Gravitational waves and Multi-messenger astronomy: a new window on the Universe

Barbara Patricelli^{1,2}

¹ Università di Pisa ²INFN - Sezione di Pisa

Pisa November 19, 2019

วจด

Outline

1 [Introduction](#page-2-0)

2 [BBH mergers during O1 and O2](#page-4-0)

- [The first detection: GW150914](#page-4-0)
- **o** [The BBH detections](#page-5-0)
- [The EM follow-up](#page-10-0)

³ [GW170817: the first GW detection of a BNS merger](#page-13-0)

- [GW170817 detection](#page-13-0)
- [EM counterparts](#page-18-0)
- [Implications of the joint GW and EM detection](#page-28-0)

4 [The third observing run](#page-31-0)

- \bullet O₃
- [Public GW alerts](#page-33-0)
- **e** [First results](#page-36-0)

5 [Prospects](#page-43-0)

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

[BBH mergers during O1 and O2](#page-4-0) [GW170817: the first GW detection of a BNS merger](#page-13-0) [The third observing run](#page-31-0) [Prospects](#page-43-0)

The first observing runs of Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo

Credit: LIGO-Virgo

- O1: September 2015 January 2016 Only the two LIGO detectors were operating
- O2: November 2016 August 2017 Virgo joined the network on August 1

The first GW transient catalog

Abbot[t et](#page-2-0) [al.](#page-4-0) [2](#page-2-0)[01](#page-3-0)[9,](#page-4-0)[P](#page-2-0)[R](#page-3-0)[X,](#page-4-0) [9](#page-1-0)[,](#page-2-0) [0](#page-3-0)[3](#page-4-0)[10](#page-0-0)[40](#page-54-0)

[The first detection: GW150914](#page-4-0)

GW150914: The first observation of GWs

The observation The model

Abbott et al. 2016, PRL, 116, 061102

The BBH detections

[The BBH detections](#page-5-0)

- First direct evidences for "heavy" stellar mass BHs (> 25 M.
- From the masses we can infer information on the environment:
	- \rightarrow events like GW150914 most likely formed in low-metallicity environment (≤ 0.5 Z_o)
- BBH merger rate: $53.2^{+58.5}_{-28.8}$ Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹

Abbott et al. 2016, ApJL, 818, 22 Abbott et al. 2017, PRL 118, 221101 Abbott et al. 201[9, P](#page-4-0)[RX,](#page-6-0) [9](#page-4-0)[, 0](#page-5-0)[31](#page-6-0)[0](#page-4-0)[40](#page-5-0)[;](#page-9-0) [A](#page-10-0)[pJ](#page-3-0)[L](#page-4-0)[,](#page-12-0) [88](#page-13-0)[2,](#page-0-0) [24](#page-54-0)

[The BBH detections](#page-5-0)

How do BHs form binary systems?

How can we discriminate between these two formation mechanisms?

A possibility is to look at the spins

Isolated binary:

Spins preferentially aligned with the binary orbital angular momentum

Cluster binary:

Isotropic spin orientations

[The BBH detections](#page-5-0)

Spin estimate with GWs

$$
\chi_{eff} = \frac{c}{GM} \left(\frac{\mathbf{S_1}}{m_1} + \frac{\mathbf{S_2}}{m_2} \right) \hat{\mathbf{L}}
$$

Abbott et al. 2019, PRX, 9, 031040

- Scenarios in which most BHs merge with large spins aligned with the binary's orbital angular momentum are disfavoured.
- With more detections it will be possible to determine if the BH spin is preferentially aligned or isotropically distributed (see, e.g., Farr et al. 2018).

[Introduction](#page-2-0) [BBH mergers during O1 and O2](#page-4-0)

[GW170817: the first GW detection of a BNS merger](#page-13-0) [The third observing run](#page-31-0) **[Prospects](#page-43-0)**

[The BBH detections](#page-5-0)

Which is the host galaxy?

Abbott et al. 2019, PRX, 9, 031040

Many galaxies in the universe volume corresponding to the GW events...

