Code, Code Management and Online Interactions Summary Report from the Ferrara SuperB Computing R&D Workshop

S. Luitz, Annecy General Meeting, 18.3.2010

About This Talk

The following slides show the R&D topics proposed by the Infrastructure, Tools, Compilers, Standards & Online/Offline issues working group

The major online-relevant topic not covered by this working group is how to take advantage of multi-core CPUs / GPUs, etc.

Main concern: Adapting code to specific technologies vs. staying flexible & architecture-neutral

General Comments

Many of the "R&D" activities in the generic tools area are surveys & technology tracking

- What are others doing? Can we re-use it?
- What are best practices (inside/outside HEP)?
- Need to validate claims of greatness
- Small prototypes with candidate systems

Policies and Recommendations

- Start tight, open up if necessary, establish culture upfront
- From our experience, tightening later is very difficult and generates resentment

Code Quality, Fault Tolerance, Online

Determine requirements and potential solutions for code sharing between Online & Offline

- Minimum coding standards, policies and enforcement "solutions"
- Investigate approaches to error handling & fault tolerance engineering. Determine appropriate levels, cost & tradeoffs
- Grouping of code and packages / packaging
 - Tools, dependency analysis, etc.
- Different frameworks (e.g. Online/Offline) prefer not, but if we have to (e.g. because we reuse other experiment's stuff)
 - How to make the code work with different frameworks?
 - How to make frameworks work together?

QA, code quality, standards

Research and propose a QA strategy & implementation for SuperB

- What is QA?
 - QA code? QA results? QA documentation? All of them!
- Tools (code metrics, automated analyzers, unit testing, global testing)?
- What are others doing?
- Code structuring to simplify QA?

Supporting Multiple Platforms?

Determine Benefits and Costs of supporting multiple OSes/Compilers/Platforms

Why?

- Added value, added cost?
- What do we want? What do we have to do?
- How many and which additional platform(s)?
- Ø Virtualization as a tool to reduce the number of platforms?

Code & Release Management

Determine code and release management structure, policies and workflows

- What are others doing?
- Automated tools for code review
- Policies (who can do what, training, tracking)
- Workflow, investigate tools to implement
- ø packages, grouping, dependencies
- Alternative to users==developers?

Deployment & Installation

- Determine which tools to use for deploying and installing SuperB software
- Requirements:
 - Platform independent (mostly)
 - Tools supported over lifetime of SuperB ?
 - Simple and usable", grid-compatible, grid-portable
 - Manage dependencies (including external deps)
 - Multiple versions on same machine at same time
 - Relocatable
 - No admin privs required
 - Scale from laptop (over Wifi) to 1000s of nodes

Documentation

Determine recommendations for documentation

What are others doing?

Tools, policies & enforcement, recommendations, best practices?

Programming Languages

Produce a recommendation for supported / permitted programming languages in SuperB

- Investigate solutions to "the Fortran problem" (in concert with LHC & theory?)
- Scripting languages review but we probably already know the answer

Adapting BaBar Code

Propose approach to adapting BaBar (and other) code to SuperB standards

- Refactoring vs. re-implementation
- Best practices & tools
- Organizational structure
 - central team vs. farming out to experts?
- Collaborate with optimization effort!

Help!

Survey work can start now It should now since a lot of it affects basic infrastructure Try to get it right from the beginning

Subject-matter experts are welcome to help starting now!