





Geant4 simulations of two types of CdZnTe-based γ-ray spectrometers for the monitoring of the radioactivity in the marine environment

> Varvara Lagaki Post-Doctoral Associate Department of Physics, University of Athens, Greece



Receives funding from European Union under Horizon 2020 FET Proactive Programme via grant agreement No. 101017808

10

www.ramones-project.eu







- Motivation
- GR1 spectrometer
- µSPEC4000 spectrometer
- Simulations
- Conclusions



## Radioactivity in the marine environment

- Radioactivity exists in the marine environment (natural or artificial origin)
- Significantly under-sampled, unmeasured, and understudied
- Radioactivity monitoring in the marine environment with current instrumentation is relatively limited on both short and long-term periods
- The massive ocean volume acts as a protective shield to the human population from radioactivity sources deep inside the water but this is not the case for ocean ecosystem

Need for innovative solutions for better marine radioactivity monitoring





## **RAMONES:** RAdioactivity Monitoring in OceaN EcosystemS

<u>Basic idea</u>: Offer a **new generation of radiation sensing instruments** for *in situ*, continuous and long-term monitoring of natural and artificial radioactivity in harsh underwater environments



## γ-Sniffers

- Gamma spectrometers (CdZnTe crystal) inside AUG
- Perform surveys of extended areas and volumes of the ocean waters in order to detect hot spots of radioactivity



## Stationary Benthic Lab:

- Gamma Spectrometer
- Gamma Imager
- Alpha Spectrometer
- Cherenkov Imager



# **GR1** spectrometer





1 cm<sup>3</sup> CZT crystal (Kromek/Canberra)

Nominal crystal's dimensions: 10 x 10 x 10 mm

Evaluation of the detector's geometry and response through different scenarios



RAMONES





CT scan images Specification sheet → 1. De 2. Cr

Detector's geometry Crystal dimensions: 10 x 10 x 9 mm

Nominal value: 10 x 10 x 10 mm



Experimental measurement at 10 cm distance with a point-like standard calibrated source

Simulations with Geant4:

 Match simulated results to the experimental ones → Simulate reliably any new situation





18% difference between experiment and simulations

RAMONES



Crystal dimensions:

- Specification sheet  $\rightarrow$  10 x 10 x 10 mm
- CT scan  $\rightarrow$  10 x 10 x 9 mm
- Simulations  $\rightarrow$  10 x 10 x 9 mm (physical volume) and 9.5 x 9.5 x 8.25 mm (effective volume)

## Inactive layer phenomena (physical volume ≠ effective volume)



Discrepancies:

- 1. Approximation of the electronic components
- 2. Approximation of the lab's surroundings
- 3. Incomplete charge collection phenomena

S. Mohamad Tajudin, et al. (2019), doi: 10.11113/mjfas.v15n4.1254 Bolotnikov, A. et al. (2012), doi:10.1016/j.nima.2011.10.066 Kim, K., -O., et al. (2009), doi: 10.5516/NET.2009.41.5.723 Linxiang LI et al. (2022), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2022.166922.





# **Simulations in aquatic environment**

## Water tank of the Laboratory of Harbor Works in National Technical University of Athens

• Varied the distance between a <sup>152</sup>Eu point source and the detector:

### Geant4 geometry





Blue: Water Orange: Fe grid White: Housing Red: Source Yellow: Styrofoam Grey: Concrete Light grey: Carbonate rocks Black: CZT shielding







# First tests in aquatic environment

Water tank of the Laboratory of Harbor Works in National Technical University of Athens

- Water tank's depth: ~30 cm
- Plexiglass housing with thickness ~4 mm
- <sup>152</sup>Eu point-like standard calibrated source









# First tests in aquatic environment

Water tank of the Laboratory of Harbor Works in National Technical University of Athens





NUSTRA



Very good agreement between experiment and simulations



# **µSPEC4000 spectrometer**





## 4 cm<sup>3</sup> CZT crystal (Ritec)

Nominal crystal's dimensions: 20 x 20 x 10 mm

## Evaluation of the detector's geometry and response through different scenarios



• <sup>137</sup>Cs point source placed 10 cm away from the detector at the lab:

# NI - DALLAND

RAMONES

#### Geant4 geometry







## **Simulations in aquatic environment**

RAMONES

• Varied the distance between a <sup>137</sup>Cs point source and the detector:



![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Simulations in aquatic environment**

