Neutrinos: A theory outlook from high to low energies

13 June 2022 FPCapri 2022

Silvia Pascoli





ALMA MATER STUDIORUM UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA





Horizon2020

DDe

Current status of neutrino parameters: the era of very precise neutrino physics

		Normal Ordering (best fit)		Inverted Ordering ($\Delta \chi^2 = 7.0$)		
		bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	3σ range	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$	3σ range	
	$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.304^{+0.012}_{-0.012}$	$0.269 \rightarrow 0.343$	$0.304^{+0.013}_{-0.012}$	$0.269 \rightarrow 0.343$	
with SK atmospheric data	$\theta_{12}/^{\circ}$	$33.45_{-0.75}^{+0.77}$	$31.27 \rightarrow 35.87$	$33.45_{-0.75}^{+0.78}$	$31.27 \rightarrow 35.87$	Esteban et al., 2007.14792, See also Capozzi et al., de Salas et al.
	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.450\substack{+0.019\\-0.016}$	$0.408 \rightarrow 0.603$	$0.570^{+0.016}_{-0.022}$	$0.410 \rightarrow 0.613$	
	$\theta_{23}/^{\circ}$	$42.1^{+1.1}_{-0.9}$	$39.7 \rightarrow 50.9$	$49.0^{+0.9}_{-1.3}$	$39.8 \rightarrow 51.6$	
	$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.02246\substack{+0.00062\\-0.00062}$	$0.02060 \rightarrow 0.02435$	$0.02241\substack{+0.00074\\-0.00062}$	$0.02055 \rightarrow 0.02457$	
	$\theta_{13}/^{\circ}$	$8.62^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$8.25 \rightarrow 8.98$	$8.61^{+0.14}_{-0.12}$	$8.24 \rightarrow 9.02$	
	$\delta_{\rm CP}/^{\circ}$	230^{+36}_{-25}	$144 \to 350$	278^{+22}_{-30}	$194 \rightarrow 345$	See I. Martinez- Soler's talk
	$\frac{\Delta m^2_{21}}{10^{-5}~{\rm eV}^2}$	$7.42\substack{+0.21 \\ -0.20}$	$6.82 \rightarrow 8.04$	$7.42^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$6.82 \rightarrow 8.04$	
	$\frac{\Delta m_{3\ell}^2}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}$	$+2.510^{+0.027}_{-0.027}$	$+2.430 \rightarrow +2.593$	$-2.490^{+0.026}_{-0.028}$	$-2.574 \rightarrow -2.410$	

http://www.nu-fit.org/

- 2 mass squared differences
- 3 sizable mixing angles (one not too well known)
- mild hints of CPV (not robust)
- mild indications in favour of NO (?)

Neutrino masses



Fractional flavour content of massive neutrinos

 $m_1 = m_{\min}$ $m_2 = \sqrt{m_{\min}^2 + \Delta m_{sol}^2}$ $m_3 = \sqrt{m_{\min}^2 + \Delta m_A^2}$

$$m_3 = m_{\min}$$

$$m_1 = \sqrt{m_{\min}^2 + \Delta m_A^2} - \Delta m_{sol}^2$$

$$m_2 = \sqrt{m_{\min}^2 + \Delta m_A^2}$$

Measuring the masses requires:

- the mass scale: m_{\min}
- the mass ordering.

Leptonic Mixing and CP-violation

The Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix



- θ_{23} maximal or close to maximal
- θ_{12} large but significantly different from maximal
- θ_{13} quite large: challenge to flavour models
- Mixings very different from those in the quark sector
- Possibly, large leptonic CPV. This is a fundamental question, possibly related to the origin of the baryon asymmetry and to the origin of the flavour structure.

What do we still need to know?

I. What is the nature of neutrinos?

2. What are the values of the masses? Absolute scale and the ordering.

3. Is there CP-violation?

4. What are the precise values of mixing angles?

5. Is the standard picture correct? Are there NSI? Sterile neutrinos? Non-unitarity? Other effects?

Very exciting experimental programme now and for the future.

