# Pseudoscalar Decay Constants from $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ Flavour LQCD

## C. Urbach for the ETM Collaboration

HISKP, University of Bonn

Lattice 2010

E SQA

#### Motivation

- ETMC  $N_f = 2$  flavour simulations very successful
- but systematic effects stemming from missing strange and charm cannot be controled
- ⇒ include strange and charm in simulations maintaining O(a) improvement
  - do we see any effects of strange and charm on observables?
  - some (like  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$ ,  $\eta_c$ ) can be computed only in this set-up
  - prime quantities to look at:  $f_K$ ,  $f_D$  and  $f_{D_s}$

A (10) A (10) A (10)

#### Outline



2 Mixed Action Approach



#### Action

- gauge action: Iwasaki
- Twisted Mass Dirac operator in the light sector:

$$D_\ell = D_W + m_0 + i\mu_\ell\gamma_5 au^3$$

[Frezzotti, Rossi, Sint, Weisz (1999)]

•  $\mu_{\ell}$  bare light twisted mass,  $\tau^3$  Pauli matrix in flavour space

4

- $m_0$  set to  $m_0 = m_{\rm crit}(\mu_\ell, \beta)$  by tuning  $m_{\rm PCAC}$  to zero.
- $\Rightarrow \text{ physical observables } \mathcal{O}(a) \text{ improved}$ [Frezzotti, Rossi (2003)]
  - talk of S. Reker

#### Action

• Twisted Mass charm/strange doublet:

[Frezzotti, Rossi (2004)]

$$D_h = D_W + m_{\rm crit} + i\mu_\sigma \tau^1 + \mu_\delta \tau^3$$

quark masses from bare parameters

$$m_{
m s} = \mu_{\sigma} - (Z_{
m P}/Z_{
m S}) \mu_{\delta}, \quad m_{
m c} = \mu_{\sigma} + (Z_{
m P}/Z_{
m S}) \mu_{\delta}$$

5

- requires knowledge of Z-ratio
- flavour and parity symmetry broken at  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$

) ( <u>)</u> ( <u>)</u> ( <u>)</u>

#### $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ Ensembles

ensembles as produced by ETMC

[ETMC (2010)]

- here: *m*<sub>PS</sub> from 270 MeV to 510 MeV otherwise soon 230 MeV to 510 MeV
- $m_{\rm PS} \cdot L \ge 4, L \lesssim 3 \, {\rm fm}$
- three values of the lattice spacing from 0.1 fm to 0.06 fm  $\beta$ -values: 1.90, 1.95 and 2.10
- for single  $\beta$ -value: fixed values of  $\mu_{\sigma}$  and  $\mu_{\delta}$

く 伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

= 900

#### Mixing in the Heavy-Light Sector

• twist rotations ( $\chi$  twisted,  $\psi$  physical basis):

$$\psi_{\ell} = \mathbf{e}^{i\omega\gamma_5\tau^3/2}\chi_{\ell}, \qquad \psi_{h} = \mathbf{e}^{i\omega\gamma_5\tau^1/2}\chi_{h}$$

• scalar and pseudoscalar, charm and strange sectors mix:

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_d \gamma_5 \chi_s \\ \bar{\chi}_d \gamma_5 \chi_c \\ \bar{\chi}_d \chi_s \\ \bar{\chi}_d \chi_c \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{V} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{V}}$$

[Chiarappa, et al. (2007), ETMC (2010)]

- Determine  $m_K$  and  $m_D$  from  $4 \times 4$  correlation matrix C various methods available [ETMC (2010)]
- Poster by E. Pallante

Mixing in the Heavy-Light Sector

- mixing looks complicated
- but for known twist angle  $\omega$  and  $Z_{P,S}$ we know exactly the matrix  $\mathcal{M}$  which diagonalises  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{M}(\omega, Z_{\mathrm{P}}/Z_{\mathrm{S}}) \ \mathcal{C} \ \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\omega, Z_{\mathrm{P}}/Z_{\mathrm{S}})$$

- for maximal twist this opens a possibility to estimate  $(Z_P/Z_S)$
- however, mixing can be avoided using a different action in the valence sector  $\rightarrow$  mixed action

8

• for instance an Osterwalder-Seiler type action without any flavour mixing

#### Tuning of Unitary Kaon Mass



- for  $\beta = 1.90$  and 2.10 the Kaon is slightly too heavy
- $\beta = 1.95$  better tuned
- mild dependence on  $m_\pi^2$
- retuning at β = 1.90 gives much better value for m<sub>K</sub>
- also D-meson slightly too heavy

. . . . . . .

