# Exploring center symmetry with electrically charged quarks

Sam Edwards<sup>1</sup>, Lorenz von Smekal<sup>1</sup> & Andre Sternbeck<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Kernphysik, TU-Darmstadt <sup>2</sup> Institut für Theoretische Physik, Regensburg HIC FAIR for FAIR Helmholtz International Center



## OUTLINE

- Center symmetry
  - glue
  - dynamical quarks
- o Including electromagnetism
- Our model
  - disordering
  - preliminary results

### **CENTER SYMMETRY**

• Symmetry of **pure** SU(*N*) gauge theory

$$SU(N)/\mathbb{Z}_N, \quad z = e^{in2\pi/N} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Center transformation gauge trans. periodic only up to center phase in time direction
- action invariant

$$\Box \to z^* z \ \Box = \Box$$

• Polyakov loop picks up phase  $P = \operatorname{Tr} \uparrow \rightarrow z \operatorname{Tr} \uparrow$ 



1

1

Z,

Ζ.

Ζ.

Z.

**CENTER SYMMETRY - CONFINEMENT** 

• VEV determines whether center symmetry is realized

o low T, confined, center symmetric phase

 $\langle P \rangle = 0$ 

average to zerodisordered by center vortices

• high *T*, deconfined, center broken phase

$$\langle P \rangle \neq 0$$

sector spontaneously chosen



## CENTER SYMMETRY - CONFINEMENT

o deconfinement for pure SU(N) is a center symmetry
breaking phase transition

#### • VEV of Polyakov loop is an order parameter

• (see also vortex free energy)

o infinitely heavy quarks, i.e. static

DYNAMICAL QUARKS (WILSON)

• explicitly break center symmetry

$$(1-\gamma_{\mu})U_{\mu}$$

• Hopping expansion

$$\det M = \exp(-\sum_{j} \frac{\kappa^{j}}{j} \operatorname{Tr} H^{j}), \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{2am+8} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad [$$

closed loops







modify gauge coupling

ordering effect (S<sub>eff</sub> minimized for P=1)

c.f. spin system in magnetic field



#### Effect of fermions - ordering external field



## DYNAMICAL FERMIONS - SU(2)



 $8^3 \ge 4$ ,  $\kappa = 0.15$ 

...BUT QUARKS HAVE ELECTRIC CHARGE

o What if we include electromagnetism?

$$q_u = +\frac{2}{3}e, \quad q_d = -\frac{1}{3}e$$

• Exactly compensate color center phase by U(1) phase

o Gauge group

 $SU(3) \times U(1)_{em}/\mathbb{Z}_3$ 



## HIDDEN SYMMETRY

True Standard Model symmetry group

$$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)/\mathbb{Z}_6$$

before electroweak trans.

#### • Importance

- unification, e.g. SU(5), SO(10) GUT
- topological objects color-EM monopoles/vortices
- Existence of a **global gauge symmetry** that may be spontaneously broken
  - relevant to confinement?

electroweak trans. – Zubhov, Veselov, Bakker

### TOY LATTICE MODEL

• 2 flavors of dynamical Wilson fermions, gauge group

 $SU(2) \times U(1)_{em}/\mathbb{Z}_2$ 

u/d quarks with ± ½ charge relative to U(1)<sub>em</sub> gauge action

$$S = -\sum_{\Box} \left( \frac{\beta_{col}}{2} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} \Box_{SU(2)} + \beta_{em} \cos \Box_{\theta} \right) + S_{f,W}$$

implement via HMC



parallel transporters give both color and electromagnetic contribution to quarks – no net phase for  $-1 \times -1 = 1$ 

## EFFECT OF THE U(1)

• Expect U(1)<sub>em</sub> to have a **disordering effect** 

• Recall Polyakov loop term from Hopping expansion



o If U(1) loop random over space → c.f. spin model in a random external field

$$\mathcal{H} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} s_i s_j - h\sum_i h_i s_i$$

## A WORD ON (PURE) COMPACT QED

• Confining at small values of the lattice coupling (i.e. **strong** coupling)

- i.e. disordered phase for EM Polyakov loop
- Phase transition to Coulomb phase at  $\beta \approx 1.01$

• Expect U(1) to have large effect for small lattice coupling

## RESTORATION OF QUENCHED BEHAVIOR FOR $P_{SU(2)}$ SU(2) x U(1)/Z<sub>2</sub>



 $8^3 \times 4$ ,  $\kappa = 0.15$  (heavy quarks!)

## IMPORTANCE OF QUARKS' FRACTIONAL CHARGE

• U(1) angles in gauge action are **twice** those seen by quarks

- phases differing by  $\pi$  for quarks are not distinguished by the U(1) action
- As we cross  $\beta_{em} \approx 1$ , particles of unit electric charge should be deconfined i.e. Polyakov loop of 'electron' becomes finite
- BUT there is still room for Z<sub>2</sub> disorder in the links as seen by quarks



Disorder SU(2) Polyakov loop in the Coulomb phase for unit electric charges?



 $8^3 \ge 4$ ,  $\kappa = 0.15$ , ~ 6000 traj.



 $8^3 \times 4$ ,  $\kappa = 0.15$ , ~ 6000 traj.

```
MUCH TO BE UNDERSTOOD...
```

- Cold starts disorder for quarks only persists for a window beyond  $\beta_{em} \approx 1 algorithmic issue only?$
- Suppression of -1 transporters for light quarks? Competition between plaquette like terms

• Speculation for SU(3)xU(1) / Z<sub>3</sub>

first order transition persists for lighter quarks?

sharpen crossover if it doesn't reach physical quark masses?



## SUMMARY

• Center symmetry recovered when U(1) is added to QCD with dynamical quarks

• **Disordering** effect of U(1)

 How much can electromagnetism influence color dynamics?

• First steps in a toy SU(2)xU(1)/Z<sub>2</sub> model

## OUTLOOK

- Production runs respectable masses and volumes
- Related spin models random field Ising/Potts
- Hopping expansion baby simulations

#### o Twisted boundary conditions!

- in prescence of dynamical fermions
- combined **vortices** carrying both color and EM flux



 $8^3 \ge 4$  ,  $\kappa = 0.15, \sim 40000$  traj.



 $8^3 \ge 4$  ,  $\kappa = 0.15, \sim 40000$  traj.



 $8^3 \ge 4$ ,  $\kappa = 0.15$ , ~ 40000 traj.

## CHECKING U(1) POLYAKOV LOOPS - COLD START



### **PLAQ-PLAQ COMPETITION**

# $\begin{array}{c} \propto -\kappa^4 \ \mathrm{Re} \ \mathrm{Tr} \ \square \ \mathrm{Re} \ \square_{\theta/2} \\ \mathbf{color} \\ \mathbf{EM} \end{array}$