Experimental overview on quarkonia from pp to AA and QGP temperature at the LHC

F. Fionda University & INFN, Cagliari

Terzo incontro di fisica con ioni pesanti alle alte energie Padova, Nov. 25-26, 2021

Quarkonia

Bound states of c\bar{c} and b\bar{b} quarks \rightarrow production in the "vacuum" described in two steps:

- pQCD ($m_c, m_b >> \Lambda_{OCD}$) applicable for computing the $q\bar{q}$ production cross section
- Hadronization into a "colourless" bound state → non-perturbative process. Three main production models (+ new recent updates):
 - Color Evaporation Model (CEM)
 - Improved CEM (ICEM)
 - Color Singlet Model (CSM)
 - Non-Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)
 - NRQCD + Color Glass Condensate (CGC)



 Existing in a variety of states characterized by different masses and binding energies

Quarkonia in pp collisions



 Charmonium cross sections described rather well by NRQCD based models and an improved version of CEM







CSM, NLO NRQCD (M. Butenschoen and B. A. Knieh): Phys.Rev.Lett. 108 (2012) 172002 ICEM (Cheung, Vogt): Phys. Rev. D 98 no. 11, (2018) 114029 NRQCD+CGC: Y.-Q. Ma, T. Stebel and R. Venugopala, JHEP 1812 (2018) 057





Quarkonia in (UR) Heavy lon collisions 0 0.01-1 1-10 ~10 10-20 (fm/c) Image: S.A.Bass (Duke Univ.)

Initial state H



Fireball expansion

Chemical freeze-out

Kinetic freeze-out

7

nPDF modification



Coherent energy loss

F. Arleo, S. Peigne', JHEP 03 (2013) 122.



Quarkonia in (UR) Heavy Ion collisions



nPDF modification



Coherent energy loss

F. Arleo, S. Peigne', JHEP 03 (2013) 122.

comovers

E. G. Ferreiro, PLB 731 (2014) 57 E. G. Ferreiro and J. P. Lansberg, JHEP 1810 (2018) 094



Nuclear medium



Hadronic matter

Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM) effects



$$R_{\rm pA}(y, p_{\rm T}) = \frac{1}{A} \frac{{\rm d}^2 \sigma_{\rm pA}/{\rm d}y {\rm d}p_{\rm T}}{{\rm d}^2 \sigma_{\rm pp}/{\rm d}y {\rm d}p_{\rm T}}$$

 ${
m d}^2\sigma_{
m pA}/{
m d}y{
m d}p_{
m T}$: cross section in pA ${
m d}^2\sigma_{
m pp}/{
m d}y{
m d}p_{
m T}$: cross section in pp A : atomic mass number

nPDF modification



Coherent energy loss

F. Arleo, S. Peigne', JHEP 03 (2013) 122.

Dissociation with comovers

E. G. Ferreiro, PLB 731 (2014) 57 E. G. Ferreiro and J. P. Lansberg, JHEP 1810 (2018) 094



Nuclear medium



Hadronic matter

J/ψ nuclear modification factor



 $- J/\Psi$ production suppressed at low p_{τ} at both midrapidity and forward rapidity

- Models implementing nPDF modifications are able to describe qualitatively the trend at low p_{τ}
- Model including coherent energy loss also catches the deplation at low p_{τ} at midrapidity

- larger uncertainties when combined with EPS09NLO nPDFs

J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ nuclear modification factor

[JHEP 07 (2020) 237]



– larger $\Psi(2S)$ nuclear suppression w.r.t. J/ Ψ at backward rapidity

 Calculations implementing initial state CNM effects (CGC or nPDF modifications) and coherent energy loss do not distinguish between charmonium states

– they are able to describe rapidity dependence of $R_{_{DPb}}$ of J/ Ψ but fail for $\Psi(2S)!$

 $-\Psi(2S)$ suppression described by models which implement further interactions in the final state:

- soft color exhanges during the $c\bar{c}$ hadronization
- interaction with comovers



Y(1S) production in p-Pb [Phys. Lett. B 806 (2020) 135486]

