Electromagnetic Effects in Staggered ChiPT



Claude Bernard Elizabeth Freeland Washington University in St. Louis

Lattice 2010 Sardinia

Motivation: better light quark masses

- * The leading uncertainty in the MILC collaboration's calculation of the up to down quark-mass ratio m_u/m_d comes from electromagnetic (EM) effects.
- These also make substantial contributions to individual quark mass errors.
 MILC, arXiv:0903.3598 RMP

 $m_u/m_d = 0.42(0)(1)$ EM error is 10% $m_u = 1.9(0)(1)(1)$ MeV $m_d = 4.6(0)(2)(2)$ 1 MeV

Som

- ·⊁ We need better control of electromagnetic effects.
 - We use quenched-photon simulations; sea-quark electric charges are set to zero.
 - The use of meson mass splittings, makes this sufficient.
 Explained below. (Bijnens & Danielsson, hep-lat/0610127)

 See talk by Aaron Torok on quenched photon simulations. (Thursday 14:30, Rm 5 - Hadron spectroscopy)

Origin of the EM Uncertainty

Quark masses are obtained by tuning bare quark masses such that lattice meson masses match experiment. E.g.

$$(M_{K^{\pm}}^{2})_{\text{QCD}} \equiv M_{K^{\pm}}^{2} - (M_{K^{\pm}}^{2} - M_{K^{0}}^{2})_{\text{EM}}$$

lattice experiment \approx electromagnetic effects

Assuming, for now, that EM effects are small for $M_{K^0}^2$.

Origin of the EM Uncertainty

$$(M_{K^{\pm}}^{2})_{\text{QCD}} \equiv M_{K^{\pm}}^{2} - (M_{K^{\pm}}^{2} - M_{K^{0}}^{2})_{\text{EM}}$$

lattice experiment \approx electromagnetic effect

* Dashen constructed the combination (Phys. Rev. **183**, 1245 (1969)) $\Delta M_D^2 \equiv (M_{K^{\pm}}^2 - M_{K^0}^2) - (M_{\pi^+}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2)$

and pointed out that to leading order, including leading order EM effects, $\Delta M_D^2 = 0$.

* The "violation of Dashen's theorem" is often parametrized as $(M_{K^{\pm}}^2 - M_{K^0}^2)_{\text{EM}} = (1 + \Delta_E)(M_{\pi^+}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2)_{\text{EM}}$

Origin of the EM Uncertainty

$$(M_{K^{\pm}}^2)_{\rm QCD} \equiv M_{K^{\pm}}^2 - (M_{K^{\pm}}^2 - M_{K^0}^2)_{\rm EM}$$

lattice

experiment \approx electromagnetic effects

$$(1 + \Delta_E)(M_{\pi^+}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2)_{\rm EM}$$

lattice experiment

Partially Quenched Notation

x and y label valence quarks; $\chi_{xy} = B_0(m_x + m_y)$.

sea charge
$$\bar{Q}_2 \equiv \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{sea}} q_\sigma^2$$

 $q_{x\sigma} = q_x - q_\sigma = \text{charge of an } x\sigma \text{ meson}$

Continuum - R. Urech

Nucl. Phys. B 433:234 (1995); hep-ph/945341

Partially Quenched - Bijnens & Danielsson
 PRD 75:014505 (2007); hep-lat/0610127

The Partially Quenched Result

$$M_{xy}^{2} = B_{0}(m_{x} + m_{y}) + q_{xy}^{2}\Delta_{\text{EM}}$$

$$+ \frac{24}{F_{0}^{2}}(2L_{6} - L_{4})\bar{\chi}_{1}\chi_{xy} + \frac{8}{F_{0}^{2}}(2L_{8} - L_{5})\chi_{xy}^{2}$$

$$\text{LEC's} = -48e^{2}Z_{E}L_{4}q_{xy}^{2}\bar{\chi}_{1} - 16e^{2}Z_{E}L_{5}q_{xy}\chi_{xy}$$

