



EMC Background Studies

FullSiom/Background Seesion

17/03/2010

C. Cecchi - S. Germani* Università di Perugial & INFN







- Data:
 - 0.929 ms of Radiative Bhabha background (Full Simulation)
 - Shielded Unshielded comparison
 - Delta_Emin comparison
 - 1 Bunch crossing every 4.644 ns
- Deposited energy
 - Bunch crossing
 - Crystal integration time
 - 1 us Csl
 - 0.2us LSO
 - Energy deposit scaled as $(1 e^{-\Delta t/\tau})/(1 e^{-gate/\tau})$
 - Clustering
- Particles Flux















Shielded

300

120

100

400

Cluster Energy [MeV]

Unshielded

500

180 200

0 140 160 180 200 Cluster Energy Cut [MeV]



















- There is a significant difference between the 10% and 0.2% cuts
- Need to check what happens at lower cut energies







17/03/2010



Shielded



10³

10²

10

10⁴

10³

10²

10

1

EMC boundary Neutron Flux [Hz/cm²] EMC boundary Neutron Flux [Hz/cm²] ਿੱਛ¹⁴⁰ ਦ 130 [편 ¹⁴⁰ 문 130 10⁴ 120 120 10³ 110 110 100 100 **90**E **90**E 10² 80 80 70 70 10 60 60 | **50** 50 -100 -50 0 50 100 150 4950 200 250 -50 50 150 200 250 0 100 Z[cm] Z[cm] EMC boundary Electron Flux [Hz/cm²] EMC boundary Electron Flux [Hz/cm²] ຼົອ¹⁴⁰ ອ_130 [변[]] 140 [변[]] 130 10³ 120 120 110 110 10² 100 100 90 90 80 80 10 70 70 60 60 E 50 **50** 0 -50 0 50 100 150 20 4950 1.1 -100 -50 0 50 100 150 200 250 1 -100 200 250 4950 Z[cm] Z[cm]

Unshielded

17/03/2010















- Agreement with Chih-hisiang to directly compare Full and Fast simulations
 - Define the same timing windows and energy threshold
 - Use exactly the same set of data
- My analysis still not ready
 - Quick look at fast Sim results may hint that FullSim has larger number of clusters (see Chih-hisiang presentation at EMC seesion)





- Background
 - Unshielded configuration
 - seems to have too much EM paricles in the EMC
 - Shielded configuration
 - Need to check effects with Physics analysis
 - Cross check with Fast Sim under way
 - Need to lower the cut on the Delta_:Emin parameter