

Status of ω_a analysis

Marco Incagli – INFN Pisa

NEWS General Meeting – November 4-5, 2019

The spin equation



 spin vector projection on momentum angle in presence of static B and E fields changes with time according to:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\hat{\beta} \cdot \vec{s}) = -\frac{e}{mc} \cdot \left[\left(\frac{g}{2} - 1 \right) \hat{\beta} \times \vec{B} + \left(\frac{g\beta}{2} - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \vec{E} \right]$$
pitch
magic

 neglecting beam size and oscillations, assuming that all muons momentum is p_{magic}=3.01GeV/c and is perpendicular to B, than the above expression greatly simplifies to:

$$\omega_a = \omega_\mu - \omega_c = a_\mu \frac{e}{m} B$$
 $\omega_{\mu,p} = \text{precession}$
 $\omega_c = \text{cyclotron}$

• from which:

$$a_{\mu} = \frac{g_e}{2} \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_e} \frac{\mu_p}{\mu_e} \frac{\omega_a}{\omega_p} = \frac{R_{\mu}}{\lambda - R_{\mu}} \quad ; \quad R_{\mu} = \frac{\omega_a}{\omega_p}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\mu_{\mu}}{\mu_p}$$







• Three different communities converging to measure a_{μ}





Muon beam storage and focusing







Muon beam storage and focusing







Effects of Beam Dynamics







Other Beam Dynamic Corrections



ωa Goal: Factor of 3 Improvement						
Category	E821 (ppb)	E989 Goal (ppb)				
Gain Changes	120	20				
Lost Muons	90	20				
Pileup	80	40				
Horizontal CBO	70	< 30				
E-field/pitch	110	30				
Quadrature Sum	214	70				

Current estimated C_E systematic \leq 50 ppb Current estimated C_p systematic \sim 15 ppb

For 0.3X BNL statistics



Run 1 Overview



- Data taking period: April—July 2018
- Accumulated ~ 1.3 x BNL statistics (after data quality cuts) δω_a(stat) ~ 350 ppb (BNL δω_a(stat) ~ 460 ppb)
- Field uniformity ~ 2x better than BNL





Run 1 Overview



- In Run1, data have been taken in different Quad and Kicker conditions, while optimizing Storage Ring operations (Run2 data are much more uniform)
- Six datasets identified:

	Name	Date acquired	Quad n	Kicker [kV]	Positrons	Relative
<	60 hour	22-25 / 4	0.108	128-132	1.0B	5
	High Kick	26/4 - 2/5	0.120	136-138	1.2B	
	9 day	4-12 / 5	0.120	128-132	2.4B	
	Low Kick	17-19 / 5	0.120	123-127	1.2B	
	Superlow Kick	2-6 / 6	0.108	117-119	0.5B	
	End Game	6-29 / 6	0.108	122-127	4.0B	



The wa-europa ω_a analysis group



The 60h dataset relative unblinding; fitting procedure for ω_a -Europa Revision 1

E. Bottalico¹, S. Di Falco¹, A. Driutti², P. Girotti¹, A. Gioiosa³, G. Hesketh⁴, M. Incagli¹, J. Price⁵, M. Smith¹, M. Sorbara⁶

¹INFN Pisa ²INFN Trieste & University of Udine ³INFN Roma 2 & University of Molise ⁴University College London ⁵University of Liverpool ⁶INFN & University Rome2

April 25, 2019



ω_a principle of measurement





- spin rotates faster than momentum in costant B
- positron direction correlated with muon spin direction
- correlation depends on *positron momentum* : <u>the Asymmetry A(E) can</u> <u>be positive, null or negative</u>



The wa analysis strategy



- 6 independent analysis groups using different *Reconstruction algorythms* and different *Fit methods*
- One method is completely different from all others (Q-method); it has a larger error → used as crosscheck
- 2 Independent Reconstruction algorythms developed (East, West); the Europa team contributes to both algos providing the SiPM gain functions

Team	Reconstruction	Analysis
CU (Cornell)	East	T,E
UW (Washington)	West	T,A
Europa (INFN+UK)	West/Europa	T,A
SJTU (Shangai)	West	T,E
BU (Boston)	West	T,R
Uky (Kentucky)	Q	Q





- T-method: count all positrons with E>1.7GeV and plot them vs time to get the *«Wiggle plot»*; reference method
- **E-method** (Energy binned) : fit each energy slice, combine the resulting values for wa
- **A-method** (Asimmetry weighted) : weight each event with its own contribution to asimmetry A(E). From the statistical point of view, this method uses most of the information.