$$-12e^{2}(K_{1} + K_{2} - K_{7} - K_{8})\bar{Q}_{2}\chi_{xy}$$

$$-4e^{2}(K_{5} + K_{6})q_{p}^{2}\chi_{xy} + 4e^{2}(K_{9} + K_{10})q_{p}^{2}\chi_{p} + 12e^{2}K_{8}q_{xy}^{2}\bar{\chi}_{1}$$

$$+8e^{2}(K_{10} + K_{11})q_{xy}^{2}\chi_{xy} - 4e^{2}(2K_{18} + K_{19})q_{x}q_{y}\chi_{xy}$$

$$-\frac{1}{3F_{0}^{2}}\bar{A}(\chi_{m})\mathcal{R}_{n13}^{m}\chi_{xy} - \frac{1}{3F_{0}^{2}}\bar{A}(\chi_{p})\mathcal{R}_{q\pi\eta}^{p}\chi_{xy}$$

$$+e^{2}\bar{A}(\chi_{xy})q_{xy}^{2}$$

$$-4e^{2}\bar{B}_{1}(\chi_{\gamma},\chi_{xy};\chi_{xy})q_{xy}^{2}\chi_{xy} + 4e^{2}\bar{B}(\chi_{\gamma},\chi_{xy};\chi_{xy})q_{xy}^{2}\chi_{xy}$$

$$+2e^{2}Z_{E}\bar{A}(\chi_{x\sigma})q_{x\sigma}q_{xy} - 2e^{2}Z_{E}\bar{A}(\chi_{y\sigma})q_{y\sigma}q_{xy}$$

Using quenched photons

- ✤ Sea quark charges appear in two places.
 - * The analytic term proportional to \bar{Q}_2 cancels in mass splittings, or combinations of splittings.
 - ✤ Log terms are calculable in chipt, with no unknown LECs.
- Hence, we can use quenched photon simulations (and add the chiral logs back in at the end).

Staggered ChiPT

- Use the replica method: $n_v \to 0$ $n_s \to 1/4$
- Mesons P_{xy} have taste structure

$$P_{xy} = \sum_{\xi} P_{xy,\xi} T^{\xi}$$
$$T^{\xi} \in (\xi_5, \xi_{\mu 5}, \xi_{\mu}, \xi_{\mu \nu} \, \mu < \nu, \xi_I) \text{ taste generators}$$

* Taste-breaking potential $a^2 V$

Staggered Lagrangian * The (Euclidian) Lagrangian + EM

$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \frac{f^{2}}{8} \langle d^{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} d_{\mu} \Sigma \rangle - \frac{f^{2}}{8} \langle \chi \Sigma^{\dagger} + \chi^{\dagger} \Sigma \rangle - e^{2} C \langle Q \Sigma Q \Sigma^{\dagger} \rangle + \frac{1}{24} m_{0}^{2} \langle \phi \rangle^{2} + a^{2} \mathcal{V}$$

 $d_{\mu}\Sigma \rightarrow \partial_{\mu}\Sigma - iQA_{\mu}\Sigma + i\Sigma QA_{\mu}$ $Q = \text{diag}(q_x, q_y, q_u, q_d, q_s)$



The Calculation

- Calculation closely follows Aubin and Bernard,
 (PRD 68: 034014 (2003); hep-lat/0304014).
- * Must be careful to use the correct leading-order mass, including EM effects, in the simplifications using $p^2 = -M^2$.
 - This leads to a type of cancellation, noted by Aubin and Bernard, which appears in the EM PQ and EM staggered calculations as well.

