LHC constraints on W' mass: a theoretical point of view

Stefano Morisi

Based on *Top-flavor scheme in the context of W' searches at LHC*, **Phys. Rev.D** 104 -2021 & Calabrese's master thesis

In collaboration with

Miele (head of Napoli AstroParticle Theory Group) Iorio (CMS member) De Iorio (CMS member) Calabrese (PhD student) Fiorillo (Former PhD student)

Extra charged gauge bosons W'^{\pm} are predicted in many extensions of the standard model like,

$SU_L(2) \times SU_R(2)$

Pati-Salam PRD10 (1975) Mohapatra, Senjanovic PRL44 (1980)

•••••

 $SU_L(3) \supset SU_L(2)$

Addazzi,Ricciardi,Scarlatella,Srivastava,Valle(2022) Buras et al JHEP(2014) Long PRD (1996) Singer,Valle,Schechter PRD(1980)

J. Schechter and Y. Ueda, Phys. Rev. <u>D8</u>, 484 (1973); J. Schechter and M. Singer, Phys. Rev. <u>D9</u>, 1769 (1974); L. Clavelli and
T. Yang, Phys. Rev. <u>D10</u>, 658 (1974); V. Gupta and H. Mani,
Phys. Rev. <u>D10</u>, 1310 (1974); C. Albright, C. Jarlskog, and
M. Tjia, Nucl. Phys. <u>B86</u>, 535 (1975); F. Gursey, P. Ramond,
and P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. <u>D12</u>, 2166 (1975); L.K. Pandit,
Pramana <u>7</u>, 291 (1976); P. Ramond, Nucl. Phys. <u>B110</u>, 214 (1976);

Grand unified theories...

Extra-dimensions

Appelquist, Cheng, Dobrescu, PRD64(2001) Cheng, Hill, Pokorski, Wang, PRD64(2001) Burdman, Dobrescu, Ponton, PRD74(2006)

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}} = \underbrace{V_{f_i f_j}}{2\sqrt{2}} g_w \overline{f}_i \gamma_\mu [\alpha_R^{f_i f_j} (1 + \gamma^5) + \alpha_L^{f_i f_j} (1 - \gamma^5)] W'^\mu f_j + \text{H.c.}}_{\text{In general 18 free parameters !}}$$
flavor blind $\longrightarrow \alpha_R^{f_i f_j} = a_R \delta_{ij}$ $\alpha_L^{f_i f_j} = a_L \delta_{ij}$ \longrightarrow typically only 2 free parameters
$$\int_{f_L} f_R \qquad W' \wedge \cdots \wedge a_R \qquad W'_L : a_L = 1; a_R = 0$$
 $W'_L : a_L = 1; a_R = 0$
 $W'_R : a_L = 0; a_R = 1$

(The sequential standard model)

$$W_{R}': a_{L} = 0; a_{R} = 1 \rightarrow \text{particular case of left-right} \\ SU_{L}(2) \times SU_{R}(2)$$

$$W_{L}': a_{L} = 1; a_{R} = 0 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{SEARCHING FOR NEW} \\ \text{HEAVY VECTOR BOSONS} \\ \text{IN p$^{\texttt{p}} COLLIDERS} \\ \text{G. Altarelli} \\ \text{B. Mele} \\ \text{M. Ruiz-Altaba} \\ \text{CBIN General} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} U \\ U^{\pm} \\$$

Stefano Morisi, University of Naples

The sequential model (as proposed by Altarelli et al.) can not derive from any extended gauge model

The paper aim was mostly explorative

While the couplings to fermions are the same of Standard Model

 $W^{\prime\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z$ is suppressed if W' and W arise from different gauge groups i.e. $W \in SU(2) \times U(1)$, $W' \in SU'(2)$ $W^{\prime\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z$ comes from mixing after symmetry breaking $O(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{WI}^2})$



W' detection: @LHC the most promising channel is $W' \rightarrow tb$ (single top)

- small QCD background comparing to light-jets
- less model dependent:

 $W'_{L,R} \to \ell v_{L,R}$

Kinematically suppressed if $M_{\upsilon R} > M_{WR}$

Standard Model single top production



Three different production channels for single top quarks can be distinguished: the s-channel (a), the t-channel (b) and the W-associated channel (c)

• Dominant for $M_W \ll M_W$,

Remarks on experimental searches



Interference between W' and W in single-top quark production processes,

CMS vs ATLAS



Is this the end of the story? What if we consider a flavor unblind bechmark case?

top-flavor model: an unblind example

$SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_1 \times SU(2)_2$	$\times SU(2)_3 \times U(1)_V$		$SU(2)_{12}$	$SU(2)_3$	$U(1)_Y$
	Li, Ma PRL 47 (81)	L^3	1	2	-1/2
		$L^{1,2}$	2	1	-1/2
$SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_{12}$	$\times SU(2)_3 \times U(1)_Y \longrightarrow$	Q^3	1	2	1/6
	Li, Ma JPG 19 (1993) Muller, Nandi PLB 383 (96) Muller, Nandi PLBPS52 (97)	$Q^{1,2}$	2	1	1/6
		$u_{R}^{1,2,3}$	1	1	2/3
		$d_R^{1,2,3}$	1	1	-1/3
		$\ell_R^{1,2,3}$	1	1	-1
		Σ^{Λ}	2	2	0
		Φ	1	2	1/2
$\langle \Sigma \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_3 \xrightarrow{\Sigma} SU(2)_L$			Yukawa interactions	
$\langle - \rangle \langle 0 u \rangle$				$\overline{L^3}\ell^i_R\Phi$	
$\langle \Phi angle = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \\ v \end{array} ight)$	$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \xrightarrow{\Phi} U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_{\rm EM}$		$\frac{1}{\Lambda}\overline{L^{1,2}}\ell^i_R\Phi\Sigma$	

Stefano Morisi, University of Naples

.