 \Rightarrow Multi-messenger astronomy is [nee](#page-7-0)[ded](#page-9-0)[!](#page-7-0)

[The BBH detections](#page-5-0)

Why multi-messenger astronomy?

GWs and photons provide complementary information about the physics of the source and its environment

GW

- mass
- spin
- **eccentricity**
- system orientation
- luminosity distance
- compact object binary rate

EM

- precise (arcsec) sky localization
- host galaxy
- redshift
- local environment
- emission processes
- acceleration mechanisms

[The EM follow-up](#page-10-0)

EM follow-up during O1 and O2

Low-latency GW data analysis pipelines promptly identify GW candidates and send GW alerts to trigger prompt EM observations and start archival searches

During O1 and O2 GW alerts shared only with MoU partners

[The EM follow-up](#page-10-0)

GW alerts during O1 and O2

17 GW Alerts have been issued during O1 and O2:

- 3 Alerts during O1; all GW candidates have been confirmed as GW events
- 14 Alerts during O2; 6 GW candidates have been confirmed as GW events

Abbott et al. 2019, ApJ, 875, 161

[The EM follow-up](#page-10-0)

Searches for EM counterparts to BBH mergers

- Although no EM counterpart was expected from BBH mergers, intense EM follow-up campaigns have been performed (see, e.g., GW150914) \Rightarrow
- Several candidate counterparts have been found, all identified to be normal population SNe, dwarf novae and AGN unrelated to the GW events (see, e.g., Kasliwal et al. 2016, Smartt et al. 2016)
- For all the detected BBH mergers no firm EM counterpart has been found

Abbott et al. 2016, ApJL, 826, L13 [A](#page-11-0)b[bo](#page-13-0)[tt](#page-11-0) [et](#page-12-0) [a](#page-13-0)[l.](#page-9-0)[20](#page-12-0)[1](#page-13-0)[9,](#page-3-0)[A](#page-12-0)[pJ](#page-13-0)[, 8](#page-0-0)[75,](#page-54-0) 161

GW170817

[GW170817 detection](#page-13-0)

On August 17, 2017 at 12:41:04 UTC Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo made their first observation of a binary neutron star (BNS) inspiral!

- GW170817 swept through the detectors' sensitive band for \sim 100 s (f_{start} = 24 Hz)
- The SNR is 18.8, 26.4 and 2.0 in the LIGO-Hanford, LIGO-Livingston and Virgo data respectively;

the combined SNR is 32.4

 \Rightarrow This is the loudest signal among the ones observed in O1 and O2!

Abbott et al., PRL, 119, 161101 (2017)

[GW170817 detection](#page-13-0)

BNS detection: component masses

Estimated masses (m_1 and m_2) are consistent with the masses of all known neutron stars

Abbott [et a](#page-13-0)l[.,](#page-15-0) [P](#page-13-0)[RX](#page-14-0)[,](#page-15-0) [9,](#page-12-0) [0](#page-13-0)[1](#page-17-0)[1](#page-18-0)[00](#page-12-0)[1](#page-13-0)[\(2](#page-31-0)[01](#page-0-0)[9\)](#page-54-0)

[GW170817 detection](#page-13-0)

BNS detection: the compact remnant

The outcome of a BNS coalescence depends primarily on the masses of the inspiraling objects and on the equation of state of nuclear matter.

- Stable NS (continuous-wave GW signal)
- Supramassive NS (SMNS) collapsing to a BH in 10 - $10^4\,$ s (long-transient GW signal)
- Hypermassive NS (HMNS) collapsing to a BH in < 1 s (burst-like GW signal)
- BH prompt formation (high frequency quasi normal mode ringdown GW signal)

Searches for short $(<1$ s) and medium $(<500$ s) duration transients have not found any post-merger signals (Abbott et al. 2017, ApJL, 851, 16).

Searches for long-duration signals have not found any sig[nifi](#page-14-0)[can](#page-16-0)[t](#page-14-0) [sig](#page-15-0)[n](#page-16-0)[al](#page-12-0) [c](#page-13-0)[a](#page-17-0)[n](#page-18-0)[di](#page-12-0)[d](#page-13-0)[at](#page-30-0)[e](#page-31-0) (Abbott et al. 2019, ApJ, 875, 160)

[GW170817 detection](#page-13-0)

Where did the BNS merger occur?

