### PET imaging for proton therapy: analysis of in-beam time profiles

Aafke Kraan, INFN Pisa

On behalf of the DOPET and INSIDE experiments



## **Outline**

- **Introduction to proton therapy**
- **Positron Emission Tomography (PET)**
- <sup>l</sup> **DoPET detector & simulation**
- <sup>l</sup> **Results**
- <sup>l</sup> **Outlook**
- <sup>l</sup> **Conclusions**

## **Outline**

- **.** Introduction to proton therapy
- **Positron Emission Tomography (PET)**
- <sup>l</sup> **DoPET detector & simulation**
- <sup>l</sup> **Results**
- <sup>l</sup> **Outlook**
- <sup>l</sup> **Conclusions**

## Introduction

Charged particles have highly advantageous dose profile compared to photons!



## Introduction

One of the main disadvantages of charged particle therapy is the sensitivity to uncertainties:

- Steep dose gradients
- Matching of many individual pencil-beams **protons photons**



- **Anatomical changes:** (internal organ motion, changes in air cavities, tumour regression, weight loss
- **Proton range** (calibration CT apparatus, proton stopping power, implants)
- **Patient inter-fractional setup** (daily positioning on the couch)

If we miss the target (for whatever reason) we can cause a damage… (much more serious than for photons)

It would be good, if we could monitor the range of the protons!

### Introduction

**What happens in the human body when a proton of energy range 50-250 MeV hits the human body?** 



What kind of fragments?

- Many different types... ...
- Most relevant here:
	- β<sup>+</sup> **emitting nuclei like 15O,11C, 10C, etc.**

 $\rightarrow$  can be detected with a PET system

15O, 15N, 14N, 13C, 12C, 11C, 10B, 8Be, 6Li, 4Be, 4He, 3He, 3H, 2H, 1H, …

*See for instance: Tommasino & Durante, Cancers 2015,7* 

# Positron Emission Tomography

•  $β$ <sup>+</sup> emitters can be detected with PET (Positron-Emission-Tomography)



Method first explored by Enghardt, Parodi, Nishio, Iseki, Crespo, Fiedler, etc…

- PET  $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> activity is (indirectly) related to proton range and dose
- Can compare MC activity prediction with PET data (or data with data)



# What's usually done



Parodi, IJROPB, 2007

# Goal of this study



- Extract **fractions of 15O, 11C and 10C in phantoms in in-beam PET data**
- Can PET decay-rates give an indication about elements in the phantom?
- Possibly useful for:
	- **Validation of the nuclear physics models in FLUKA**
	- To investigate biological washout models + perfusion in patients
	- To calculate the elemental composition of the irradiated tissue (detect changes of oxygenation in tumors?)
- Few words about **INSIDE** project



- **Introduction: why hadron therapy?**
- **Positron Emission Tomography (PET)**
- **DoPET detector & simulation**
- <sup>l</sup> **Results**
- <sup>l</sup> **Outlook**
- <sup>l</sup> **Conclusions**

## PET system

#### **PET system**

- $\checkmark$  Two heads, 38 cm apart
- $\checkmark$  Each plane 16 x 16 cm<sup>2</sup>
- $\checkmark$  Each head contains 9 modules
- $\checkmark$  LYSO crystal scintillator
- $\checkmark$  Position sensitive photomultipliers
- $\checkmark$  Dedicated fast front-end electronics
- $\checkmark$  DAQ system, 5 ns coincidence window
- $\checkmark$  Reconstruction algorithm: MLEM

See for instance: Sportelli G, et al. Phys Med Biol (2014) 59(1):43–60. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/59/1/43 V.Rosso et al, JINST 12, 2017



## Data acquisition

#### **Phantoms**

ü **Phantoms:** PMMA, PE and Water.

#### **Irradiations:**

- $\checkmark$  Phantoms were irradiated for 5 s with single pencil beams (10<sup>10</sup>) protons, FWHM=10.7 mm) at the **Cyclotron Centre of the Bronowice** proton therapy centre in Krakow, Poland.
- $\times$  130 MeV protons

#### **Acquisitions:**

 $\checkmark$  5 minutes after data taking



# FLUKA Simulation & analysis

#### **Simulation**

- $\checkmark$  Used **FLUKA** to simulate all data acquisitions and PET system Thesis by A. Topi, 2018
- $\checkmark$  Mgdraw.f written to score position and times of beta+ decays
- $\checkmark$  Detector hits & time stored
- $\checkmark$  In-house reconstruction

