## A further look to AmBe data, and calibration for saturation

G. Cavoto, E. Di Marco, D. Pinci

CYGNO Meeting, 26 March 2020





- Introduced a fix for the multiple filling of the ROOT tree. This fixed a normalization issue when comparing different runs
- Found better runs for background only (2156-2159). The one we used had some clear issues



## CMOS integral quantities seem reasonable. Activity in bkg-only<Fe<AmBe

Cavoto, Di Marco, Pinci

26 March 2020

**CYGNO** meeting

# superclusters, not clusters



 always use superclusters seeded by iteration1+2 basic clusters to make plots (because they manage to "contain" a full track, both for recoil candidates, Fe spots and especially cosmic-induced long tracks)



example image:

#### superclusters are the green

N.B. basic clusters (red/blue) are only used to identify the interesting regions of the image

Yellow (it3 clusters) are not used

Also improved the shrinking of the supercluster around the track

Cavoto, Di Marco, Pinci

26 March 2020



- N.B. in the following the AmBe and no-source are normalized to the same livetime. Fe55 is scaled by 1/10 because the activity is much larger
- Bottom plot shows the difference (=> it makes sense for AmBe no-source)

## integral (#photons), zoomed and full range



Cavoto, Di Marco, Pinci

26 March 2020

supercluster shapes



- Most of AmBe clusters are round spots. Then another component with length 50-100 pixels, i.e. up to 1.5 cm. No longer than that.
- Transverse Gaussian sigma is larger than Fe spots (5 vs 4 pixels)





- Very clear clusters along tracks from cosmics. Fixed the code to find peaks along the track profile (don't use it yet, but may be used later to check headtail asymmetry)
- $\sigma_{Gauss}$  = 4-pixels is extracted from the fit of the transverse profile.
  - N.B. the width as we always defined (i.e. length of the minor axis of the cluster "ellipse") is about 5\*2  $\sigma_{Gauss}$







 This is the variable that seems to discriminate more the "cosmics" background (# photons / #hits above threshold)



3 populations evident

- ~4: overlapping cosmics background. It seems consistent in rate also
- ~10: these are often "spots"-like dense deposits
- ~18: these are very bright tracks up to
  1.5 cm long

Signal eff. vs bkg rejection



- Bakground rejection from no-source sample
- Signal efficiency from "AmBe no-source" (background subtracted density distribution). Same when considering Fe as background (to remove cosmics)



Eg. For 50% efficiency on AmBe: => 90% rejection of "cosmics" bkg => 80% rejection of "Fe" bkg (this is much lower because the peak at ~10 almost overlaps between AmBe and Fe

EV(i)L plot



 Can do a energy vs length plot, after subtracting the background from nosource



A component with low energy and up to 1.5 cm Not very large energy, but this may be due to the saturation

Correction for saturation



- From Davide, got a correction curve with Fe: energy vs density.
  - the density for Fe arrives to <10, extrapolate with a function (since AmBe arrives up to 30)</li>
  - the idea is to get a calibrated energy from the **local** density along the cluster. Local means in "slices" of the cluster comparable to the Fe spots. Need to be done during reconstruction







- Take the supercluster. Make it's "skeleton", i.e. a 1-pixel wide crest of the supercluster.
- thinning procedure applied to remove small branches in the skeleton
- make circles of radius=15pixels with centers along the skeleton to gather the slices
- compute the calibrated energy with Davide's curve for each slide and finally sum-up to get supercluster calibratyed energy



Very fresh plot



- Will redo spectra with calibrated energy today. For now showing the calibration constants distribution in the AmBe runs.
- Average of 2, but with tails up to 10. Can be negative (if a slice happens among 2 clusters)...



The End