This is the closest and most precisely localized gravitational-wave signal!

Abbott et al., PRL, 119, 161101 (2017)

More refined analysis allowed to reduce the sky localization to 16 deg² (Abbott et al. 2019, PRX, 9, 031040; PRX, 9, 011001)

[GW170817 detection](#page-13-0)

The role of Virgo in the sky localization of GW170817

(Loading Video...)

Credits: G. Greco, N. Arnaud, M. Branchesi, A. Vicere Credit: L. Singer

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

Which were the expected EM counterparts?

- Short GRBs:
	- Prompt γ -ray emission $(< 2 s).$
	- Multiwavelegth afterglow emission: X-ray, optical and radio (minutes, hours, days, months).
- Kilonova: optical and NIR (days-weeks).
- Late blast wave emission: radio $(\sim$ months, years).

Image credit: Metzger & Berger, ApJ, 746, 48 (2012)

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

Gamma-rays: short GRB

A GRB (GRB170817A) was independently detected by Fermi-GBM and INTEGRAL

(Loading Video...)

Credit: NASA/Caltech/MIT/LIGO Lab

Abbott et al., ApJ, 848, 13 (2017) Goldstein et al., ApJL, 848, 14 (2017) Savchenko et al., ApJL, 848, 15 (2017)

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

GW170817 and GRB 170817A association

- Temporal coincidence: the start of the gamma-ray emission relative to the merger time is \sim 1.7 s
- Spatial coincidence:

90 % Fermi-GBM sky localization (1100 deg^2)

90 % sky localization from Fermi and INTEGRAL timing

LIGO-Virgo 90 % credible region (28 deg^2)

The probability that GRB 170817A and GW170817 occurred this close in time and with this level of location agreement by chance is 5.0×10^{-8} : a 5.3 σ Gaussian-equivalent significance

 \Rightarrow First direct evidence that BNS mergers are progenitors of (at least some) short GRBs!

Abbo[tt](#page-19-0) e[t a](#page-21-0)[l.](#page-19-0)[, A](#page-20-0)[p](#page-21-0)[J,](#page-17-0) [8](#page-18-0)[4](#page-27-0)[8,](#page-28-0) [1](#page-12-0)[3](#page-13-0)[\(2](#page-31-0)[01](#page-0-0)[7\)](#page-54-0)

21 / 55

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

GRB 170817A: properties

GRB 170817A between 2 and 6 orders of magnitude less energetic than other observed bursts with measured redshift!

- **•** Intrinsically sub-luminous GRB?
- structured jet?
- cocoon emission?

Abbo[tt](#page-20-0) e[t a](#page-22-0)[l.](#page-20-0)[, A](#page-21-0)[p](#page-22-0)[J,](#page-17-0) [8](#page-18-0)[4](#page-27-0)[8,](#page-28-0) [1](#page-12-0)[3](#page-13-0)[\(2](#page-31-0)[01](#page-0-0)[7\)](#page-54-0)

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

The EM follow-up campaign

A wide-ranging EM follow-up campaign started in the hours immediately after the observation of GW170817 and GRB170817A

(Loading Video...)

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

The identification of the optical counterpart

The key strategy: galaxy targeted search

An optical counterpart has been discovered on August 18, 2017

Transient is located at $\sim 10"$ from the center of the galaxy NGC 4993, at a distance of 40 Mpc

Coulter et al. 2017, Science, 358, 1556

The discovery has been confirmed by other teams (Abbott et al 2017, ApJ Letters, 848, 12)

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

The spectroscopic identification of the kilonova

(Loading Video...)

