PET imaging for proton therapy: analysis of in-beam time profiles

Aafke Kraan, INFN Pisa

On behalf of the DOPET and INSIDE experiments



Outline

- Introduction to proton therapy
- Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
- DoPET detector & simulation
- Results
- Outlook
- Conclusions

Outline

- Introduction to proton therapy
- Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
- DoPET detector & simulation
- Results
- Outlook
- Conclusions

Introduction

Charged particles have highly advantageous dose profile compared to photons!



Introduction

One of the main disadvantages of charged particle therapy is the sensitivity to uncertainties:

- Steep dose gradients
- Matching of many individual pencil-beams



photons

- Anatomical changes: (internal organ motion, changes in air cavities, tumour regression, weight loss
- **Proton range** (calibration CT apparatus, proton stopping power, implants)
- Patient inter-fractional setup (daily positioning on the couch)

If we miss the target (for whatever reason) we can cause a damage... (much more serious than for photons)

It would be good, if we could monitor the range of the protons!

Introduction

What happens in the human body when a proton of energy range 50-250 MeV hits the human body?



What kind of fragments?

- Many different types.....
- Most relevant here:
 - β^+ emitting nuclei like ¹⁵O,¹¹C, ¹⁰C, etc.

 \rightarrow can be detected with a PET system

¹⁵O, ¹⁵N, ¹⁴N, ¹³C, ¹²C, ¹¹C, ¹⁰B, ⁸Be, ⁶Li, ⁴Be, ⁴He, ³He, ³H, ²H, ¹H, ...

See for instance: Tommasino & Durante, Cancers 2015,7

Positron Emission Tomography

 β⁺ emitters can be detected with PET (Positron-Emission-Tomography)

| β⁺ emitter | Half-life |
|-----------------|-----------|
| ¹¹ C | 20 min |
| ¹⁵ O | 2 min |
| ¹³ N | 10 min |
| ¹⁰ C | 19 S |
| | |

Method first explored by Enghardt, Parodi, Nishio, Iseki, Crespo, Fiedler, etc...

- PET β^+ activity is (indirectly) related to proton range and dose
- Can compare MC activity prediction with PET data (or data with data)



What's usually done



Parodi, IJROPB, 2007

Goal of this study



- Extract fractions of ¹⁵O, ¹¹C and ¹⁰C in phantoms in in-beam PET data
- Can PET decay-rates give an indication about elements in the phantom?
- Possibly useful for:
 - Validation of the nuclear physics models in FLUKA
 - To investigate biological washout models + perfusion in patients
 - To calculate the elemental composition of the irradiated tissue (detect changes of oxygenation in tumors?)
- Few words about INSIDE project



- Introduction: why hadron therapy?
- Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
- DoPET detector & simulation
- Results
- Outlook
- Conclusions

PET system

PET system

- ✓ Two heads, 38 cm apart
- Each plane 16 x 16 cm²
- Each head contains 9 modules
- LYSO crystal scintillator
- Position sensitive photomultipliers
- Dedicated fast front-end electronics
- DAQ system, 5 ns coincidence window
- Reconstruction algorithm: MLEM

See for instance: Sportelli G, et al. Phys Med Biol (2014) 59(1):43–60. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/59/1/43 V.Rosso et al, JINST 12, 2017



Data acquisition

Phantoms

Phantoms: PMMA, PE and Water.

Irradiations:

- Phantoms were irradiated for 5 s with single pencil beams (10¹⁰ protons, FWHM=10.7 mm) at the Cyclotron Centre of the Bronowice proton therapy centre in Krakow, Poland.
- ✓ 130 MeV protons

Acquisitions:

✓ 5 minutes after data taking



FLUKA Simulation & analysis

Simulation

- Used FLUKA to simulate all data acquisitions and PET system Thesis by A. Topi, 2018
- Mgdraw.f written to score position and times of beta+ decays
- ✓ Detector hits & time stored
- ✓ In-house reconstruction

S. Muraro, NIMA936, 2019

✓ Beam-delivery simulated

Analysis:

- ✓ The activity distributions in space → shape and absolute height of 1-D zprofiles (beam-axis).
- ✓ The activity distributions in time, i.e., **the decay rates** → exponential fit to estimate the contribution of 15 O (t_{1/2}=2 min), 11 C (t_{1/2}=20 min) and 10 C (t_{1/2}=19 s) in the phantoms
- \rightarrow Compare data with MC





Outline

- Introduction: why hadron therapy?
- Positron Emission Tomography (PET)
- DoPET detector & simulation
- Results
- Outlook
- Conclusions



A good agreement between FLUKA and data is seen!.

