Lattice 2010 June 14-19 villasimius, Sardinia, Italy

Non-locality of the nucleon-nucleon potential from Lattice QCD

K. Murano (KEK)

in collaboration with S.Aoki T.Hatsuda N.Ishii H.Nemura for HAL QCD Coll.



Nuclear potential



Nuclear potential from Lattice QCD

Recently, a method is proposed to extract the NN potential from Lattice QCD.

N. Ishii, S. Aoki and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 022001 (2007)



- Scattering experimental data are not needed:
 - hyperon-nucleon, hyperon-hyperon interaction

H. Nemura, N. Ishii, S. Aoki and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Lett. B 673, 136 (2009)

• It is also possible to investigate

three nucleon force systematically. (18 June room1 15:50~ T.Doi)

NN potential from Lattice QCD: HAL's method



 $(|c| \cap change 2)$

The convergence is related to E and L dependence of LO potential ex) S=0 case : $(S_{12}=0)$ $(\Delta + k^2) \phi^{S=0}(\vec{x};k) = m_N \int d^3 y U(\vec{x};\vec{y}) \phi^{S=0}(\vec{y};k)$ $= m_N \Big[V_C(r) + V_L(r) L^2 + V_{LL}(r) L^4 + ...$ $+ \{V_p(r), \nabla^2\} + \{V_{pp}(r), \nabla^4\} + ... \Big] \phi^{S=0}(\vec{x};k)$

The convergence is related to E and L dependence of LO potential **S=0** case : $(S_{12}=0)$ ex) $\left(\Delta + k^2\right)\phi^{S=0}\left(\vec{x};k\right) = m_N \int d^3y U\left(\vec{x};\vec{y}\right)\phi^{S=0}\left(\vec{y};k\right)$ $= m_N \left[V_C(r) + V_L(r) L^2 + V_{LL}(r) L^4 + \dots \right]$ LO +{ $V_p(r), \nabla^2$ } + { $V_{pp}(r), \nabla^4$ } +...] $\phi^{S=0}(\vec{x};k)$ $\left| \left(\Delta + k^2 \right) \phi^{S=0} \left(\vec{x}; k \right) = m_N V c(r) \phi^{S=0} \left(\vec{x}; k \right) \right|$ the potential obtained by LO: $V_{C}^{LO}(r;L,E) = \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\Delta \phi^{S=0}(r;E;L)}{\phi^{S=0}(r;E;L)} + k^{2} \right)$

The convergence is related to E and L dependence of LO potential S=0 case ex) : (S₁₂=0) $\left(\Delta + k^2\right)\phi^{S=0}\left(\vec{x};k\right) = m_N \int d^3y U\left(\vec{x};\vec{y}\right)\phi^{S=0}\left(\vec{y};k\right)$ $= m_N \left[V_C(r) + V_L(r) L^2 + V_{LL}(r) L^4 + \dots \right]$ +{ $V_p(r), \nabla^2$ }+{ $V_{pp}(r), \nabla^4$ }+...] $\phi^{S=0}(\vec{x};k)$ LO $\left| \left(\Delta + k^2 \right) \phi^{S=0} \left(\vec{x}; k \right) = m_N V c(r) \phi^{S=0} \left(\vec{x}; k \right) \right|$ $\vec{k} = -i\nabla$ $\vec{L} = -\vec{r} \times i\vec{\nabla}$ the potential obtained by LO: $k^2 / m_{\rm M} = E$ $V_{C}^{LO}(r; L, E) = \frac{1}{m_{VL}} \left(\frac{\Delta \phi^{S=0}(r; E; L)}{\phi^{S=0}(r; E; L)} + k^{2} \right)$ $= V_{C}(r) + \frac{V_{L}(r)L^{2} + V_{LL}(r)L^{4} + \dots}{\{V_{p}(r), \nabla^{2}\} + \{V_{pp}(r), \nabla^{4}\} + \dots}$ **Energy dependent** L dependent Size of the higher order terms can be estimate from the examination of the E and L dependence of LO potential.

Energy dependence



previous study of energy dependence:

2d Ising analytical study (S. Aoki, J. Balog P. Weisz arXiv:0805.3098)

□ momentum are discritized in a finite box of size L.

PBC
$$\vec{p} = \left(\frac{2n_x\pi}{L}, \frac{2n_y\pi}{L}, \frac{2n_z\pi}{L}\right)$$

APBC $\vec{p} = \left(\frac{(2n_x+1)\pi}{L}, \frac{(2n_y+1)\pi}{L}, \frac{(2n_z+1)\pi}{L}\right)$
 $\vec{p} = \left(\frac{(2n_x+1)\pi}{L}, \frac{(2n_y+1)\pi}{L}, \frac{(2n_y+1)\pi}{L}\right)$
 $\vec{p} = \left(\frac{(2n_x+1)\pi}{L}, \frac{(2n_x+1)\pi}{L}\right)$
 $\vec{p} = \left(\frac{(2n_x$

comparison of potentials : 0 MeV and 45 MeV



45MeV and 0MeV are consistent Energy dependence is Weak.

