







## Dose enhancement studies in Microbeam Radiation Therapy: Geant4 simulations and experimental results

Dr Jenny Spiga<sup>1</sup>, Dr Jon Duffy<sup>1</sup>, Prof Alberto Bravin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Warwick, UK <sup>2</sup>European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Grenoble, France



IV Geant4 International User Conference

# MRT

- Preclinical technique that may improve tumour control with less normal tissue damage than conventional RT
- MRT uses a spatially fractionated array of narrow microplanar X-ray beam, typically 50 μm wide and with a separation of 400 μm c-t-c
- High dose rate is important to limit the motion of the irradiated target
- At the ESRF: ~14000 Gy/s; Typical irradiation: 600 Gy (exposure ~100 ms vs 70 Gy split into daily doses of 2 Gy in conventional RT)



#### MRT: What is so special?

• Lower energies

- High doses -> No need for temporal fractionation
- The response of tumour tissue to MRT is different to normal tissue response
- The response of tumour and normal tissue to MRT is different compared to conventional BB RT





Very high x-ray dose Very short exposure Parallel beams

- Synchrotrons: too big, too expensive, too complex for clinical environment
- How to compare the radiobiological effects of MRT with conventional BB therapy
- Finding the optimal therapeutic strategy

#### **Alternative sources**

- Inverse Compton compact sources are available in Europe
- Lower dose rate (10<sup>13</sup> vs 10<sup>18</sup> ph/s)

Using dose enhancement to increase the dose rate



### **Combination of X-rays with dose enhancers**

- Dose enhancers are high-Z compounds that can be selectively accumulated within the tumour prior to irradiation
- The probability of photoelectric interaction increases significantly for the energy range of the MRT spectrum
- ESRF spectrum ranges from 30 to 600 keV, with a peak energy at 80 keV
- Iodine Z= 53
- Gadolinium Z=71



Mean MRT energy

#### **Dose enhancement**

- Quantitative dosimetry for MRT has proven to be very challenging
- We have shown that I and Gd based compounds – standard injectable medical imaging radiocontrast agents – can be used with BB to enhance the dose deposition to the tissue by increasing the density of ejected electrons

Very good agreement of Geant4 and experimental results for BB



#### **Challenges in experimental dosimetry**

- Will the dose pattern be preserved in depth?
- How do we validate our models?
- Gafchromic films disintegrate in liquids
- Two different Gafchromic films are needed to measure peaks and valleys



#### **Results 1 – Lateral dose profiles**



Figure 1. Comparison of experimental and simulated percentage depth dose profiles, results are within 5%.

Figure 2. Comparison of experimental and simulated microbeams spatial distribution for Gadolinium (concentration 10 mg/ml). Discrepancy in the valley dose needs more investigation.

### **Results 2 - PVDRs**

#### Simulated PVDRs are higher: underestimation of the valley dose.

Gd10		Gd20	
PVDR GEANT4		PVDR GEANT4	
34.3632	1 <sup>st</sup> slit	34.8568	
23.0602	2 <sup>nd</sup> slit	21.4302	
22.0395	3 <sup>rd</sup> slit	21.0198	
	PVDR FILM		
30.2016	1 <sup>st</sup> slit	38.8174	
25.5061	2 <sup>nd</sup> slit	19.9242	
26.5436	3 <sup>rd</sup> slit	29.5482	
)	120		
/DR GEANT4 PVDR GEANT4		T4	
33.0758	1 <sup>st</sup> slit	32.4171	
23.0652	2 <sup>nd</sup> slit	21.7707	
22.0291	3 <sup>rd</sup> slit	22.4906	
PVDR FILM		PVDR FILM	
22.4975	1 <sup>st</sup> slit	23.8433	
14.4451	2 <sup>nd</sup> slit	19.1179	
21.4693	3 <sup>rd</sup> slit	22.2972	
	0   4   34.3632   23.0602   22.0395   30.2016   25.5061   26.5436   26.5436   33.0758   23.0652   23.0652   22.0291   14.4451   14.4451   21.4693	0 Gd2   4 PVDR GEANT   34.3632 1st slit   23.0602 2nd slit   22.0395 3rd slit   30.2016 1st slit   25.5061 2nd slit   26.5436 3rd slit   33.0758 1st slit   33.0758 1st slit   23.0652 2nd slit   23.0652 2nd slit   23.0652 2nd slit   21.4693 3rd slit	

## **Conclusions and future steps**

- Geant4 looks promising for dose deposition calculations of broad beams at low energies
- When it comes to microbeams, there is an underestimation of the valley dose with respect to experimental data that needs further investigation
- Gel dosimetry, first experiments at compact sources, different dose enhancers, different concentrations
- Thanks to:
- ID 17 beamline at ESRF
- STFC for funding

Thank you for your attention!!!