 $R_{\rm pPb}$ p–Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ = 8.16 TeV, $\Upsilon(1S)$ ALICE, ρ₁ < 15 GeV/c
 LHCb, ρ₁ < 25 GeV/c (JHEP 11 (2018) 194) 1.2 1 0.8 0.6 EPS09NLO + CEM (R. Vogt et al.) EPS09 + energy loss (F. Arleo et al.) 0.4 Energy loss (F. Arleo et al.) EPPS16 reweighted (J. Lansberg et al.) 0.2 nCTEQ15 reweighted (J. Lansberg et al.) nCTEQ15 + comovers (E. Ferreiro) 0 2 3 -2 Δ -5 0 $\boldsymbol{y}_{\mathrm{cms}}$ ∉ 2 ∝ 1.8 ALICE, p–Pb $\sqrt{s_{_{\rm NN}}}$ = 8.16 TeV Υ(1S), 2.03 < y_{cm} < 3.53 1.6

1.4 forward 1.2 0.8 0.6 EPS09NLO + CEM (R. Vogt) 0.4 EPPS16 reweighted (J. Lansberg et al.) 0.2 nCTEQ15 reweighted (J. Lansberg et al.) 0 'n 10 12 Я 14 p_ (GeV/c) – shadowing calculations fail to predict $\mathsf{R}_{_{pPb}}$ at backward rapidity

 – coherent energy loss catches forward rapidity when EPS09 nuclear shadowing is considered, but fail at backward-y

 – comovers slightly overestimates data at backward rapidity (but large uncertainty dominated by nPDF)





Take-home notes: Quarkonia in p-Pb collisions

Charmonia:

- J/Ψ suppressed at low p_T; reproduced by models implementing nPDF modifications and coherent energy loss (initial state effects)
- larger Ψ(2S) nuclear suppression w.r.t. J/Ψ at backward rapidity / higher centrality → described by models which implement further interactions in the final state, e.g. comovers

Bottonomia:

- Increasing suppression for excited states, $R_{pPb}(\Upsilon(1S)) > R_{pPb}(\Upsilon(2S)) > R_{pPb}(\Upsilon(3S))$
- models implementing shadowing and / or coherent energy loss fail to predict R_{pPb} at backward rapidity (also for the ground state)
- in general in good agreement with comovers model





Nature 448 (2007) 302-309



Nature 448 (2007) 302-309

Key Observables

1)
$$R_{AA}(p_T, y) = \frac{1}{\langle N_{coll} \rangle} \cdot \frac{d^2 N_{AA}/dp_T dy}{d^2 N_{pp}/dp_T dy}$$

 ∞

2)
$$\frac{dN}{d\varphi} \propto 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \cdot \cos[n(\varphi - \Psi_{\rm RP})] \quad v_n = \langle \cos[n(\varphi - \Psi_{\rm RP})] \rangle$$

- Initial spatial anisotropy:



 pressure gradients convert any initial geometrical anisotropy into an anisotropy in the momentum space

– anisotropy is quantified by the 2^{nd} order coefficient v_2 of the Fourier expansion of the particle azimuthal angle distribution w.r.t. the reaction plane

- \rightarrow low-p_T: sensitive to bulk QGP properties
- → high- p_{T} : sensitive to the in medium energy loss (path-lenght dependence)





All compatible with a regeneration scenario!

$J/\psi R_{AA}$ - Comparison with models R_{AA} $R_{\rm AA}$ ALICE Preliminary, Pb-Pb, $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ **ALICE Preliminary** Inclusive J/ψ , |y| < 0.9Data (2018) Pb-Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ 2 TM1 (Du et al.) $p_{-} > 0.15 \text{ GeV}/c$ Inclusive J/w |v| < 0.92.5 < y < 4TM2 (Zhou et al.) • Data 0-20% Comover (Ferreiro et al.) • Data 0-10% SHM (Andronic et al.) TAMU TAMU SHM SHM T $L_{\rm int} \approx 19.4 \ {\rm nb}^{-1} \, {\rm (pp)}$ $L_{\rm int} \approx 93 \ \mu b^{-1} (Pb-Pb)$ 200 300 100 400 0 15 5 10 n 20 $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ $p_{\tau} (\text{GeV}/c)$ ALI-PREL-336026 ALI-DER-346483

- \rightarrow Models including regeneration mechanism in agreement with data
 - Statistical Hadronization (SHM)
 - All charmonia produced at the QGP phase boundary with thermal weights
 - Transport model (TAMU)
 - Based on rate equation with gain (regeneration) and loss (melting) terms
- \rightarrow large uncertainties on the models arise from charm cross sections and poor constrained nPDF
- \rightarrow discriminating between the two pictures is one of the goals of Run3/4

$J/\psi v_2^2$ – comparison to D-mesons and π

JHEP 10 (2020) 141



– J/ ψ v₂>0 \rightarrow consistent with regeneration scenario, considering **charm quarks thermalized** in QGP