Set up:

Lattice size: 32^3 x 48 ~4.5 [fm]

 $\beta = 5.7$ 1/a=1.44 GeV (a~0.14[fm]) $\kappa_{ud} = 0.1665$

 $m\pi$ =529.0(4) MeV m_N =1334 MeV Heat bath quenched

Plaquette gauge action

boundary condition: PBC for 0 MeV, APBC for 45 MeV



comparison of potentials : 0 MeV and 45 MeV

$$V_{c}^{LO}(r;L,E) = V_{c}(r) + V_{L}(r)L^{2} + V_{IL}(r)L^{4} + \dots + \{V_{p}(r), \nabla^{2}\} + \{V_{pp}(r), \nabla^{4}\} + \dots$$

Energy dependent part
$$(\Delta + k^{2})\phi^{S=0}(\bar{x};k) = m_{N}\int d^{3}yU(\bar{x};\bar{y})\phi^{S=0}(\bar{y};k)$$
$$= m_{N}\left[V_{c}(r) + V_{L}(r)L^{2} + V_{IL}(r)L^{4} + \dots + \{V_{p}(r), \nabla^{2}\} + \{V_{pp}(r), \nabla^{4}\} + \dots\right]\phi^{S=0}(\bar{x};k)$$

45MeV and **0MeV** are consistent
Energy dependence is **Weak**.
The size of higher
derivative terms are
significant weak.

L dependence

L, S, J with representation on the cubic group

Symmetry under the rotation is broken => (cubic group)
L (orbital angular mom.), S (spin), J (total angular mom.)
The representation of cubic group

$$\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow A_1, A_2, E, T_1, T_2$$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} L, S, J \\ \hline \\ representation with spin \\ l = 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots \\ A_1 & T_1 & E + T_2 & A_2 + T_1 + T_2 & A_1 + E + T_1 + T_2 & \dots \end{array}$





$L \neq 0$ BS wave functions are extracted with the projection operator.



 $Y_{2m}(\vec{r})$

30

L dependence of the potential

S=0
$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)\phi^{S=0}(\vec{x};\vec{L})$$

= $m_N[Vc(r) + V_L(r)L^2 + V_{LL}(r)L^4 + \cdots]\phi^{S=0}(\vec{x};\vec{L})$

$$L=0 \ S=0 \ : \ 1S0 \qquad \phi^{1}{}^{S_{0}}(\vec{x};k) = \mathcal{P}^{(A_{1})}\phi_{S=0}(\vec{x};k)$$

$$\implies V_{C}^{LO}(r) = \frac{1}{m_{N}} \left(\frac{\Delta \phi^{1}{}^{S_{0}}(r)}{\phi^{1}{}^{S_{0}}(r)} + k^{2} \right) = Vc(r)$$

$$L=2 \ S=0 \ : \ 1D2$$

$$\implies V_{C}^{LO}(r) = \frac{1}{m_{N}} \left(\frac{\Delta \phi^{1}{}^{D_{2}}(\vec{x};k) = \mathcal{P}^{(T_{2})}}{\phi^{1}{}^{D_{2}}(r)} + k^{2} \right) = Vc(r) + V_{L}(r)2(2+1) + \cdots$$

$$the size of higher order$$

$$derivative terms$$

L dependence



L dependence



Summary and conclusion

Summary of this work:

We have examined the convergence of derivative expansion of non-local potential.

In this purpose, we have caclulated 0 MeV, 45 MeV BS wave with using boundary condition and 1S0 ,1D2 BS wave with using cubic group.

$$V_{C}^{LO}(r;L,E) = V_{C}(r) + \frac{V_{L}(r)L^{2} + V_{LL}(r)L^{4} + \dots}{\{V_{p}(r),\nabla^{2}\} + \{V_{pp}(r),\nabla^{4}\} + \dots}$$

Conclusion:

We conclude that the NN potential from the QCD obtained up to LO is valid at E=0 \sim (at least) 45 MeV, and L=0 \sim (at least) 2.

Future work:

Father check: full QCD, light quark mass, hyperon system...

We are applying the technique to S=1 case, and the calculation of LS force is on going.

Very preliminary work: LS force



20

End of slides

What L is included in this system can be understood by the cubic group analysis

The representation matrix, and charactor can be obtained easily.



