# pace Ie escope

### www.nasa.gov/fermi



# **High-Energy Observations of Solar Flares During Solar Cycle 24th with the** Fermi Large Area Telescope

### Nicola Omodei, Melissa Pesce-Rollins, Francesco Longo, Vahe Pertosian

### on behalf of the Fermi LAT collaboration



Huge field of view (LAT: 20% of the sky at any instant) Good energy resolution (<15% >100 MeV) **Good Point Spread Function** (~1° at 1 GeV) Large effective area  $(>1 \text{ GeV is } \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ on axis})$ GBM: whole *unocculted* sky at any time. Huge energy range, including largely unexplored band 10 GeV -100 GeV. Total of >7 energy decades!

# 20 MeV - >300 GeV



Huge field of view (LAT: 20% of the sky at any instant) Good energy resolution (<15% >100 MeV) **Good Point Spread Function** (~1° at 1 GeV) Large effective area  $(>1 \text{ GeV is } \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ on axis})$ GBM: whole *unocculted* sky at any time. Huge energy range, including largely unexplored band 10 GeV -100 GeV. Total of >7 energy decades!

### Large AreaTelescope (LAT) 20 MeV - >300 GeV



Huge field of view (LAT: 20% of the sky at any instant) Good energy resolution (<15% >100 MeV) **Good Point Spread Function** (~1° at 1 GeV) Large effective area  $(>1 \text{ GeV is } \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ on axis})$ GBM: whole *unocculted* sky at any time. Huge energy range, including largely unexplored band 10 GeV -100 GeV. Total of >7 energy decades!

> Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) Nal and BGO Detectors 8 keV - 40 MeV

### Large AreaTelescope (LAT) 20 MeV - >300 GeV



Huge field of view (LAT: 20% of the sky at any instant) Good energy resolution (<15% >100 MeV) **Good Point Spread Function** (~1° at 1 GeV) Large effective area  $(>1 \text{ GeV is } \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ on axis})$ GBM: whole *unocculted* sky at any time. Huge energy range, including largely unexplored band 10 GeV -100 GeV. Total of >7 energy decades!

> Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) Nal and BGO Detectors 8 keV - 40 MeV

Large AreaTelescope (LAT) 20 MeV - >300 GeV

# Sun is in average seen 30 minutes every 3 hours

Launched in 2008, continuously monitors the sky







- Detailed likelihood analysis:
  - background sources, quiet Sun;
  - Model the source: power law vs Power law with exponential cut off;
  - **Pion decay template (from Ron Murphy) fitting;**
  - Compute the localization of the gamma-ray emission, optimize the localization in the analysis.

**RHESSI Workshop** 

# **Solar Flare Catalog Framework**



- SunMonitor runs continuously:
- Produces a list of 92 time windows candidates (TS>25)
- Yield 39 flares detections above 60 MeV
- LLE approach detected 6 additional above

### 45 Flares total :

- all associated with X-ray flare
- all but 3 associated with CMEs
- 3 from behind the limb (BTL)

### • in each time window we independently model the background: galactic, isotropic (extragalactic + unresolved CR) +









Pion decay template (from Ron Murphy) fitting;

• Compute the localization of the gamma-ray emission, optimize the localization in the analysis.

**RHESSI Workshop** 

# **Solar Flare Catalog Framework**











- Detailed likelihood analysis:
  - background sources, quiet Sun;
  - Model the source: power law vs Power law with exponential cut off;
  - **Pion decay template (from Ron Murphy) fitting;**
  - Compute the localization of the gamma-ray emission, optimize the localization in the analysis.

**RHESSI Workshop** 

# **Solar Flare Catalog Framework**



- SunMonitor runs continuously:
- Produces a list of 92 time windows candidates (TS>25)
- Yield 39 flares detections above 60 MeV
- LLE approach detected 6 additional above

### 45 Flares total :

- all associated with X-ray flare
- all but 3 associated with CMEs
- 3 from behind the limb (BTL)

### • in each time window we independently model the background: galactic, isotropic (extragalactic + unresolved CR) +





# **Temporal Behavior of Fermi LAT Solar Flares (FLSF)**

**RHESSI Workshop** 









### Credit: A. Shih











**RHESSI Workshop** 

Credit: A. Shih

- Light curve data is sparse.
- We interpolate between data and UL with simple assumption.







For the remaining 25 cases, the prompt emission was not in the field of view, so we cannot conclude anything about the physical presence of it.

- 16 flares detected in >2 time windows (>2hrs)
- + 3 BTL



# **Eight Long Lasting (>4 hr) Solar Flares head to head**



- Rise-time behavior more evident for delayed-only flares

# • The GLE event (2017-09-06) and sub-GLE event (2012-03-07) are by far the brightest one.







# **SOL 2017-09-10 shows multiple components**



![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **SOL 2017-09-10 shows multiple components**

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

 Peak flux of the prompt phase > Peak flux of the delayed phase

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Peak flux of the prompt phase > Peak flux of the delayed phase
- Energy released in the delated phase > Energy released in the prompt phase

# Quantifying the prompt phase and delayed phase

![](_page_16_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Spectral analysis**

### **RHESSI Workshop**

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

11

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Both prompt and delayed emissions exhibit curvature in their spectrum.
- Well reproduced (when statistic allows) with pion decay model (Murphy et al. 1987) lacksquare

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_10.jpeg)

Softening of the p spectrum with time

![](_page_18_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Where does the gamma-ray emission come from?