Credit: ESO/E. Pian et al./S. Smartt & ePESSTO

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

The spectroscopic identification of the kilonova

The evolution of the observed spectrum with time is in a good match with the expectations for kilonovae (Pian et al., Nature, 2017)

- observational data
- 3-component model
	- wind region with lanthanide-free composition
	- lanthanide-rich dynamical ejecta region
	- wind region with mixed composition

The comparison with spectral models suggests that the merger ejected 0.03- 0.05 M \odot of material, including highopacity lanthanides.

• A recent re-analysis of the spectra led to the identification of the strontium (Watson et al., Nature, 2019)

First direct proof that neutron star mergers are heavy elements factory

(r-process nucleosynthesis)

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

X-ray and radio observations

9 days and 16 days after the GW trigger, an X-ray and a radio counterparts have been discovered (Troja et al. 2017, Hallinan et al. 2017)

Source monitored for hundreds of days...

[EM counterparts](#page-18-0)

X-ray and radio observations

Two possible interpretations:

- - cocoon emission
- afterglow emission from a structured jet

Both models are consistent with the multiwavelength light curve... ⇒

Ghirlanda et al. 2019

... But recent Very Long Baseline Interferometry observations allowed to constrain the size and the proper motion of the radio source \Rightarrow the source is consistent with a jet! (Ghirlanda et al. 2019, Mooley et al. 2018)

[Implications of the joint GW and EM detection](#page-28-0)

GW-GRB association: Speed of gravity vs speed of light

The observed time delay between GRB170817A and GW170817 can be used to put constraints on the difference between the speed of gravity and the speed of light

 $\Delta \nu = \nu_{\rm GW} - \nu_{\rm EM}$

$$
\bullet \quad \frac{\Delta \nu}{\nu_{\rm EM}} \sim \frac{\nu_{\rm EM} \Delta t}{D}
$$

- lower bound on distance: 26 Mpc
- observed time delay (∼1.7 s)
- Two cases:
	- The GRB and GW signals emitted simultaneously
	- The GRB signal was emitted 10 s after the GW signal

$$
-3\times10^{-15}\leq\tfrac{\Delta\nu}{\nu_{\rm EM}}\leq7\times10^{-16}
$$

[Implications of the joint GW and EM detection](#page-28-0)

GW-NGC4993 association: implications for Cosmology

GW170817 as a standard siren:

the association with the host galaxy NGC 4993 and the luminosity distance directly measured from the GW signal have been used to determine the Hubble constant

• $H_0 = 70.0^{+12.0}_{-8.0}$ km s⁻¹ Mpc^{-1*} • H₀=67.74^{±0.46} km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ • H₀=73.24^{\pm 1.74} km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹

Abbott et al., Nature, 551, 85 (2017)

More recent estimates, obtained assuming a priori that the GW source is in NGC 4993, are:

-
$$
H_0=70^{+13}_{-7}
$$
 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ (high-spin case)

- $H_0 = 70^{+19}_{-8}$ km s $^{-1}$ Mpc $^{-1}$ (low-spin case)

Ab[bot](#page-28-0)t [et](#page-30-0) [a](#page-28-0)[l. 2](#page-29-0)[0](#page-30-0)[19](#page-27-0)[,](#page-28-0) [P](#page-30-0)[R](#page-31-0)[X,](#page-12-0) [9](#page-13-0)[,](#page-30-0) [0](#page-31-0)[110](#page-0-0)[01](#page-54-0)

[Implications of the joint GW and EM detection](#page-28-0)

GW170817 and its EM counterparts: summary

- First identification of the host galaxy of a GW event
- First direct evidence of the association of short GRBs with BNS mergers
- First detection of the off-axis GRB afterglow
- First detailed study of GRB jet structure
- First clear identification of a kilonova
- First BNS merger/kilonova association
- First evidence that the heaviest elements in the Universe can form in BNS mergers
- Constraints on fundamental physics
- A new, independent method to estimate H_0 Image credit:

NSF/LIGO/Sonoma State University/A. Simonnet

[Introduction](#page-2-0)
Internation of a BNS merger
[GW170817: the first GW detection of a BNS merger](#page-13-0)
[The third observing run](#page-31-0)
[Prospects](#page-43-0)

