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Overview

- APS-U lattice requires on-axis swap-out injection due to aggressive tuning for lowest emittance (42 pm)
- APS booster has relatively high emittance
  - At low charge, measure[^1][^2] $\varepsilon_x \approx 70$ nm and $\varepsilon_y \approx 1$ nm
  - High charge could inflate this, but not seen in simulations[^3]
- Normally, beam sizes at injection point are $\sigma_x \approx 600$ $\mu$m and $\sigma_y \approx 50$ $\mu$m
  - Natural injection scheme is in vertical plane with Lambertson septum
- Lambertson septum is challenging, so horizontal-plane scheme developed with emittance exchange in BTS

---

[^1]: K. Wootton, private communication.
[^2]: V. Sajaev, private communication.
[^3]: J. Calvey, NAPAC16, 647.
Relevant APS-U performance requirements

- APS-U should need minimal enhancements to existing shielding, requiring
  - Injection efficiency: >95%
  - Beam lifetime: > 3 h @ 200 mA
  - Swapped-out bunches go to a dump inside a longitudinal gradient dipole
- The injection process should not significantly affect beam stability
  - Transient beam motion < 10% of beam size
  - Transient emittance increase < 2%
    - Equivalent to transient brightness drop from replacing one bunch
- Vacuum system requirements
  - > 30 hour gas scattering lifetime
  - Septum (and other special straights) should have ≤10 nT (N₂ equivalent) to limit combined GS lifetime reduction to ~10%
    - Requirement from ion instability is ~3-fold more relaxed

1: B. Micklich, private communication.
2: J. Calvey, private communication.
Vertical-plane injection scheme is the default for APS-U

- Vertical-plane injection was first scheme developed, largely to accommodate booster horizontal emittance (nominally $\varepsilon_x \approx \varepsilon_0 \approx 60$ nm)
- Lambertson septum parameters
  - 1.78 m, 0.95 T, and a ~2-mm blade.
  - Rolled slightly so beam path clears poles and coils in Q1 and Q2
- Injection kickers also send depleted bunch to the swap-out dump

1: A. Xiao et al., IPAC18.
Horizontal-plane injection now seems feasible

- Challenging Lambertson septum\textsuperscript{1} needed for vertical-plane injection\textsuperscript{2}
  - Modeling this magnet pushes the limits of 3D OPERA
    - Unexpectedly high leakage field could have negative consequences
  - Rotation of this magnet makes BTS line alignment a challenge
  - Many manufacturing and design challenges, e.g., vacuum pumping

- Seemed to be no alternative, but horizontal scheme now seems workable
  - Simple x-y emittance exchange gives small horizontal emittance\textsuperscript{3}
  - Can obtain much higher pulser voltages than originally thought possible
    - E.g., $\pm 27$ kV instead of $\pm 15$ kV “limit” established early in APS-U project
  - Conventional pulsed septum magnet can reach well above 1 T\textsuperscript{4}
    - APS has several high-quality pulsed septa, but limited to 0.74 T

---

\textsuperscript{1} M. Abliz et al., NIM A 886, 7-12 (2018).
\textsuperscript{2} A. Xiao et al., IPAC18.
\textsuperscript{3} P. Kuske et al., IPAC 2016, 2028.
\textsuperscript{4} M. Paraliev, https://doi.org/10.23730/CYRSP-2018-005.33
Injection region features similar components

- Stripline kickers identical, but rotated 90 deg as appropriate – more challenging synchrotron radiation shielding for H-Inj
- Septa are different in length, strength, aperture and location
  - H-Inj: off-centered stored beam chamber, septum inner edge x=-3 mm
  - V-Inj: ±4mm(h) by ±3mm(v), centered stored beam chamber (NEG coated)
- Incoming beam is off center in Q1 and Q2
  - H-Inj: larger H offset, giving weaker effects from stray fields
  - V-Inj: smaller H offset + vertical offset → tight aperture limitations
Both schemes optimized using similar approach

- Injected beam is “hemmed in” by the septum on one side and the striplines on the other
  - Stronger kickers may require increasing the minimum stripline aperture
  - Voltage requirement not necessarily a simple linear function assumed kick angle
  - A thicker septum requires higher kicker voltage for fixed stored-beam aperture

- In addition to fitting incoming beam into the DA, provided margin for error and jitter
  - Designed for 0.5-mm margin between 3-σ edge of beam and any physical aperture

- Also constrained by downstream kicker blade and swap-out dump geometry for depleted bunch
Injection straight optimization

- **H-Injection**
  - Beam\(^1\) (after emittance exchange)
    \[\varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_y = 16/60 \text{ nm}\]
    \[\sigma_x/\sigma_y (\text{at ID}) = 0.288/0.379 \text{ mm}\]
  - Stripline kicker (optimized for H-Inj)
    0.752 m long, \(\pm 4.95 \text{ mm gap}\)
  - Kicker voltages
    \(\pm 22.6 \text{ kV}\)
  - Septum (pulsed)
    1.5 m, 1.4 T, 3 mm blade
    Inner edge \(x = -3 \text{ mm}\)

- **V-Injection**
  - Beam\(^1\)
    \[\varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_y = 60/16 \text{ nm}\]
    \[\sigma_x/\sigma_y (\text{at ID}) = 0.559/0.196 \text{ mm}\]
  - Stripline kicker
    0.752 m long, \(\pm 4.95 \text{ mm gap}\)
  - Kicker voltages
    \(\pm 19.5/25/25 \text{ kV}\)
  - Septum (DC)
    1.78 m, 0.95 T, 2.5 mm blade
    Inner edge \(y = 3 \text{ mm}\)
    Rotation angle: 104 mrad

1: Design based on assumed partitioning of booster natural emittance between the planes
Swap-out dump inside S40A:M1 magnet

- Similar swap-out dump for both injection schemes:
  ±4.6 mm aperture, rotated 90 deg depending on the injection plane

- **V-Inj:**
  - To avoid hitting vacuum chamber before the dump, little flexibility for kicker strength adjustment
  - Depleted bunch hits fairly close to the outer edge of the dump

- **H-Inj:**
  - Beam impacts surface of dump well away from vacuum chamber wall thanks to lower beta function
Leakage field of Lambertson is mostly self-compensating\textsuperscript{1,2}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>$b_n$ (T/mm$^{n-1}$)</th>
<th>$a_n$ (T/mm$^{n-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.456</td>
<td>0.482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.1748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>-6.5 $\times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$5.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$9.3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-5.7 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Manageable with nearby correctors

Concern: will the self-compensation work as predicted by OPERA?