**RHESSI Workshop** 

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Where does the gamma-ray emission come from?

200

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

AIA 171 Å 2012-03-07 07:42:48

**Correction for fish**eye effect based on **Monte Carlo** simulations

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

Late time emission from 2012-03-07 "wonders around"

**RHESSI Workshop** 

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_10.jpeg)

-500 -1000500 0 Helioprojective Longitude (Solar-X) [arcsec]

**Updated localization**  $\bullet$ for the BTL flare

Location consistent with AR (but on limb!)

![](_page_20_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_15.jpeg)

AIA 171 Å 2017-09-10 16:10:09

750 1000 1250 1500 1750 250 500 2000 Helioprojective Longitude (Solar-X) [arcsec]

AIA 171 Å 2014-02-25 01:09:35

![](_page_20_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Is it extended?

# Fermipy to study the extension of the gamma-ray source (on 2012-03-07 and 2017-09-10). – Fit with Gaussian and Disk templates. profiling the likelihood by varying the radius.

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Pesce Rollins et al. 2015, Ackermann et al., 2017
- i.e. Vestrand & Forrest 1993, Barat et al. 1994, Vilmer et al. 1999,...

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

• Fermi-LAT is providing detections of >100MeV emission from footpoint occulted flares;

• Gamma-ray emission up to 100 MeV has been detected before from behind-the-limb flares:

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Pesce Rollins et al. 2015, Ackermann et al., 2017
- Gamma-ray emission up to 100 MeV has been detected before from behind-the-limb flares: - i.e. Vestrand & Forrest 1993, Barat et al. 1994, Vilmer et al. 1999,...

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

**RHESSI Workshop** 

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

• Fermi-LAT is providing detections of >100MeV emission from footpoint occulted flares;

Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 10° behind the eastern limb;
- RHESSI emission consistent with loop top;

 SEP particles with E>=700 MeV detected;

### Pesce Rollins et al. 2015, Ackermann et al., 2017

### **RHESSI Workshop**

• Bright LAT emission lasting ~2 hr;

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Population studies and correlations with other catalogs

**RHESSI Workshop** 

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

### All flares are associated to GOES, and for the BTL we use the estimated equivalent class from STEREO fluxes

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

### There doesn't seem to be any strong requirement on the GOES flare flux for a **FLSF** delayed or prompt

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_8.jpeg)

Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Only 3 FLSF not associated to CMEs

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

Most are Halo CMEs (all for fast CMEs)

Best predictor: **Delay extend beyond two hours Definite trend for long delayed FLSF** to be associated to faster CME **RHESSI Workshop** 

# **Connection with CMEs**

![](_page_27_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Correlation with CME properties**

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

**RHESSI Workshop** 

### CME speed drives duration and energetics in long duration Fermi LAT solar flares

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

- If the gamma-ray production is associated with CME shock, a tight correlation between type II radio burst and gamma-ray propertied should exist.
- Gopalswamy et al. (2018) indeed study this using the duration from the Share et al. 2017 paper.
- Share et al. 2017 are systematically longer than the ones in this work:
- The resulting best fit line has a softer slope of 0.5±0.1 compared to the 0.9±0.1 reported in Gopalswamy et al. (2018).

![](_page_29_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

**RHESSI Workshop** 

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Longitude distribution: Deficit at the disk center: Gamma-ray production beamed?

- North/South asymmetry: It is known that the distribution of AR shows an asymmetry with one hemisphere dominating at a given time
- Opposite in X-rays and gamma-rays!

![](_page_30_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

### DAILY SUNSPOT AREA AVERAGED OVER INDIVIDUAL SOLAR ROTATIONS

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

**RHESSI Workshop** 

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

Latitude

DAILY SUNSPOT AREA AVERAGED OVER INDIVIDUAL SOLAR ROTATIONS

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

	Total 2	2010 - 2014	4 2014 - 2018
	773	384	389
	96	61	35
5	42	30	12
	45	33	12

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Fermi-LAT is providing valuable observations to understand particle acceleration, transport and gamma-ray emission in Solar Flares;
- Comprehensive study of high-energy solar flares ongoing: toward the first LAT catalog of highenergy solar flares covering Cycle 24 (FLSF)
  - Distinct phases observed (prompt vs delayed);
  - Prompt emission observed during on-disc flares suggests acceleration at the flare site
  - Long emission: Correlation with CME stronger than correlation with GOES X-ray peak flux
- <u>Behind the limb flares</u>: acceleration site likely to be the CME shock, as suggested by Cliver et al. (1993), Pesce-Rollins et al. (2015), and Plotnikov et al. (2017)
- Population studies with CME, X-ray flares and Type II radio bursts:
  - Gamma-ray flare duration better correlates with CME speed than X-ray peak flux;
  - North-south asymmetry also suggest better correlation with CME generating active regions;
    Correlation with Type II radio bursts (Gopalswamy et al., 2018) also suggests shock driven
  - Correlation with Type II radio bursts (Gopal acceleration.

![](_page_33_Picture_13.jpeg)