What L is included in this system can be understood by the cubic group analysis



$$\begin{aligned} G_{\alpha,\beta;\alpha'\beta'}^{(n)}(\vec{x},\vec{y}) \\ &= \sum_{\vec{x}_1,\dots,\vec{x}_6} \langle 0 \,|\, T \Big[N_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) N_{\beta}(\vec{y},t) \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\bar{\alpha}} \overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\bar{\beta}} \,\Big] |\, 0 \rangle f^{(n)}(\vec{x}_1,\dots,\vec{x}_6), \\ \mathcal{N}_{\alpha} &= \sum_{x_0,x_1,x_2} \Big(q^t(x_0) C \gamma_5 \gamma_0 q(x_1) \Big) q_{\alpha}(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

 $h_0(\vec{x}) \equiv \cos((+x+y+z)\pi / L),$ $h_1(\vec{x}) \equiv \cos((-x+y+z)\pi / L),$ $h_2(\vec{x}) \equiv \cos((+x-y+z)\pi / L),$ $h_3(\vec{x}) \equiv \cos((-x-y+z)\pi / L)$

$$f_0(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_6) \equiv h_0(\vec{x}_1) \dots h_0(\vec{x}_6),$$

$$f_1(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_6) \equiv h_1(\vec{x}_1) \dots h_1(\vec{x}_6),$$

$$f_2(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_6) \equiv h_2(\vec{x}_1) \dots h_2(\vec{x}_6),$$

$$f_3(\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_6) \equiv h_3(\vec{x}_1) \dots h_3(\vec{x}_6)$$

 $G^{(n)}_{\alpha,\beta;\alpha'\beta'}(\vec{x},\vec{y})$

 $= \sum_{\vec{x}_1,...,\vec{x}_6} \langle 0 | T N_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) N_{\beta}(\vec{y},t) \, \overline{q}_{\alpha_1}(\vec{x}_1) \dots \overline{q}_{\alpha_6}(\vec{x}_6) f^{(n)}(\vec{x}_1,...,\vec{x}_6) | 0 \rangle (C\gamma_5\gamma_0)_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} (C\gamma_5\gamma_0)_{\alpha_3,\alpha_4} \,,$

Projection of J (total angular mom.)

$$\sum_{\vec{x}_1,\ldots,\vec{x}_6} \langle 0 | T N_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t) N_{\beta}(\vec{y},t) \mathcal{P}^{(\Gamma)} \Big[\overline{q}_{\alpha_1}(\vec{x}_1)\ldots\overline{q}_{\alpha_6}(\vec{x}_6) f^{(n)}(\vec{x}_1,\ldots,\vec{x}_6) \Big] | 0 \rangle \Big(C\gamma_5\gamma_0 \Big)_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \Big(C\gamma_5\gamma_0 \Big)_{\alpha_3,\alpha_4} ,$$

$$\sum_{\vec{x}_{1},...,\vec{x}_{6}} \mathcal{P}^{(\Gamma)} \Big[\overline{q}_{\alpha_{1}}(\vec{x}_{1})...\overline{q}_{\alpha_{6}}(\vec{x}_{6})f^{(n)}(\vec{x}_{1},...,\vec{x}_{6}) \Big] |0\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{x}_{1},...,\vec{x}_{6}} \sum_{i=1}^{24} \chi^{(\Gamma)}(R_{1}) \Big[SS \otimes S^{t}S^{t} \ \overline{q}_{\alpha_{1}}(R \ \vec{x}_{1})...\overline{q}_{\alpha_{6}}(R \ \vec{x}_{6})f^{(n)}(\vec{x}_{1},...,\vec{x}_{6}) \Big] |0\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\vec{x}_{1},...,\vec{x}_{6}} \sum_{i=1}^{24} \chi^{(\Gamma)}(R_{1}) \Big[SS \otimes S^{t}S^{t} \ \overline{q}_{\alpha_{1}}(\vec{x}_{1})...\overline{q}_{\alpha_{6}}(\vec{x}_{6})f^{(n)}(R^{-1}\vec{x}_{1},...,R^{-1}\vec{x}_{6}) \Big] |0\rangle$$

$$R\vec{x} \to \vec{x}$$

The representation of J we can obtain

(l)	\otimes	(spin=0)			J				
A_1	\otimes	$A_1 = A_1$							
A_2	\otimes	$A_1 =$		A_2					
E	\otimes	$A_1 =$			E				
T_1	\otimes	$A_1 =$					T_1		
T_2	\otimes	$A_1 =$							T_2
(l)	\otimes	(spin=1)			J				
(l) A_1	\otimes	(spin=1) $T_1 =$			J		T_1		
(l) A_1 A_2	⊗ ⊗ ⊗	$(spin=1)$ $T_1 =$ $T_1 =$			J		T_1		T_2
(l) A_1 A_2 E	⊗ ⊗ ⊗	(spin=1) $T_1 =$ $T_1 =$ $T_1 =$			J		T_1 T_1	•	T_2 T_2
(l) A_1 A_2 E T_1	⊗ ⊗ ⊗ ⊗	$(spin=1)$ $T_1 = T_1 = T_1 = T_1 = A_1$	•		J E	0	T_1 T_1 T_1	\oplus	$T_2 \\ T_2 \\ T_2 \\ T_2$

States we can obtain with S=1