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

# <u>GR1 vs µSPEC4000</u>

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Conclusions**

- RAMONES: development of innovative instrumentation for enhanced monitoring of the radioactivity in marine ecosystems
- Mobile (γ-sniffers) and stationary platforms (benthic lab)
- γ-sniffers → CZT spectrometers inside AUG will perform surveys of ocean volumes for the detection of radioactivity hot spots
  - **GR1** (1 cm<sup>3</sup> CZT crystal) :
    - ✓ Simulations results → Nominal GR1 crystal's dimension ≠ Actual GR1 crystal's dimensions
    - $\checkmark$  Very good agreement between simulations and experiment for the detection range
    - ✓ Detection range ~ 70 cm → supplementary instrument for benthic lab or potential surface vehicle
  - > **µSPEC4000** (4 cm<sup>3</sup> CZT crystal) :
    - ✓ Very good agreement between simulations and experiment
    - ✓ Detection range ~100 cm and higher detection efficiency compared to GR1→ more suitable for γ-sniffers

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Our consortium:

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Backup slides**

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Materials composition

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

Air (ρ=0.001205 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
Element	Weight Fraction (%)
С	0.0124
Ν	75.5268
0	23.1781
Ar	1.2827

## Sea water composition (salinity 3.5%):

Element	Percent by mass
<u>Oxygen</u>	85.84
<u>Hydrogen</u>	10.82
<u>Chlorine</u>	1.94
<u>Sodium</u>	1.08
<u>Magnesium</u>	0.1292
<u>Sulfur</u>	0.091
<u>Calcium</u>	0.04
Potassium	0.04
<u>Bromine</u>	0.0067
Carbon	0.0028

## **Geant4 vs MCNP in aquatic environment**

- Water tank of d=146cm and depth=30cm
- Filled with water  $(H_2O)$
- <sup>152</sup>Eu source 10cm away from the detector
  - 10 cm

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

## **Field tests**

1. Water tank of the Laboratory of Harbor Works at National Technical University of Athens

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **Field tests**

1. Water tank of the Laboratory of Harbor Works at National Technical University of Athens

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

RAMONES

# Field tests (Static)

## Sea vs Pool environment

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### 24.10.2022 IV Geant4 International User Conference

# **Semiconductors operation**

The operation of semiconductor detectors is summarized in the following points:

- Ionizing radiation enters the sensitive volume of the detector and interacts with the semiconductor material.
- Particle passing through the detector ionizes the atoms of semiconductor, producing the **electron-hole pairs**. The number of electron-hole pairs is proportional to the energy of the radiation to the semiconductor. As a result, a number of electrons are transferred from the valence band to the conduction band, and an equal number of holes are created in the valence band.
- Under the influence of an electric field, electrons and holes travel to the electrodes, where they result in a **pulse** that can be measured in an outer circuit
- This pulse carries information about the energy of the original incident radiation. The number of such pulses per unit time also gives information about the intensity of the radiation.

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

## µSPEC4000 geometry

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

MCNP5 geometry

Green: Sea water Blue: Aluminum Orange: Air Pink: CdZnTe crystal Yellow: Acetal or Acryl Light Blue: Silicon White: Void

RAMONES

Evaluation of the detector's response through 6 different scenarios at marine environment

## Nominal crystal's dimensions: 20 x 20 x 10 mm

# **Different scenarios in the marine environment**

• Scenario 1: Varied distance between detector and a point source (<sup>137</sup>Cs)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Scenario 3: Isotropic spherical volume source of <sup>226</sup>Ra with R=50 cm for different seawater's salinity
- Scenario 4: Two-point source ( $^{137}Cs$ ) situation with the detector moving alongside to them ( $A_1 = A_2$ ,  $A_1 = A_2/2$ )
- Scenario 5: Two-point source (<sup>137</sup>Cs) situation with the detector moving perpendicular to them ( $A_1=A_2$ ,  $A_1=A_2/2$ )
- Scenario 6: Two-point source (<sup>137</sup>Cs) situation with the detector moving diagonally to them with different θ angles (A<sub>1</sub>=A<sub>2</sub>)

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

• Varied distance between detector and a point source:

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

For distances higher than 100 cm zero counts were detected from the crystal

100 cm

• Different isotropic spherical volume of <sup>226</sup>Ra and daughter nuclides with R=10, 50 and 100cm

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

Isotropic spherical volume source of <sup>226</sup>Ra with R=50 cm for different seawater's salinity

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

• Two-point source (<sup>137</sup>Cs) situation with the detector moving alongside to them:

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

400

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

• Two-point source (<sup>137</sup>Cs) situation with the detector moving perpendicular to them

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

• Two-point source (<sup>137</sup>Cs) situation with the detector moving diagonally to them with different  $\theta$  angles:

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

## **Simulations vs experiments**

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)