First-article Lambertson septum is being built by FNAL for APS-U

Septum in-vacuum bottom pole with slot for VP-shielded, water-cooled stored-beam chamber. Spacers are to protect against damage during shipping.

1: M. Abliz \textit{et al.}, NIM A 886, 7-12 (2018).
2: M. Abliz, private communication.
Lambertson leakage field has impact on DA/LMA

- Recent simulation results show that larger-than-expected leakage field will have negative impact on dynamic and local momentum acceptance
- The impact is not dramatic for 4-fold increase in leakage field (all terms)
  - 9-fold increase will reduce Touschek lifetime
- Awaiting completion of prototype and measurements to understand if there is an issue
Lambertson is challenging measure

- Measurement of the Lambertson leakage field is challenging due to length and small (±4mm by ±3mm) stored-beam aperture
- Plan is to measure 3D magnetic field map, then use generalized gradient expansion$^{1,2}$ for particle tracking

Lambertson stored-beam-chamber field mapping concept (M. Kasa, J. Liu ANL).

Pulsed H-Inj septum requirements achievable

- APS has a high-quality pulsed direct-drive septum\(^1\), but field is only 0.74 T
- APS-U needs much stronger field to ensure
  - Tolerable effect from “stray” fields of S39B:Q1, S39B:Q2
  - BTS magnets clear the ring
- M. Jaski developed 1.4-T design with 3-mm blade
  - Direct-drive with iron shield tube to reduce leakage fields
  - Allows clearing other ring components, even when stray fields are included
  - Large shield tube diameter reduces concerns about vacuum quality
  - Well received at recent detailed review

\(^1\) M. Jaski et al., PAC01, 3230.
Pulsed septum leakage field initially looked unacceptable

- Initially, a simple half-sinusoidal drive waveform was used
- Resulted in a spike in the leakage field, which was very hard to compensate
- Adding a taper on the end of the drive pulse eliminated this issue
Pulsed septum leakage field appears manageable

- Leakage field can have transient impact on beam emittance, position
  - Want brightness reduction of ~2% or less due to septum leakage
    - Same as swapping in high-emittance booster bunch (48 bunch mode)
  - Want beam motion of less than 10% of beam size

- Using data from time-dependent magnet model (M. Jaski), simulations show we can compensate for leakage field, but need AFG-driven power supplies
  - Since this was done, modified design to give even smaller leakage

SS-LPF: 22-kHz stair-step waveform from orbit feedback system in feedforward mode, with 10-kHz low-pass filter from corrector and chamber

LPF: inverted replica of leakage waveform, with 10-kHz low-pass filter

1: M. Jaski, private communication.
Emittance exchange is surprisingly easy

- Exchanging x-y emittances possible with 5 skew quads

- Transport matrix has a convenient form, with $L$ the system length

\[ M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & L \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

- A ~5-m space is sufficient even at 6 GeV

- Conveniently, BTS has a ~15-m zero-dispersion region available

From P. Kuske and F. Kramer, IPAC 2016, 2028. See also M. Aiba, IPAC15, 1716.
BTS design with EXS is very reasonable-looking

- EXS built from six identical quads for simplicity
- New quads are strong (up to 61 T/m), but BSC is <10mm, so not a problem
- Included significant stray field effects of Q2 and Q1 magnets, based on OPERA-generated field maps
Both schemes have similar overall performance

- H-plane injection efficiency simulation initially disappointing, but improved with revised MOGA
  - Usual 12 sextupole families around the ring
  - 6 sextupole knobs on each side of injection point
- Both schemes now very similar when evaluated with 100 post-commissioning ensembles

N.B. These results use an earlier Lambertson septum model than those on slide 12.
Injection systems aim to include everything

- Injection simulations are performed with parallel ELEGANT using gaussian-weighted uniform distributions covering $\pm 4\sigma$

- Simulations include errors in booster and BTS, e.g.,
  - Orbit variation
  - Pulsed power supply jitter
  - Magnet strength errors

- Also included are physical apertures of the transport line, septum, injection kickers, etc.

- For H-Inj scheme, did a second round including uncompensated time-dependent leakage multipoles
  - No significant effect was seen
Conclusions

- Developed both horizontal- and vertical-plane injection schemes for APS-U
  - Very similar expected performance

- For vertical-plane injection, challenges include
  - Obtaining and verifying acceptably low leakage field of septum
  - Modeling effects of measured leakage fields
  - Achieving good vacuum pressure in the small stored-beam chamber
  - Alignment of BTS line with numerous rolled elements
  - Tighter aperture constraints

- For horizontal-plane injection, challenges include
  - Controlling the injection transient from strong pulsed septum’s leakage field
  - Many new, strong quadrupoles and skew quadrupoles
  - Shielding stripline blades from synchrotron radiation

- Overall, the horizontal-plane scheme seems less difficult, but vertical scheme may win on cost and schedule if no show-stoppers are found
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