R-method (Ratio)



- Ratio method: randomly split dataset in 2 subsets shifted by ±half a g-2 period
- Build combinations of the 2 subsets which eliminates the exponential behaviour and leaves just a sinusoidal term $u^{\pm}(t) = N(t \pm T/2) = N_0 e^{-t/\tau \mp T/2\tau} \left(1 + A\cos(\omega_a t \pm \omega_a \frac{T}{2} + \varphi)\right)$



3 parameters fit: less sensitive to slow effects which divide out

 ω_a frequency

No clustering: just integrate energy above threshold (in

theory no threshold should be applied) for each crystal threshold

Q-method

- To reduce the amount of data stored offline, time bins are summed up in groups of 60
- The total energy per event fluctuates with

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

Building the histogram

 The collected events are plot as a function of the muon decay time (clock) and of the positron energy (polarimeter)

- Note that the phase depends on the positron energy
- If the energy threshold varies during the muon fill then wa is systematically shifted: $\omega_a t + \varphi(t) \sim (\omega_a + \varphi')t + \varphi_0$

Gain stability

- SiPM gain variations during fill, due to initial "splash" or to the overlap of close-in-time events, mixes different energies in the same plot → phase variation effect corresponding to bias on wa
- A 1 per mille uncorrected gain variation causes a 100 ppb shift of wa
- The laser system measures and corrects for this gain sag effect

Muon Phase-Momentum Coupling

- If the average muon phase varies during the fill, then wa is sistematically shifted: $\omega_a t + \varphi(t) \sim (\omega_a + \varphi')t + \varphi_0$
- This can happen in case of phase-momentum correlation

d

dΦ

The 60h dataset: 5-par fit

• Simple (ideal) positron oscillation:

$$N_{\text{ideal}}(t) = N_0 \exp(-t/\gamma \tau_{\mu}) [1 - A\cos(\omega_a t + \phi)]$$

• This simple fit is clearly not sufficient and typical resonances are observed in the residuals

Structure in residual: Beam Oscillations

 Coherent Betatron Oscillations (CBO) sampled by each detector at one point around the ring

- Beating effect: the frequency measured by any one detector is $f_{CBO} = f_C f_x$ (much smaller than both individual freqs)
- Similar effect in vertical direction (VW=Vertical Waist)

Residuals for two datasets

 The betatron oscillations depend on the beam parameters → different for each Run1 dataset → datasets cannot be combined and must be fitted separately

Typical 18 (or 22) parameters fit function

$$N(t) = N_0 \cdot \left(1 - K_{loss} \int_0^t e^{t'/\tau} L(t') \, \mathrm{d}t' \right) \cdot N_{CBO}(t) \cdot N_{VW}(t) \cdot e^{-t/\tau} \cdot \left[1 + A(t) \cos \left(\omega_a(R) - \phi(t) \right) \right]$$

$$N_{CBO}(t) = 1 + A_{CBO,N} \cdot e^{-t/\tau_{CBO}} \cos\left(\omega_{CBO} \cdot t - \phi_{CBO,N}\right)$$

$$N_{VW}(t) = 1 + A_{VW,N} \cdot e^{-t/\tau_{VW}} \cos\left(\omega_{VW} \cdot t - \phi_{VW,N}\right)$$

- ω_{CBO} and ω_{VW} are not constant due to QUAD HV faulty resistor:

$$- \omega_{\text{CBO}}(t) = \omega_{\text{CBO}}(0) * (1 + \delta_{\text{CBO}}(t))$$

- (similar for ω_{VW}). The number of parameters depends on the parametrization of the correction δ_{CBO}
- The first term in parenthesis corresponds to the lost muons term → next slide

Distorting muon life time: lost muons

- Muons with r > 45mm wrt magic radius hit the collimators and bend (tipically) inward
- Correction to "wiggle function" :

$$\left(1 - K_{loss} \int_0^t e^{t'/\tau} L(t') \,\mathrm{d}t'\right)$$

- Lost muons selected as MIP particles which hit 2 (or 3) calos with Δt =6.2 ns

R vs positron energy

 Due to blinding, we do not measure directly wa, but a variable R which is a fractional offset (in ppm) from some unkown (blinded) value

First «relative unblinding»

- In April 2019, the ω_a value has been relatively unblinded i.e. a common unknown shift still exists for the «60h dataset»
- The T-method is used as a reference for all groups
- The (highly correlated) values agree within expected errors

Summary

- Analysis of Run1 going on
- 6 datasets to be studied independently and combined
- 1 analysis completed (60h), 2 well advanced (9d + endgame), corresponding to ~70% of Run1
- Publication expected soon based on Run1 data ... but ... should we wait for Run2?
 - more stable conditions
 - no competition
 - must be sure to do it right
- ... tough decision \rightarrow Collaboration Meeting 20-22 Nov 2019