S. Muraro, NIMA936, 2019

 $\checkmark$  Beam-delivery simulated

#### **Analysis:**

- $\checkmark$  The activity distributions in **space**  $\hat{\to}$  shape and absolute height of 1-D zprofiles (beam-axis).
- $\checkmark$  The activity distributions in time, i.e., the decay rates  $\hat{\to}$  exponential fit to estimate the contribution of <sup>15</sup>O (t<sub>1/2</sub>=2 min), <sup>11</sup>C (t<sub>1/2</sub>=20 min) and <sup>10</sup>C (t<sub>1/2</sub>=19 s) in the phantoms
- $\rightarrow$  Compare data with MC







- **Introduction: why hadron therapy?**
- **Positron Emission Tomography (PET)**
- <sup>l</sup> **DoPET detector & simulation**
- <sup>l</sup> **Results**
- <sup>l</sup> **Outlook**
- <sup>l</sup> **Conclusions**



A good agreement between FLUKA and data is seen!.

1-D decay rate for 4 different phantoms fitted with the contributions from 15O, 11C, and 10C

#### **Observations**

- PE, PMMA and Zebra: at small times: data is somewhat higher than FLUKA...
- A good agreement between FLUKA and data is seen!.



Fitted values were used to calculate the relative fractions of  $15O$ ,  $11C$ , and  $10C$ in the time interval from 8 to 300 s.



• Overall agreement good!

 $10<sup>C</sup>$  is somewhat higher in data than in MC

Seen also for instance in P. Cambraia Lopes, J. Bauer, A. Salomon, et. al., First in situ TOF-PET study using digital photon counters for proton range verification, Phys. Med. Biol. 61 (2016) 6203

**More data needed!** 

By dividing the phantom into different slices (2 mm in z, 20 mm in x and y) and repeating the fit in each slice, it is possible to approximately map the amount of <sup>15</sup>O, <sup>11</sup>C and <sup>10</sup>C. In Fig. 5 we show a 1-D map for <sup>15</sup>O for the Zebra phantom data.

**From the time fit:** number of  $\beta$ <sup>+</sup> decays per slice from <sup>15</sup>O, <sup>11</sup>C, <sup>10</sup>C as a function of z in a time-interval from  $8$  to  $300$  s, for the Zebra phantom.





## INSIDE

**IN**novative **S**olutions for **I**n-beam **D**osim**E**try in Hadrontherapy

- In-beam PET detector installed at CNAO (Centro Nazionale di Adroterapia Oncologica)
- \* Online-PET (during treatment) in between the spills of the synchroton
- Simulation framework with FLUKA F. Pennazio, E. Fiorina, V. Ferrero, et al
- \* First clinical test @CNAO, 1-2 Dec. 2016
- **Bi-modal:** apart from PET, also charged particle detector!

See G. Bisogni et. al., J.Medical Imaging 01005, 2017





## INSIDE



### INSIDE

Data 12/01

Data 12/02

Simulation 12/01





Elisa Fiorina et al., INFN Torino and CNAO, Physica Medica 51 2018

## Simulation challenges

Large number of protons has to be simulated

For 1 liter tumor 2 Gy (RBE):  $> 10^{11}$  protons needed…

In practise, simulate a fraction of the plan

Setting up simulation framework to be able to quickly simulate patient treatments (Torino, Pisa) 



## Conclusions

- **Fractions** o**f the various PET isotopes could be accurately monitored in space and time.**
- Extracted fractions of  ${}^{15}O$ ,  ${}^{11}C$  and  ${}^{10}C$  for various phantoms in time intervals immediately after irradiation until 5 minutes after irradiation.
- Based on 10<sup>10</sup> protons, i.e., only a small fraction of what's typically delivered in an entire treatment  $({\sim}10^{11} \text{ protons/Gy/liter})$ .
- With a simple voxel-by-voxel fit-approach the contributions of the various isotopes could be localized.
- Example of simple experimental setup, useful for validation of FLUKA hadronic models
- New studies to be done with DoPET at CATANA
- **INSIDE:** clinical trial ongoing to evaluate the use of online-PET  $\rightarrow$  lot's of data to be analyzed



#### Thanks for your attention

### Nr protons vs tumor volume



$$
N_{protons} \, per \, Gy(RBE)[\times 10^9] \simeq 0.5[V_t + (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{3}}V_t^{\frac{2}{3}} 2\sigma]^{0.8}
$$