The Result: NLO chiral logs

$$\delta M_{xy,5}^{2} = -\frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} e^{2} q_{xy}^{2} M_{xy,5}^{2} \left[3 \ln M_{xy,5}^{2} - 4 \right]$$

$$-\frac{2\Delta_{\text{EM}}}{16\pi^{2} f^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{16} \right) \sum_{\xi} \left[q_{x\sigma} q_{xy} I_{x\sigma,\xi} - q_{y\sigma} q_{xy} I_{y\sigma,\xi} \right]$$

with
$$I_{ij,\xi} \equiv M_{ij,\xi}^2 \left(\ln M_{ij,\xi}^2 + \ldots \right)$$
 and $\Delta_{\text{EM}} \equiv \frac{4e^2C}{f^2}$

The Result: NLO analytical terms Examples $\mathcal{O}_{u4}^{P} \equiv \langle \Sigma Q \Sigma^{\dagger} \xi_{5} Q \xi_{5} \rangle + \langle \Sigma \xi_{5} Q \xi_{5} \Sigma^{\dagger} Q \rangle \propto a^{2} q_{xy}^{2}$ $\mathcal{O}_{u14}^T \equiv \langle \Sigma Q \Sigma^{\dagger} Q \rangle \langle \Sigma \xi_{\mu\nu} \Sigma^{\dagger} \xi_{\nu\mu} \rangle \propto a^2 q_{x\mu}^2$ $\mathcal{O}_{m8}^{V} \equiv \langle \Sigma Q \Sigma^{\dagger} \xi_{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} Q \Sigma \xi_{\mu} \rangle \propto a^{2} (q_{x}^{2} + q_{y}^{2})$ All reduce to $\propto a^2 q_{xy}^2$ and $a^2 (q_x^2 + q_y^2)$ at tree level. No $a^2 \bar{Q}_2$ term.

 $M_{\pi^0}^2$ vs. $M_{\pi'}^2$

* The true π^0 is a $\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d$ state.

- * There are EM disconnected-diagram contributions to its mass even for $m_u = m_d$.
- * This is difficult to simulate.

- Instead, we simulate a meson created from distinct, mass-degenerate, light quarks and anti-quarks with opposite electric charges.
 - We call this neutral meson the π' .

 $M_{\pi^0}^2$ vs. $M_{\pi'}^2$

✤ We make the replacement

$$M_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2 \to M_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 - M_{\pi'}^2$$
.

* The error in this approximation is

$$\delta^{\rm EM} M_{\pi^0}^2 = \frac{2\Delta_{\rm EM}}{16\pi^2 f^2} M_{\pi}^2 \left(\ln M_{\pi}^2 + 1\right) + e^2 M_{\pi}^2 (\rm LEC's) ,$$

which is small, at the physical point, compared to the EM correction to the π^{\pm} , dominated by the kaon mass

$$\delta^{\rm EM} M_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 \approx \frac{2\Delta_{\rm EM}}{16\pi^2 f^2} M_K^2 \ln M_K^2 \,.$$

 $M_{\pi^0}^2$ vs. $M_{\pi'}^2$

✤ We make the replacement

$$M_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2 \to M_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 - M_{\pi'}^2$$
.

* The error in this approximation is

$$\delta^{\rm EM} M_{\pi^0}^2 = \frac{2\Delta_{\rm EM}}{16\pi^2 f^2} M_{\pi}^2 (\ln M_{\pi}^2 + 1) + e^2 M_{\pi}^2 (\rm LEC's) ,$$

which is small, at the physical point, compared to the EM correction to the π^{\pm} , dominated by the kaon mass

$$\delta^{\rm EM} M_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 \approx \frac{2\Delta_{\rm EM}}{16\pi^2 f^2} M_K^2 \ln M_K^2.$$



Improved physics needs EM (lattice) ChiPT

* Mass splittings, or combinations like Dashen's, can be determined with quenched QED.

The staggered calculation is done.

Points to note:

* $p^2 = -M^2$ yields substantial cancellations; * only $a^2 q_{xy}^2$ and $a^2 (q_x^2 + q_y^2)$ analytic terms; * π^0 vs π' .