Realistic neutron stars from holography

Aaron Poole QCD@work, Lecce, 27th June 2022

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Southampton, UK

Based on work in collaboration with Andreas Schmitt & Nicolas Kovensky: Phys. Rev. D 105, 034022 - 2111.03374 SciPost Phys. Proc. 6, 019 - 2112.10633





Introduction and motivation

QCD

- Various techniques for examining regions of the phase diagram
- How to describe properties of non-asymp. dense QCD?



Holography [Maldacena '97]

- Equivalence between weakly coupled gravity in d dimensions and strongly coupled field theory in (d-1) dimensions
- \bullet Weakly coupled gravity calc. \implies insight into dense QCD

<u>Main Idea</u>: Apply holographic model for QCD to the physics of neutron stars- natural QCD laboratories

Holographic QCD - Neutron Star applications

"Top down" - First principles

- D3/D7 models [Hoyos et al. '16, Annala et. al '18, Fadafan-Rojas-Evans '20, '21]
- D4/D8 models [Ghoroku et al. '14, Zhang et al. '20, AP-Kovensky-Schmitt '21]

"Bottom-up" - Phenomenology

- V-QCD model [Jokela et al. '19,'21, Järvinen et al. '19, '20]
- Gravity + CSC phase [Ghoroku et al. '21]
- Recent review [Jokela '21, Jokela-Järvinen-Reims '21]

Drawbacks of holography:

- Dual theories defined in $N_c \rightarrow \infty$, real-world QCD has $N_c = 3$
- Precise dual theory to QCD is not known

Can still expect to capture qualitative (and sometimes quantitative) non-perturbative physics relevant for $N_c = 3$ QCD

Our model - Confined [Witten '98, Sakai-Sugimoto '05] WSS

Top down model based on type IIA string theory - $N_c \ D4\text{-branes}$ and N_f "probe" $D8/\overline{D8}\text{-branes}$

- $x_4 \sim x_4 + 2\pi M_{\mathsf{KK}}^{-1}$ (BCs break SUSY)
- *u*: holographic dir. (dual theory lives at *u* = ∞)
- Confined: $(x_4, u) \approx \text{cigar}$

Further particulars & benefits:

- $N_f = 2$ U(2) gauge group, no strangeness
- Consider antipodally separated 8-branes at ∞ : $L = \pi M_{KK}^{-1}$
- 2 parameters: λ 't Hooft coupling, M_{KK} KK mass scale
- Isospin asymmetric baryonic matter [Kovensky-Schmitt '21] crucial for systems with more n than p - Neutron stars!



Baryons in holography

Action on the flavor branes boils down to a simple 1d model:

$$S_{D8/\overline{D8}} = S_{\text{DBI}} + S_{\text{CS}} = \int du \, L(\hat{a}_0, a_0, a_i; \hat{a}'_0, a'_0, a'_i)$$

- Baryons in the dual theory correspond to instantons in the bulk [Hata et al. '07, Hashimoto et al. '08]
- We use a homogeneous ansatz [Rozali et al. '08]

$$a_i(u) = -\frac{\lambda h(u)}{8\pi}\sigma_i$$

- Isospin asymmetry via boundary conditions [Kovensky-Schmitt -'21]: $\hat{a}_0(\infty) = \mu_B$, $a_0(\infty) = \mu_I$, $h(\infty) = 0$
- Ignore kaon/pion condensation [Sawyer '72, Migdal '73, Akmal-Pandharipande '97] - Difficult to separate (pions) from baryons [Kovensky-Schmitt - '21]

Static, spherically symmetric star with matter described by cold, strongly coupled QCD

 $G_{\mu\nu} = (P+\epsilon)u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + Pg_{\mu\nu}$



Symmetry \implies TOV equations Can also compute the tidal Love number, k_2 , and tidal deformability $\Lambda = \frac{2k_2}{3c^5}$ ($c = \frac{GM}{R}$ is the compactness of the star)

- Need an equation of state $P = P(\epsilon)$ to close the system
- Idea: Derive the equation of state for the entire star from holography [AP-Kovensky-Schmitt '21]
- Add leptons to construct realistic NS matter neutralise!
- Interpret holographic isospin components as n and p $(\mu_
 u pprox 0)$

Holographic star - Core and crust



- Core: β-equilibrated, locally neutral baryonic matter (+leptons)
- Crust: Mixed phase $(\Omega_B = 0)$, globally neutral - Surface tension Σ enters as an additional parameter

Dynamical determination of crust-core transition:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\mathsf{core}} &= \Omega_B + \Omega_\ell \\ \Omega_{\mathsf{crust}} &= \Omega_\ell + \Delta_\Sigma \Omega \end{split}$$



Equation of state - Solving TOV

- Ω in each phase gives EoS: $P = -\Omega$, $\epsilon = \sum \mu_a n_a P$
- Each triple $(\lambda, M_{\rm KK}, \Sigma = 1 \,{\rm MeV/fm^2})$ gives an EoS
- Fit with QCD [Sakai-Sugimoto '05], [Brünner et al. '16]

 $\implies (\lambda, M_{\rm KK}) \simeq (7 - 17, (949 - 1000) \,{\rm MeV})$



- Systematic comparison of EoS with χ -EFT and pQCD
- Intermediate band [Annala et al. '18] is EoS which give $M_{\rm max}>2M_\odot, \Lambda_{1.4}<580$



Astrophysical constraints - LIGO and NICER

We can further test our model using astro constraints from:

Q: Can we find a region of $(\lambda, M_{\rm KK})$ space which satisfies



- $M_{\text{max}} \geq 2.1 M_{\odot}$ from heaviest known NS [Fonseca et al. '21]
- $70 < \Lambda_{1.4} < 580$ from GW170817 [Abbott et al. '19]

 $11.4 \text{km} \le R_{2.1} \le 16.3 \text{km}$ $11.5 \text{km} \le R_{1.4} \le 14.3 \text{km}$

from NICER [Riley et al. '19, '21]?

A: Yes! New predictions for NS e.g. $M_{\rm max} \le 2.46 M_{\odot}$

Advantage over pointlike baryons [Zhang et al. '20]



• Constructed entire neutron star EoS using the WSS model [Sakai-Sugimoto '05] with isospin asymmetry [Kovensky-Schmitt '21]

• Crust/core transition dynamically determined

• Astrophysical constraints [Fonseca et al. '20], [Abbott et al. '19], [Riley et al. '19, '21] all satisfied by model with just 3 parameters - $(\lambda, M_{\rm KK}, \Sigma)$

Future directions

- Include Pion condensation [Kovensky-Schmitt '21] and Pion mass [Kovensky-Schmitt '20]
- Reduce large- N_c effects in particular high proton fraction bulk quantisation [Hata et al. '05]
- Include finite T effects (deconfined WSS geometry) could model a quark(yonic) core [Kovensky-Schmitt '20] or simulate a full NS merger from WSS EoS (as has already been done for V-QCD [Ecker et al. '20])
- Include strangeness $(N_f = 3)$ determine whether holographic hyperons play a role in NS
- Construct an inner crust (near symmetric nuclear & neutron fluid) and consider different "pasta phases" [Pearson et al. '20]
- Dynamical computation of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$