- Clear mass hierarchy at low-pT: $v_2(\pi) > v_2(D) > v_2(J/\psi)$
- Specie independent v_2 at high- p_T

$J/\psi v_2 - comparison with models$



- Low p_{τ} region described by transport model

– Larger flow than the one predicted from the model at high- $p_{\tau} \rightarrow missing$ mechanism (e.g. energy loss) and/or underestimated radial flow from the hydrodynamic expansion

[On a side note: nuclear suppression R_{AA} is well reproduced by transport model]



– Increasing suppression of $\Upsilon(nS)$ with centrality + saturation of R_{AA} at higher centralities

- R_{_{AA}} of Y(1S) saturates to ~0.35 (factor ~3 suppression), R_{_{AA}} of Y(2S) suppressed by a factor ~10
- compatible with a sequential ordering of the suppression

 \rightarrow difficult to conclude about the effective suppression of the ground state due to the **not well known feeddown contributions**





– Several models reproduce the trends of the data within uncertainties (all include feed-down contributions from higher states):

 – hydrodynamics: thermal modification of a complex heavy-quark potential inside an anisotropic plasma (no modification of nuclear PDFs / no regeneration included)

- **transport models:** interplay of dissociation and regeneration mechanisms, modification of nPDF included (available with and without regeneration)

– **comovers:** break-up by interactions with comover particles, nCTEQ15 parametrisation for nPDF

 \rightarrow fair agreement also when regeneration is not included

 R_{AA}

1.2



 $- \Upsilon(1S) v_2$ compatible with zero and significantly smaller than that of inclusive J/ ψ

- different relative importance of production mechanisms (dissociation vs regeneration) for J/ ψ and Y(1S)?
- Results compatible within uncertainties with values predicted by theoretical models:
 - regeneration included in TAMU, but it gives no significant contribution to the $\Upsilon(1S)$ v₂

– only the path-length dependent dissociation implemented in BBJS

Take-home notes: Quarkonia in Pb-Pb collisions

Charmonia:

- Regeneration mechanism essential for describing suppression patterns observed for J/Ψ at the LHC → two competitive models: statistical hadronization vs transport model
 - discriminate between the pictures would shed light on fundamental questions about hadronization in a deconfined medium
 - precise measurments of excited states will help to disentangle between the two pictures
- Significant **non-zero ν**, measured at the LHC for J/Ψ:
 - Consistent with the regeneration scenario, assuming thermalization of charm quarks in QGP
 - Clear **mass ordering** observed at low p_{τ} when compared to D mesons and pions
 - Tension with transport model at intermediate / high $p_{\tau} \rightarrow$ missing mechanism in models ?

Take-home notes: Quarkonia in Pb-Pb collisions

Charmonia:

- Regeneration mechanism essential for describing suppression patterns observed for J/Ψ at the LHC → two competitive models: statistical hadronization vs transport model
 - discriminate between the pictures would shed light on fundamental questions about hadronization in a deconfined medium
 - precise measurments of excited states will help to disentangle between the two pictures
- Significant **non-zero v**, measured at the LHC for J/Ψ:
 - Consistent with the regeneration scenario, assuming thermalization of charm quarks in QGP
 - Clear **mass ordering** observed at low p_{τ} when compared to D mesons and pions
 - Tension with transport model at intermediate / high $p_{\tau} \rightarrow$ missing mechanism in models ?

Bottonomia:

- Larger suppression observed for excited bottonium states \rightarrow compatible with a sequential ordering of the suppression
 - Difficult to conclude for the suppression of the ground state due to the unknown feeddown contributions
 - Suppression patterns as a function of centrality (and p_T) described fairly well within the uncertainties by transport, hydrodynamics and comover models → point to no significant contribution from regeneration
- **Y(1S)** v_2 compatible with zero and much smaller compared to $J/\Psi v_2$:
 - Consistent with small or negligible contribution from regeneration

Take-home notes: Quarkonia in Pb-Pb collisions

Charmonia:

- Regeneration mechanism essential for describing suppression patterns observed for J/Ψ at the LHC → two competitive models: statistical hadronization vs transport model
 - discriminate between the pictures would shed light on fundamental questions about hadronization in a deconfined medium
 - precise measurments of excited states will help to disentangle between the two pictures
- Significant **non-zero v**, measured at the LHC for J/Ψ:
 - Consistent with the regeneration scenario, assuming thermalization of charm quarks in QGP
 - Clear **mass ordering** observed at low p_{τ} when compared to D mesons and pions
 - Tension with transport model at intermediate / high $p_{\tau} \rightarrow$ missing mechanism in models ?

