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m_l^2} \ln Z$
- pseudo-critical temperature $T_{m,l}$ chiral limit $\rightarrow \chi_{m,l}(T_{m,l}) \sim m_l^{\frac{1}{\delta}-1}$

Disconn. chiral susceptibility $\chi_{I,disc}$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- dominated by singular part in partition function (in chiral limit)
- T_c determination

Disconnected Chiral Susceptibility

Disconnected Chiral Susceptibility

Preliminary



Expected behavior $\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$ (\rightarrow due to Goldstone modes)

T_c : continuum extrapolation I

Procedure

- find peak position in disconnected chiral susceptibility
- data set: Asqtad action

$$N_{\tau} = 6 \left(\frac{m_l}{m_s} = 0.2, 0.1 \right)$$

$$N_{\tau} = 8 \left(\frac{m_l}{m_s} = 0.2, 0.1, 0.05 \right)$$

$$N_{\tau} = 12 \left(\frac{m_l}{m_s} = 0.05 \right)$$

- different ansätze for fitting function of peak position, e.g. $\chi_{l,disc} = c_0 + c_2(T - T_p)^2 + c_3(T - T_p)^3$ $\chi_{l,disc} = c_0 + c_2(T - T_p) + c_3\sqrt{(T - T_p)^2 + c_4^2}$ $\rightarrow \text{asymmetric peak shape}$
 - \rightarrow systematic error

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

T_c: continuum extrapolation

T_c : continuum extrapolation II

Preliminary



Details

• Ansatz for mass and N_{τ} dependence: $T_c = a + b(\frac{m_l}{m_s})^d + c\frac{1}{N_{\tau}^2}$ \rightarrow using critical exponent $d \approx 1.08$ from O(N) model

• stable fit (when omitting $N_{\tau} = 4$ data)

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

⇒ continuum extrapolated T_c at physical mass parameter $\frac{m_l}{m_s} = \frac{1}{27}$: (preliminary) $T_c = 164 \pm 6$ MeV (stat. and syst.)

Connected Chiral Susceptibility

Preliminary



Details: $\chi_{I,con}$

- related to scalar, non-singlet screening mass
 → thermal properties of medium
- Note: U_A(1) becomes effectively restored at T > T_c(chiral)

A (1) > A (2) > A

 $\chi_{I,con}$ peak position at $T > T_c(chiral)$

$$\chi_{l,con} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \sum_{x} \left\langle D_l^{-1}(x,0) D_l^{-1}(0,x) \right\rangle$$

Renormalized Polyakov Loop

Preliminary



$L_{ren}(\vec{x}) = Z_r \; \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{Tr} \prod_{x_0=1}^{N_\tau} U_0(x_0, \vec{x})$

Details: Polyakov loop

- indicator of the deconfinement transition
- rapid rise in the transition region
 - \rightarrow screening of color charges
- good agreement of different actions with different N_τ

Strange Quark Number Susceptibility

Preliminary



$$\frac{\chi_s}{T^2} \equiv \frac{1}{VT^3} \frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial (\mu_s/T)^2}$$

Details: $\chi_s(T)$

- indicator of the deconfinement transition
- rapid rise in the transition region
 → liberation of degrees of freedom
- dependence on the action and N_τ visible
- chiral symmetry restoration and deconfinement appear at about the same temperature

Equation of State

Preliminary



QCD Lattice EoS

- left panel: data for $\frac{m_l}{m_s} = 0.1 \Rightarrow$ smooth parameterization available
- right panel: EoS at low T
 - \Rightarrow qualitative agreement, still more work needs to be done!

Summary

New Data

- asquad action for $N_{\tau} = 12$ (larger N_{τ})
- HISQ action for $N_{\tau} = 8$ (more improved action)
- in addition: asquad action for $N_{\tau} = 8$ for $m_l = 0.05 m_s$

Chiral Aspects

- *T_c* continuum extrapolation from disconnected chiral susceptibility
 → at physical point (preliminary) *T_c* = 164 ± 6 MeV (stat. and syst.)
- Goldstone modes: $\sim 1/\sqrt{m}$ in disconnected chiral susceptibility
- connected chiral susceptibility: peak position at $T > T_c(chiral)$
- chiral symmetry restoration and deconfinement appear at about the same temperature

Equation of State: Updated with new data

good qualitative agreement, but more work needs to be done!