



# Searches for feebly interacting particles at the NA62 Experiment

Dario Soldi Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare - Torino, Italy

on Behalf of the NA62 Collaboration

16th Patras Workshop on Axions, WIMPs and WISPs



# A Kaon Factory at CERN SPS











- 400 GeV/c primary proton beam
- 3 x 10<sup>12</sup> protons/pulse
- 40 cm beryllium target
- 75 GeV/c unseparated hadrons beam:
- 4.8 x 10<sup>12</sup> K+ decays/year



# The NA62 strategy



Kaons with high momentum. Decay in flight technique. Signal signature: K<sup>+</sup> track +  $\pi^+$  track + missing energy

#### Main background sources:

Decay	BR	Main Rejection Tools
$K^+  o \mu^+  u_\mu(\gamma)$	63%	$\mu$ -ID + kinematics
$K^+  o \pi^+ \pi^0(\gamma)$	21%	$\gamma$ -veto + kinematics
$K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$	6%	multi-track + kinematics
$K^+  ightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	2%	$\gamma$ -veto + kinematics
$K^+  ightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$	5%	$e\text{-ID} + \gamma\text{-veto}$
$K^+  o \pi^0 \mu^+  u_\mu$	3%	$\mu\text{-ID} + \gamma\text{-veto}$

Key performances to deal with the backgrounds:

- O(100 ps) timing;
- O(10<sup>4</sup>) background suppression with kinematics;
- O(10<sup>7</sup>) μ-suppression;
- $O(10^7) \gamma$ -suppression;



# The NA62 detector







## The $\pi^+$ vv interlude



5.3 background + 7.6 SM signal events expected, 17 events observed

**NA62 Run1(2016 + 2017 + 2018) result:** \*  $Br(K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = (11.0^{+4.0}_{-3.5 stat.} \pm 0.3_{syst.}) \times 10^{-11} (3.5\sigma \text{ significance})$ 



Number of events



### The invisible feebly interacting particles at NA62

Searches for a new exotic particle X produced in Kaon decays through detecting missing mass:

- X decaying to invisible particles (neutrinos or dark matter)
- X So long-lived to escape the apparatus

1 track + missing mass: similar signature to the golden mode  $K + \rightarrow \pi + vv$ 

#### OUTLINE:

- $K+ \rightarrow \pi+X, X \rightarrow invisible/visible$  with the full 2016-2018 data
- K+  $\rightarrow \pi$  + $\pi$ 0 (or X with mX ~ m $\pi$ 0), X/ $\pi$ 0  $\rightarrow$  invisible with 2017 data
- $K+ \rightarrow \mu+\nu X, X \rightarrow$  invisible with the full 2016-2018 data
- $K+ \rightarrow IN$  Heavy Neutral Leptons



# $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$ , X invisible



The scalar portal couples the dark sector to the Higgs boson via the bilinear H<sup>+</sup>H Motivation: feebly interacting new particle X operator of the SM. The minimal scalar portal model involves one extra singlet field S in  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$  foreseen in several models and two types of couplings,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ :  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{DS}} - (\mu S + \lambda S^2) H^{\dagger} H.$ Pseudo-scalar: Axion-like particles (ALPs) PBC: J Beacham et al 2020 J. Phys. QCD axion, Axiflavon (m~0) G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 47 010501 It can lead to pair-production of S but cannot NA62: arXiv: 2103.15389 [hep-ex] hS coupling induce its decay.

same analysis of K->  $\pi$ vv:

- Use exactly the same selection, normalization and background evaluation;
- Generate signal with two body decay for 200 mass hypotheses to compute acceptance and resolution in m2miss



# $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$ , X invisible - the Analysis

on control data





Expected  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$  in

signal regions after the

 $\pi vv$  selection

- Normalization to K+ $\rightarrow \pi$ + $\pi$ 0 decay •
- efficiencies evaluated with data driven methods
- Data-driven background estimation
- Analysis binned in  $\pi$ + momentum and beam intensity
- Control regions for validation



# $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$ , X invisible - the Analysis





#### **Background model**

- shape: Parameterized with polynomial functions
- both in Region1 and Region2
- Background yield from  $\pi vv$  analysis, including K-> $\pi vv$

#### Signal model

- shape: Gaussian
- Efficiency and normalization obtained in bins of momentum and intensity, as in *π*vv analysis

Update with full dataset arXiv: 2103.15389 [hep-ex] Submitted to JHEP

Looking for bumps in the mm<sup>2</sup><sub>miss</sub> distribution

# $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$ , X invisible - the Analysis

Assumption: X decays to invisible particles, or it is so long-lived to escape the apparatus

- Shape analysis on m2miss;
- Fully frequentist approach;
- Profiled likelihood test statistic;
- Combination of the 2016-2018 datasets;



Sensitivity degrades at small mX because of resolution. In particular, for axion models, half of the signal is cut away



# $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$ , X visible, but not seen

2016-2018 data

Assumption: X decays to visible Standard Model particles, but far from NA62. If it decays inside NA62, the products are supposed to be vetoed.