	2020	2025	5 2	2030	2035
LBL osc.	T2K NOvA		LBNF-DUNE T2HK (T2HKI	ESS () nuf	nuSB?, actory?
SBL osc.	SBL reacto MicroBool SBI	n, NE L N 7	BNF-DUNE ND 2HK ND ?		
Other osc.	SK, Borexi LBL detect JU	no, cors NO	DUNE HK	T	heia???
Direct mass	KATRIN		Project 8, ECHO, Holm	es	
DBD0n u	KamLANE GERDA CUORE	D-Zen LEGEND-200 NEXT-100	LEGEND-100 CUPID, nEX0 HD, PANDAX DARWIN	00 D, NEXT- K,	Next- next gen?
UHE	lceCube	<mark>lceCubeG</mark> ORCA, <mark>K</mark> /	en2 A3Net		

Evidence beyond the SM

There is evidence that the Standard Model is incomplete: neutrinos play a key role.



The ultimate goal is to understand - where do neutrino masses come from? - what is the origin of leptonic mixing?

Neutrinos: Open window on Physics BSM

Neutrinos give a new perspective on physics BSM. I. Origin of masses 2. Problem of flavour



Why neutrinos have mass? and why are they so much lighter than the other fermions? and why their hierarchy is at most mild? Why leptonic mixing is so different from quark mixing?

 $\left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.16 \\ -0.4 & 0.5 & -0.7 \\ -0.4 & 0.5 & 0.7 \end{array}\right)$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \sim 1 & \lambda & \lambda^{\circ} \\ \lambda & \sim 1 & \lambda^{2} \\ \lambda^{3} & \lambda^{2} & \sim 1 \end{pmatrix} \lambda \sim 0.2$

Neutrino masses Beyond SM

In the SM, neutrinos do not acquire mass and mix.

Dirac Masses

If we introduce a right-handed neutrino, then an interaction with the Higgs boson emerges.

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_{\nu}\bar{L}\cdot\tilde{H}\nu_R + \text{h.c.} \quad \longrightarrow \quad m_D = y_{\nu}v = Vm_{\text{diag}}U^{\dagger}$$

This term is SU(2) invariant and respects lepton number.

- why the coupling is so small??? $y_{\nu} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{\nu}}{200 \text{ GeV}} \sim 10^{-12}$ - why the leptonic mixing angles are large?
- why neutrino masses have at most a mild hierarchy?
- why no Majorana mass term for RH neutrinos? We need to impose L as a fundamental symmetry.

Majorana Masses

In order to have an SU(2) invariant Majorana mass term for neutrinos, it is necessary to introduce a Dimension 5 operator (or to allow new scalar fields, e.g. a triplet):



Minkowski, Yanagida, Glashow, Gell-Mann, Ramond, Slansky, Ma, Mohapatra, Senjanovic, Magg, Wetterich, Lazarides, Shafi, Schecter, Valle, Hambye...

This term breaks lepton number and induces Majorana masses and Majorana neutrinos. It can be induced by a high energy theory (see-saw mechanism).

Neutrino masses BSM: "vanilla" see saw mechanism type I



As a result, neutrinos can have naturally small masses and are Majorana particles.

For leptogenesis, see J. Turner's talk.

What is the new physics scale?

Are there new: symmetries? particles? interactions?





See J Klaric's, A. Titov's talk

From minimality to richness



What is the new physics scale? Is it low???

An application of rich dark sectors



The phenomenology of this type of models can be very different from the standard case.

A specific 3-portal model

The Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\sin \chi}{2} X_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu} \Phi) - V(\Phi) - \lambda_{\Phi H} |H|^{2} |\Phi|^{2} + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{N}} i \partial \!\!\!/ \widehat{\nu}_{N} + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{D}} i D \!\!\!/_{X} \widehat{\nu}_{D} - \left[(\overline{L} \widetilde{H}) Y \widehat{\nu}_{N}^{c} + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{N}} Y_{L} \widehat{\nu}_{D_{L}}^{c} \Phi + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{N}} Y_{R} \widehat{\nu}_{D_{R}} \Phi^{*} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\hat{\nu}_{N}} M_{N} \widehat{\nu}_{N}^{c} + \overline{\hat{\nu}_{D_{L}}} M_{X} \widehat{\nu}_{D_{R}} + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

A.Abdullahi, M. Hostert, SP, 2007.11813

The model is anomaly free thanks to the inclusion of two dark neutrinos with opposite charges. Other possibilities can also be considered (DM).

We focus on a scale of GeV:

$$v_{\phi}, m_{Z'} \sim \text{GeV}.$$

New particles

Z'/A' : mass $m_{Z'}$ =1.25 GeV. Z' decays predominantly into heavy neutrinos. $\mathcal{B}(Z' \to N_i N_j)/\%$ 4546 5544 56 66 0.15) 11 0.48 1.6 86 0.59 : with 100s MeV masses. N6, N5, N4 They decay via the new Z' into ee and neutrinos.