1-D decay rate for 4 Kraan, et al, JINST 14, 2019 different phantoms fitted with the contributions from Data N_{events}/bin [s⁻¹] N_{events}/bin [s⁻¹] (a) Water Fit data: all (b) PE Fit data: 10C ¹⁵O, ¹¹C, and ¹⁰C Fit data: ¹¹C Fit data: 15O FLUKA 10 10⁴ 10³ 10³ **Observations** PE, PMMA and Zebra: at 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 small times: data is Data N_{events}/bin [s⁻¹] N_{events}/bin [s⁻¹] (c) PMMA Fit data: all (d) Zebra: Fit data: 10C somewhat higher than Fit data: ¹¹C **PMMA-PE** Fit data: 15O FLUKA... FLUKA 10⁴ 10⁴ A good agreement between FLUKA and data is seen!. 10^{3} 10³

0

50

100 150 200 250 300

0

50

time [s]

100 150 200 250 300

Data

Fit data: all

Fit data: 10C

Fit data: ¹¹C

Fit data: 15O

time [s]

Data

Fit data: all

Fit data: 10C

Fit data: 11C

Fit data: 15O

FLUKA

FLUKA

Fitted values were used to calculate the relative fractions of ¹⁵O, ¹¹C, and ¹⁰C in the time interval from 8 to 300 s.

| β+ emitter | Water | | PE | | PMMA | | Zebra | |
|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | DATA | MC | DATA | MC | DATA | MC | DATA | MC |
| ¹⁵ O | 89.4% | 91.5 % | 0 % | 0% | 55.5 % | 58.5 % | 45.4 % | 45.7 % |
| ¹¹ C | 9.1% | 6.2 % | 79.3 % | 85.7 % | 35.7 % | 34.9 % | 43.5 % | 46.2 % |
| ¹⁰ C | 1.6% | 2.4 % | 20.7 % | 14.3 % | 8.8 % | 6.6 % | 11.1 % | 8.1 % |

Overall agreement good!

• ¹⁰C is somewhat higher in data than in MC

Seen also for instance in P. Cambraia Lopes, J. Bauer, A. Salomon, et. al., First in situ TOF-PET study using digital photon counters for proton range verification, Phys. Med. Biol. 61 (2016) 6203

More data needed!

By dividing the phantom into different slices (2 mm in z, 20 mm in x and y) and repeating the fit in each slice, it is possible to approximately map the amount of ¹⁵O, ¹¹C and ¹⁰C. In Fig. 5 we show a 1-D map for ¹⁵O for the Zebra phantom data.

From the time fit: number of β^+ decays per slice from ¹⁵O, ¹¹C, ¹⁰C as a function of z in a time-interval from 8 to 300 s, for the Zebra phantom.





INSIDE

INnovative Solutions for In-beam DosimEtry in Hadrontherapy

- In-beam PET detector installed at CNAO (Centro Nazionale di Adroterapia Oncologica)
- * Online-PET (during treatment) in between the spills of the synchroton
- * Simulation framework with FLUKA F. Pennazio, E. Fiorina, V. Ferrero, et al
- First clinical test @CNAO, 1-2 Dec. 2016
- * Bi-modal: apart from PET, also charged particle detector!

See G. Bisogni et. al., J.Medical Imaging 01005, 2017





INSIDE



INSIDE

Data 12/01

Data 12/02

Simulation 12/01





Elisa Fiorina et al., INFN Torino and CNAO, Physica Medica 51 2018

Simulation challenges

Large number of protons has to be simulated

For 1 liter tumor 2 Gy (RBE): > 10¹¹ protons needed...

In practise, simulate a fraction of the plan

Setting up simulation framework to be able to quickly simulate patient treatments (Torino, Pisa)



Conclusions

- Fractions of the various PET isotopes could be accurately monitored in space and time.
- Extracted fractions of ¹⁵O, ¹¹C and ¹⁰C for various phantoms in time intervals immediately after irradiation until 5 minutes after irradiation.
- Based on 10¹⁰ protons, i.e., only a small fraction of what's typically delivered in an entire treatment (~10¹¹ protons/Gy/liter).
- With a simple voxel-by-voxel fit-approach the contributions of the various isotopes could be localized.
- Example of simple experimental setup, useful for validation of FLUKA hadronic models
- New studies to be done with DoPET at CATANA
- INSIDE: clinical trial ongoing to evaluate the use of online-PET →lot's of data to be analyzed



Thanks for your attention

Nr protons vs tumor volume



$$N_{protons} \ per \ Gy(RBE)[\times 10^9] \simeq 0.5[V_t + (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}} V_t^{\frac{2}{3}} 2\sigma]^{0.8}$$