The third observing run: O3

The third observing run: O3

- O3a: April 1st, 2019 October 1st, 2019
- ∼1 month commissioning break: 1/Oct/2019 31/Oct/2019
- O3b started on November 1st, 2019; it is expected to continue until at least the end of April 2020

- An extension of O3b will be possible, but limited so that the run will end no later than June 30, 2020 (Abbott et al. 2019, arXiv:1304.0670)
- KAGRA is planning to join l[ate](#page-31-0)r this year, no firm date [yet](#page-33-0)

Public GW alerts

[Public GW alerts](#page-33-0)

A few modifications are planned for O3b:

- Two preliminary alerts
- Automated alerts for coincident GW candidates associated with a GRB or a SN

Public alerts user guide: <https://emfollow.docs.ligo.org/userguide>

[Public GW alerts](#page-33-0)

The public GW alerts: threshold and content

False Alarm Rate threshold to release automatic alerts

- CBC events: $1/(2 \text{ months})$
- Unmodeled burst events: $1/yr$

Content of public alerts:

- Estimate of the False Alarm Rate (FAR) of the event candidate
- Event time and sky localization (2D skymaps)
- For Burst candidates: central frequency (Hz), duration (s) and GW fluence (erg/cm^2)
- For CBC candidates:
	- 3D skymaps with direction-dependent luminosity distance (Singer et al. 2016)
	- Luminosity Distance marginalized over the whole sky
	- Source Classification and Properties

[Public GW alerts](#page-33-0)

The content of public GW alerts - CBC

Classification

p astro probability that the source is astrophysical; it comes from evaluating whether the source belongs to one of five categories: BNS, mass gap, NS-BH, BBH, Terrestrial (i.e., noise)

Properties

Under the assumption that the source is not noise:

Source classifier: probability that at least one of the compact objects is a NS $(m < 3 M_{\odot})$

Remnant classifier: probability that the system ejected a non-zero amount of NS matter (Foucart 2012, 2018, Pannarale & Ohme, 2014)

[First results](#page-36-0)

O3a: detector performance

BNS range

[First results](#page-36-0)

O3a candidate GW alerts

Public alerts can be found here:

<https://gracedb.ligo.org/latest/>

<https://gracedb.ligo.org/superevents/public/O3/>

Latest - as of 1 October 2019 07:26:47 UTC

Test and MDC events and superevents are not included in the search results by default; see the quest help for information on how to search for events and superevents in those categories.

38 / 55

[First results](#page-36-0)

O3a candidate GW alerts

- 41 Alerts, 8 retractions
- Almost all of the GW candidates consistent with BBH mergers
- 3 GW candidates with the highest probability assigned to the BNS category
- 4 GW candidates with the highest probability assigned to the NS-BH category

[First results](#page-36-0)

Events with highest probability assigned to BNS

Detectors: L, V FAR: 1 per 4.5 years Distance: 241 ± 79 Mpc 90 % c.r.: 14753 deg² GCN: 25606, 25614 No EM counterpart

[First results](#page-36-0)

Events with highest probability assigned to BNS

[First results](#page-36-0)

Events with highest probability assigned to NS-BH

[First results](#page-36-0)

From November 1st: O3b

BNS range GW alerts

- 5 GW alerts, 3 retractions
- The 2 GW candidates are consistent with BBH mergers
- No EM counterpart so far

[Introduction](#page-2-0)
Internation of a DH
[GW170817: the first GW detection of a BNS merger](#page-13-0)
[The third observing run](#page-31-0)
[Prospects](#page-43-0)

Prospects

Abbott [et](#page-43-0) a[l.](#page-45-0) [2](#page-43-0)[019](#page-44-0)[,](#page-45-0) [ar](#page-42-0)[X](#page-43-0)[iv:](#page-54-0) [1](#page-42-0)[3](#page-43-0)[04.](#page-54-0)[06](#page-0-0)[70](#page-54-0)

Prospects

There are many other sources still to be detected...

Core collapse of massive stars

Isolated Neutron Stars

Astrophysical and cosmological background

Stay tuned!

