XII SuperB General Meeting, Annecy, 17/03/2010

# Simulation and Detector Optimization



G.Cibinetto, N.Gagliardi, M.Munerato and M.Rotondo

# Outline

- IFR configurations;
- DBT inputs;
- Efficiencies and Mis-ID distributions (as function of p);
- DBT procedure tuned in 4 p-bins;
- Noise and real detector efficiency;
- Forward and Barrel regions from events coming from B→Dlv decays
- Conclusions.

# **Different IFR configurations**



### **Boost Decision Tree Inputs I**

We use 9 discriminating variables to separate signal from background



#### **Boost Decision Tree Inputs II**





5

#### **Boost Decision Tree Inputs III**



### **BDT** output



Cut on BDT requiring an average Mis-ID of 2%
We extract the efficiency and mid-ID as function of the μ/π momentum
C<sub>2</sub>' configuration seems to be the best





### **BDT optimization**

•BDT technique optimized in 4 bins of the  $\mu/\pi$  momentum •Extracted the muon efficiency for each momentum bin requiring a pion MisID of 2%



### Noise and real detector efficiency



#### Forward and Barrel regions from events coming from B→Dlv decays



# Conclusions

- •BDT is an useful tool to discriminate the different IFR configurations
- •The study performed show  $C_2'$  is the best option
- •We need background simulation with more realistic distributions  ${}^{\bullet}K_{\rm L}$  ID

# **Backup slides**

## **BDT** optimization: 0 < p < 1.5 GeV/c



### **BDT** optimization: 1.5 < p < 2.5 GeV/c



### BDT optimization: 2.5 < p < 3.5 GeV/c

2.5 < p < 3.5



## BDT optimization: 3.5 < p < 5.0 GeV/c

3.5 < p < 5.0 1-MisiD 0.99 0.98 . . 0.97 0.96 0.95 0.94 0.93 0.92 0.91 0.9<sup>上</sup> 0.7 0.75 0.85 0.95 0.8 0.9 Efficiency

### **Noise and real Detector Efficiency**