The decays are much faster than in the SM.

The MiniBooNE low-E excess

MiniBooNE reports a low-E excess which has increased in significance in the past couple of years (~ 3σ -> 4.7 σ -> 4.8 σ).



MiniBooNE Coll., PRL 121 (2018)



MicroBooNE and SBN at Fermilab

They use accelerator neutrinos with L~100-600m and E~700-800 MeV.

https://www.bo.infn.it/gruppo2/sbn-it/



MicroBooNE detector



Accelerator neutrino experiments should provide the definitive answer and can check both the appearance and disappearance channels.

First results from MicroBooNE. - Is the MiniBooNE LEE is due to SM photons?

1γ1p



My take: Most likely not.

MicroBooNE Coll., 2110.00409

- Is the MiniBooNE LEE is due to electrons?



Electrons would come from nu_e scattering, and would signal neutrino oscillations.

My take: Most likely not.

BSM explanations for MB LEE

Due to the WC nature of MB, single electrons can be mimicked by photons and by electron-positron pairs (if overlapping or asymmetric).

Electrons? Or Photons? Or Neither?

Rich phenomenology developing in recent years around the possibility of the MiniBooNE excess being due to e'e' pairs from decays of new exotic particles.

- Decays of new dark gauge bosons (Z')
 - E. Bertuzzo, S. Jana, P. A.N. Machado, R.Zukanovich Funchal <u>Phys RevLett. 121 24, 241801(2018)</u>
 - P. Ballett, S. Pascoli, M. RL Phys. Rev. D 99, 071701 (2019)
 - A. Abdullahi, M, Hostert, S.Pascoli <u>Phys.Lett.B 820 136531(2021</u>)
- General Extended higgs sectors + Decay
 - B. Dutta, S. Ghosh, T. Li Phys. <u>Rev. D 102, 055017 (2020)</u>
 - W. Abdallah, R. Gandhi, S. Roy Phys. Rev. D 104, 055028 (2021)
- Decays of leptophilic axion-like particles
 - C. V. Chang, C, Chen, S. Ho, S. Tseng Phys. Rev. D 104, 015030 (2021)

M. Ross-Lonergan's talk at Fermilab, 04/10/2021





A viable explanation of the MiniBooNE low-E excess is provided by the up-scattering of an HNL N in the detector and its decay into ee nu.





Ballett, Boschi, SP, 1905.00284

The N5, N6 produced in up scatterings of beam neutrinos decay in ee and missing energy mimicking the signal.

Bounds change if additional interactions are allowed, (HNL can decay invisibly or semivisibly). Potentially, strong bounds from ND280 in T2K.

See V. Brdar et al., 2007.14411, C. Arguelles et al., 2205.12273

A host of other signatures

There is a longstanding discrepancy between the measured value of a_{μ} and the theoretical prediction, at 4.2 sigma.

See Keshavarzi, Marciano, Passera, Sirlin.

$$\Delta a_{\mu} \equiv a_{\mu}^{exp} - a_{\mu}^{th} = (274 \pm 73) \times 10^{-11}$$

Kinetic mixing and light Z' can explain the anomaly,...



Unique signatures and future tests

The model has key signatures which can be tested.



One can expect displaced vertices, decay chains and unique HNL and dark photon phenomenology (typically, semivisible decays):

- MicroBooNE, T2K ND, DUNE-ND;
- NA62&SHADOWS;
- Nu@LHC programme;
- NA64;
- Bellell and BESIII.

Neutrinos as a window to Dark sectors???

The dark or hidden sector indicate extensions of the SM that are below the electroweak scale.



Dark sectors can account for neutrino masses, the baryon asymmetry, dark matter.

This would be a major departure from "traditional" BSM thinking and open a very exciting experimental landscape.

Conclusions

Neutrinos are the most elusive and mysterious of the known particles. Neutrino masses only particle physics evidence BSM.

Current status: precise knowledge of most of neutrino properties. Key questions open (nature, CPV) due to be answered in the next decade. Thriving experimental programme.

Surprises in store? MiniBooNE LEE remains a puzzle. New MicroBooNE results point away from sterile neutrinos. Neutrino4 and BEST anomalies?

Are neutrinos pointing towards a new understanding of particles: dark sector?

29