< 口 > < 同

#### [ETMC (2010)]

Use mixed action to match to physical K- and D-Meson masses

### Unitary $f_K$

• the unitary  $f_{\mathcal{K}}$  can be computed from

$$f_{\mathcal{K}} = (m_\ell + m_{\mathrm{s}}) rac{\langle 0| \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{K}} | \mathcal{K} 
angle}{m_{\mathcal{K}}^2}$$

with  $m_{
m s}=\mu_{\sigma}-(Z_{
m P}/Z_{
m S})\mu_{\delta}$ 

- similar formula for *f*<sub>D</sub>
- *P<sub>K</sub>* is the physical Kaon projecting operator determined e.g. from diagonalising *C*
- unitary  $f_K$  value depends strongly on estimate of  $Z_{\rm P}/Z_{\rm S}$  via  $m_{\rm s}$

10

#### Outline







#### Mixed Action Set-up

introduce Wilson twisted mass doublets in the valence sector

$$D_{tm}(\mu_{val}) = D + m_{crit} + i \ \mu_{val} \gamma_5 \tau^3$$

[Pena et al. (2004); Frezzotti, Rossi (2004)]

- *m*<sub>crit</sub> from unitary set-up
- 4 6 values for μ<sub>val</sub> in the strange μ<sub>s</sub> and the charm μ<sub>c</sub> region inversions with multi-mass solver
- matching to unitary set-up using m<sub>K</sub> and m<sub>D</sub>
  - $\Rightarrow$  obtain simulated  $\mu_s$  and  $\mu_c$

#### Mixed Action Set-up

• at matching point we can determine  $Z_P/Z_S$  from equating

$$\mu_{s} = \mu_{\sigma} - (Z_{P}/Z_{S}) \mu_{\delta}$$

- valid up to lattice artifacts
- the mixed action (MA) pseudoscalar decay constants from

$$f_{\rm PS} = \left(\mu_{\it val}^{(1)} + \mu_{\it val}^{(2)}\right) \, \frac{|\langle 0|P|PS\rangle|}{m_{\rm PS}\,\sinh m_{\rm PS}}\,,$$

*m*<sub>PS</sub> and *f*<sub>PS</sub> both determined from combined fit using smeared-smeared and local-smeared correlators

13

 the sinh in lattice f<sub>PS</sub> definition helps reducing discretisation errors

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### Outline

1 Introduction

2 Mixed Action Approach



#### f<sub>K</sub>: Unitary versus Mixed Action



- matched values for unitary and MA m<sub>K</sub>
- compare unitary with MA f<sub>K</sub> in units of r<sub>0</sub>
- very good agreement between MA and unitary f<sub>K</sub>
- lattice artifacts seem to be small

ъ.

#### $SU(2) \chi PT$ Fit Formulae

•  $SU(2) \chi PT$  Fit Formulae for  $f_K$  and  $f_{\pi}$ :

$$\begin{split} f_{\mathrm{PS}}(\mu_{\ell},\mu_{\ell},\mu_{\ell}) &= f_{0} \cdot (1-2\,\xi_{\ell\ell}\,\mathrm{ln}\,\xi_{\ell\ell}+b\,\xi_{\ell\ell}) \;, \\ f_{\mathrm{PS}}(\mu_{\ell},\mu_{\ell},\mu_{s}) &= (f_{0}^{(\mathcal{K})}+f_{m}^{(\mathcal{K})}\,\xi_{\mathrm{SS}}) \\ & \cdot \left[1-\frac{3}{4}\xi_{\ell\ell}\,\mathrm{ln}\,\xi_{\ell\ell}+(b_{0}^{(\mathcal{K})}+b_{m}^{(\mathcal{K})}\,\xi_{\mathrm{SS}})\,\xi_{\ell\ell}\right] \end{split}$$

where

$$\xi_{XY} = \frac{m_{\rm PS}^2(\mu_{\ell}, \mu_X, \mu_Y)}{(4\pi f_0)^2}$$

16

[Gasser, Leutwyler (1984); Allton et al (2008); ETMC, Blossier et al. (2010)]