 $c\tau$  depends on the coupling parameters and the mass



Improved upper limit over a the range [0,100] MeV and [160,260] MeV



 $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ S$ , S scalar

Higgs mixing model

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{scalar}} = -\left(\mu S + \lambda S^2\right) H^{\dagger} H$$
$$\lambda = 0$$
$$\mu = \sin \theta$$

The lifetime depends on  $\sin\theta$  and  $m_s$ 

S decay only in standard model particles

acceptance is reduced because the decay products (e or µ) are vetoed



 $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$ ,  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \text{inv.}$ 



- Any observation  $\rightarrow$  BSM
- The previous experimental limit is 2.7 10<sup>-7</sup> at 90% CL, from BNL experiments



$$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$$
,  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \text{inv.}$  - strategy

3 7

$$BR(\pi^0 \to \text{invisible}) = BR(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma) \times \frac{N_s}{N_{\pi^0} \times \epsilon_{\text{sel}} \times \epsilon_{\text{trig}}}$$

The main background is  $K \rightarrow \pi + \pi 0$ ,  $\pi 0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  with undetected photons

Counting experiment in the region:  $25 < p_{\pi^+} < 40 \text{ GeV/c}$ and square missing mass in [0.015,0.021] GeV<sup>2</sup>/c

 $BR(\pi^0 \rightarrow invisible) \le 4.4 \times 10^{-9}$  at 90% C.L.

improvement of a factor 60 with respect to the previous experiments

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

$$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ X$$
, mX ~m\_{\pi 0}

Model independent analysis for the upper limit of BR(K-> $\pi^+X$ )

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

## $K + \rightarrow \pi^+ a$ , the ALP hypothesis

Pseudoscalar axion-like particle

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Phys.Lett.B 816 (2021) 136259

2101.12304 [hep-ex]

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} = \frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{f_{\ell}} \sum_{\alpha} \bar{\ell_{\alpha}} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \ell_{\alpha} + \frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{f_{q}} \sum_{\beta} \bar{q_{\beta}} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} q_{\beta}$ 

[J. Beacham et al., J. Phys. G 47 (2020) 010501]

$$f_q = f_\ell$$

If a decays to invisible particles, or it is so long-lived to escape the apparatus

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

M. J. Dolan et al., JHEP 03 (2015) 171 Used for BR and lifetime computation

If a decays to visible SM particles the acceptance is reduced because the decay products (e or  $\mu$ ) are vetoed

![](_page_15_Figure_11.jpeg)

 $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu X$ , X $\rightarrow$ invisible

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

A possible explanation of the **anomalous muon magnetic momentum g-2** is the existence of a new light gauge boson

S.N. Gninenko and N.V. Krasnikov, Phys.Lett.B 513 (2001) 119, https://arxiv.org/pdf/hep-ph/0102222.pdf

In a scenario with dark matter freeze out, it could be a scalar or vector mediator of an hidden sector decaying to Dark Matter  $X \rightarrow \chi \chi$ 

 $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu X$ , with  $X \rightarrow$  invisible,  $\gamma \gamma$ ,  $\mu^+ \mu^-$ Work in progress

Same final state as  $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ N$ , N: Heavy Neutral Lepton <u>One  $\mu^+$  and missing mass</u> Phys.Lett.B 816 (2021) 136259

2101.12304 [hep-ex]

 $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu X$ , X $\rightarrow$ invisible

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

3 body decay  $\rightarrow$  looking for modifications of the  $m_{miss}^2 = (p_K - p_\mu)^2$ 

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Counting experiment with lower cut on m2miss optimized independently for each mass hypothesis, requiring the strongest upper limit

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

 $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu X$ , X $\rightarrow$ invisible

$$N_K = \frac{N_{\rm SM}}{A_{\rm SM} \cdot \mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu)} = (1.14 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{10}$$

Tested mass hypotheses from 10 to 370 MeV

In the model with **scalar mediator**, the mean value of the m2miss is larger compared to the **vector mediator** 

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

This results in a stronger upper limit for the scalar X model

New upper limit for the ultra-rare decay:

 $\mathcal{B}(K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu \nu \bar{\nu}) < 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  at 90% CL

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

# Heavy Neutral Leptons

 $K\text{+}\rightarrow\text{IN},$  with I= e or  $\mu\text{:}$  two body decays looking for bumps

 $BR(P^{+} \rightarrow lN) = BR(P^{+} \rightarrow l\nu) \times \rho_{l}(m_{N}) \times |U_{l4}|^{2}$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

- HNL production is enhanced kinematically wrt SM decays
- Helicity suppression relaxed in the  $K \rightarrow eN$  case: factor  $O(10^5)$  enhancement

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

- NA62 is a detector built to measure the very rare decay K+-> $\pi$ +vv.
  - Nevertheless its characteristics and the beam intensity are such that stronger limits on feebly interacting particles are possible;
- New Limits on:
  - K+ $\rightarrow \pi$ +X, X $\rightarrow$  invisible, in almost the full the mass range ~[0, 250] MeV
  - $\circ$  K+  $\rightarrow \pi$ + $\pi$ 0 (or X with mX ~ m $\pi$ 0), X/ $\pi$ 0  $\rightarrow$  invisible
  - K+ → µ+vX , X→invisible in the mass range [10, 370] MeV
  - Heavy Neutral Leptons: new limits in electron and muon flavors
- Ongoing analyses to finalize other searches with 2016-2018 dataset
- NA62 is ready for the new data taking (Kaon beam and beam dump), starting soon (July 2021)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

# NA62 in Dump Mode

#### Beam dump mode

B and D instantaneously decay to exotic mediators and SM particles which are stopped/deviated

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

slide from Roberta Volpe