[Introduction](#page-2-0)
Internation of a DH
[GW170817: the first GW detection of a BNS merger](#page-13-0)
[The third observing run](#page-31-0)
[Prospects](#page-43-0)

GRB 170817A: some details

256 ms binned light curve in the 10-300 keV band for NaI 1, 2 and 5

Goldstein et al., ApJL, 848, 14 (2017)

[Introduction](#page-2-0) BBH mergers during 01 and 02
t GW detection of a BNS merger $GW170817$: the first GW detection of a [The third observing run](#page-31-0) [Prospects](#page-43-0)

GRB 170817A: duration and spectral hardness

To which GRB class does GRB 170817A belong?

GRB 170817A is ∼ 3 times more likely to be a short GRB than a long GRB Goldstein et al., ApJL, 848, 14 (2017)

49 / 55

A kilonova detection for GRB 130603B?

F606W/optical NIR/F160W

- blue curve: optical afterglow
- orange curves: kilonova NIR model

ejected masses: 10^{-2} M_o and 10^{-1} M_o

• cyan curve: kilonova optical model

• solid red curves: afterglow+kilonova

Tanvir [et a](#page-48-0)l[, N](#page-50-0)[a](#page-48-0)[tur](#page-49-0)[e,](#page-50-0) [5](#page-42-0)[0](#page-43-0)[0,](#page-54-0) [54](#page-42-0)[7](#page-43-0) [\(2](#page-54-0)[01](#page-0-0)[3\)](#page-54-0)

The optical and near infrared light curve

The optical transient was later observed with different instruments

(REM, ESO-VST, ESO-VLT...)

Pian [et](#page-49-0) [al.](#page-51-0)[,](#page-49-0) [201](#page-50-0)[7](#page-51-0)[,](#page-42-0) [N](#page-43-0)[atu](#page-54-0)[re](#page-42-0)[,](#page-43-0) [55](#page-54-0)[1,](#page-0-0) [67](#page-54-0)

Kilonova vs supernova: the light curve

The optical transient evolves much faster than any supernova

Arcavi [et](#page-50-0) [al.](#page-52-0)[,](#page-50-0) [201](#page-51-0)[7](#page-52-0)[,](#page-42-0) [N](#page-43-0)[atu](#page-54-0)[re](#page-42-0)[,](#page-43-0) [55](#page-54-0)[1,](#page-0-0) [64](#page-54-0)

52 / 55

[Introduction](#page-2-0) BBH mergers during 01 and 02
t GW detection of a BNS merger $GW170817$: the first GW detection of a [The third observing run](#page-31-0) **[Prospects](#page-43-0)**

Kilonova vs supernova: the spectrum

The spectral evolution is inconsistent with any supernova type

Smartt [et](#page-51-0) [al.](#page-53-0)[,](#page-51-0) [201](#page-52-0)[7](#page-53-0)[,](#page-42-0) [N](#page-43-0)[atu](#page-54-0)[re](#page-42-0)[,](#page-43-0) [55](#page-54-0)[1,](#page-0-0) [75](#page-54-0)

53 / 55

The identification of the strontium in the kilonova spectrum

Watson et al. 2019, Nature, 574, 497

A new joint GW-EM detection?

TITLE: **GCN CIRCULAR** NUMBER: 25406 SUBJECT: Fermi GBM-190816: A subthreshold GRB candidate potentially associated with a subthreshold LIGO/Virgo compact binary merger candidate DATE: 19/08/20 05:23:25 GMT **FROM:** Adam Goldstein at Fermi-GBM, USRA <adam.michael.goldstein@gmail.com>

The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration and the Fermi GBM team report:

In routine Fermi GBM follow-up analysis of subthreshold GW triggers from LIGO/Virgo, a potential short gamma-ray burst counterpart GBM-190816 was identified.

- candidate gamma-ray signal found 1.5 s after the GW trigger time;
- duration and spectral properties of gamma-ray signal are consistent with a short GRB;
- from preliminary GW analysis: if the signal is astrophysical, the lighter compact object may have m $<$ 3 M $<$

Further analysis is ongoing