• correct for finite size effects using NLO  $\chi$ PT

[Gasser, Leutwyler (1987); Becirevic, Villadoro (2004)]

.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲필▶▲필▶ / 1911日 / 2000

#### $f_K$ and $f_\pi$ versus $m_\pi^2$



- fit  $\beta = 1.95$  and  $\beta = 2.10$  simultaneously
- from setting

$$m_{
m PS}^2(\mu_\ell,\mu_{
m s},\mu_{
m s})=2m_{
m K}^2-m_{\pi}^2$$

• using  $f_{\pi}$  and  $m_{\pi}$  as light input

 nice agreement between β = 1.95 and β = 2.10

• • = • • = •

= 900

- curvature visible
- no usage of r<sub>0</sub>/a

#### Fitresults Kaon-Sector

• physical input :

 $m_{\pi} = 135 \text{ MeV}, \quad f_{\pi} = 130.7 \text{ MeV}, \quad m_{K} = 497.7 \text{ MeV}$ 

• prelinimary fit results:

 $f_{\mathcal{K}}/f_{\pi} = 1.224(13), \qquad f_{\mathcal{K}} = 160(2) \text{ MeV}, \qquad \bar{\ell}_4 = 4.78(2)$ 

- $\chi^2/dof = 50/30$
- from  $f_K/f_{\pi}$  and additional input

$$|V_{us}| = 0.220(2)$$

18

[Marciano (2008)]

errors statistical only

#### **D-Meson Sector**

- preliminary analysis of  $f_D$  and  $f_{D_s}$  in MA set-up
- SU(2) heavy meson  $\chi$ PT fit to our data for  $f_{D_s}\sqrt{m_{D_s}}$  and  $f_{D_s}\sqrt{m_{D_s}}/(f_D\sqrt{m_D})$ [ETMC, Blossier et al. (2009)]
- including terms proportional to  $a^2 m_{D_s}^2$  and  $1/m_{D_s}$
- first results very encouraging

$$f_{D_s} = 250(3) \text{ MeV}, \quad f_D = 204(3) \text{ MeV}, \quad f_{D_s}/f_D = 1.230(6)$$

19

very preliminary!

う くぼう くきり くきり ほ

E SQA

#### Conclusion

- results for  $f_K$ ,  $f_{D_s}$  and  $f_D$  from mixed action investigation on ETMC 2 + 1 + 1 flavour confiturations
- unitary and MA f<sub>K</sub> at matched am<sub>K</sub> agree within errors

20

- results for  $f_K/f_{\pi}$  and  $f_{D_s}$  and  $f_D$  look encouraging
- comparing to other groups shows agreement
- no difference to  $N_f = 2$  within errors

#### Outlook

- investigate different fit formulae
- use lighter pion masses
- control finite size effects
- third value of the lattice spacing
- investigate dependence on sea strange and charm quark mass

Thanks to all ETMC 2 + 1 + 1 collaborators!

#### Kaon Projecting Operator

unitary kaon decay constant

$$f_{\mathsf{K}} = \frac{\mu_{\ell} + \mu_{\sigma} - (Z_{\mathsf{P}}/Z_{\mathsf{S}})\mu_{\delta}}{2m_{\mathsf{K}}^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\langle 0|(P_{\mathsf{K}} - P_{\mathsf{D}}) + i(Z_{\mathsf{S}}/Z_{\mathsf{P}})(S_{\mathsf{K}} + S_{\mathsf{D}})|\mathsf{K}\rangle}$$

Kaon is lowest state, so flavour mixings should play no role

22

mixing of scalar and pseudoscalar