Bottonomia:

- Larger suppression observed for excited bottonium states \rightarrow compatible with a sequential ordering of the suppression
 - Difficult to conclude for the suppression of the ground state due to the unknown feeddown contributions
 - Suppression patterns as a function of centrality (and p_T) described fairly well within the uncertainties by transport, hydrodynamics and comover models → point to no significant contribution from regeneration
- **Y(1S)** v_2 compatible with zero and much smaller compared to $J/\Psi v_2$:
 - Consistent with small or negligible contribution from regeneration

Thank you for your attention!

BACK-UP



– Constant R_{AA} for Y(2S) as a function of rapidity in agreement with models within large uncertainties

- Flat R_{AA} as a function of rapidity for $\Upsilon(1S)$ with a dropping from ~0.4 to ~0.3 at forward rapidity
 - not reproduced by hydrodynamics (opposite trend) and Coupled Boltzmann equations
 - \rightarrow could point to missing mechanism in available models

$J/\psi v_3 / v_2$



Decomposed transverse projection of participant region in Fourier series

- Energy density fluctuations in the overlap region \rightarrow generates triangularity (v₃)



– Similar hierarchy observed for $v_{_3}$ / $v_{_2}$ $\rightarrow\,$ higher harmonics are damped faster for heavy quarks than for the light ones

- Nearly species independent for light flavor particles
- Heavy-flavour hadrons (both J/ ψ and D mesons) deviate from this expectation
 - Less sensitivity to initial state fluctuations wrt light flavor species
 - Possible consequence of a late or incomplete charm thermalization





– Prompt $\sigma_{\chi c1} / \sigma_{\chi c2}$ ratio in pPb collisions sensitive to final state effects (similar binding energies for χ_{c1} and χ_{c2})

– consistent with unity and pp within uncertainties at bit forward and mid rapidity \rightarrow similar CNM effects for the two states





– Models based on nPDF modifications or coherent energy loss (not shown) predict same $Q_{_{pPb}}$ for $\Psi(2S)$ and J/ $\!\Psi$

 Only models implementing final state interactions (comovers, transport model) in qualitative agreement with data

- large model uncertainties from nPDF

 $Y(nS) v_2 - CMS$

[CMS: Phys. Lett. B 819 (2021) 136385]



– No significant flow observed for $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$

- Compatible with models at low- p_{τ} , some of the the predict large v_2 at high- p_{τ}
- Large uncertainties \rightarrow all scenarios still possible



- Clear mass hierarchy at low-pT: $v_2(\pi) > v_2(D) > v_2(J/\psi)$
- Specie independent v_2 at high- p_T

$J/\psi v_2$ in p-Pb collisions

- ✓ In heavy-ion collisions non-zero v, indicates the participation in the collective expansion of the system
- p-Pb collisions:
 - ✓ J/ ψ V₂ measured looking at long-range angular correlations between backward / forward rapidity J/ ψ and charged hadrons produced at mid-rapidity (rapidity gap ~ 1.5)
 - ✓ Non-zero v_2 observed for $p_T > 3$ GeV/c (~5 σ significance)
 - ✓ Similar v_2 compared to Pb-Pb measurements → very intriguing result: **common underlying mechanism** (besides what's included in current calculations) at the origin of J/ ψ v_2 ?
 - Initial conditions ?



ALICE Perspectives Run 3 / 4

- ALICE Upgrade foreseen for Run III-IV:
 - Continuous readout: high statistics minimum bias sample (target for Pb-Pb: $L_{int} = 10 \text{ nb}^{-1}$) \rightarrow ~ significant improvement for low p_{τ} quarkonia at mid-rapidity
 - New Muon Forward Tracker (MFT) at forward rapidity \rightarrow reconstruction of secondary vertices possible V



ψ (2S) in Pb-Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV





- ✓ ψ (2S) more suppressed than J/ ψ towards more central collisions
- Results compatible with CMS
- ✓ Not possible to conclude due to the large uncertainties → more precise measurements expected thanks to 2018 Pb-Pb data

Bottonomium R_{AA}



– Comparison of **Y(2S)-to-Y(1S) double ratio** with models:

- tension observed with comovers

hydro calculations describe the data within uncertainties

transport model with regeneration component included in better agreement

→ with more precise measurements could serve as a model discriminator