 $SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_3 \times U(1)_Y$

g ₁₂	g ₃	${oldsymbol{g}}_0$
W^\pm_{12} , W^0_{12}	W_3^{\pm} , W_3^{0}	В

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{V_{f_i f_j}}{2\sqrt{2}} g_w \bar{f}_i \gamma_\mu [\alpha_R^{f_i f_j} (1 + \gamma^5) + \alpha_L^{f_i f_j} (1 - \gamma^5)] W'^\mu f_j + \text{H.c.}$$

$$\alpha_L^{f_i f_j} \propto g_{12} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + g_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \alpha_R^{f_i f_j} = 0$$

Flavor dependent: lepton universality broken

$$\begin{split} &i\bar{L}_{L}^{j}\gamma_{\mu}\bigg(\partial^{\mu}+i\frac{g_{12}}{2}\sigma^{i}W_{12}^{i\mu}-i\frac{g_{0}}{2}B^{\mu}\bigg)L_{L}^{j}+i\bar{Q}_{L}^{j}\gamma_{\mu}\bigg(\partial^{\mu}+i\frac{g_{12}}{2}\sigma^{i}W_{12}^{i\mu}+i\frac{g_{0}}{6}B^{\mu}\bigg)Q_{L}^{j} \quad \textbf{j=1,2}\\ &+i\bar{L}_{L}^{3}\gamma_{\mu}\bigg(\partial^{\mu}+i\frac{g_{3}}{2}\sigma^{i}W_{3}^{i\mu}-i\frac{g_{0}}{2}B^{\mu}\bigg)L_{L}^{3}+i\bar{Q}_{L}^{3}\gamma_{\mu}\bigg(\partial^{\mu}+i\frac{g_{3}}{2}\sigma^{i}W_{3}^{i\mu}+\frac{g_{0}}{6}B^{\mu}\bigg)Q_{L}^{3} + \end{split}$$

 $SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_3 \times U(1)_Y$



Note that we restore SM when $g_{12} = g_3 \rightarrow \theta' = \pi/4$

 $SU(2)_{12} \times SU(2)_3 \times U(1)_Y$



lepton universality is broken

He, Valencia: B decays with tau leptons in nonuniversal left-right models," PRD87 (2013)



Stefano Morisi, University of Naples

Branching ratio: some remarks

Note that $\theta' = \pi/4 \rightarrow V'_{CKM} = V_{CKM}$



See also Kim and Lee, Direct search for heavy gauge bosons at the LHC in the nonuniversal SU(2) model,' PRD90 (2014)

W' cross section @ LHC

		Cross	section (fb)
Mass	Width	13 TeV	14 TeV
1000	10	109552 ± 407	127176 ± 466
	100	10939 ± 54	12674 ± 59
	200	5355 ± 21	6177 ± 23
1400	14	26036 ± 123	31207 ± 144
	140	2712 ± 12	3246 ± 13
	280	1375 ± 5	1633 ± 6
1800	18	7835 ± 42	9717 ± 50
	180	859 ± 4	1052 ± 5
	360	452 ± 2	550 ± 3
2000	20	5375 ± 29	5748 ± 30
	200	593 ± 3	635 ± 3
	400	315 ± 2	340 ± 2
2400	24	2005 ± 11	2146 ± 13
	240	235 ± 1	253 ± 1
	480	131.7 ± 0.8	$142,0\pm 0,8$
2800	28	791 ± 4	850 ± 5
	280	$102, 4 \pm 0, 7$	$110, 4 \pm 0, 7$
	560	$59,9 \pm 0,4$	$65, 1 \pm 0, 4$
3200	32	331 ± 2	356 ± 2
	320	$47,9 \pm 0,4$	$51,9 \pm 0,4$
	640	$30,0 \pm 0,1$	$32, 6 \pm 0, 1$
3600	36	145 ± 1	156 ± 1
	360	$23,9 \pm 0,1$	$25, 8 \pm 0, 1$
	720	$16,20 \pm 0,08$	$17,51 \pm 0,09$
4000	40	$67, 3 \pm 0, 6$	$71,8 \pm 0,7$
	400	$13, 10 \pm 0, 06$	$14, 12 \pm 0, 07$
	800	$9,43 \pm 0,04$	$10,25 \pm 0,04$
4400	44	$34, 1 \pm 0, 1$	$35,7 \pm 0,1$
	440	$7,61 \pm 0,04$	$8,20 \pm 0,04$
	880	$5,76 \pm 0,02$	$6,24 \pm 0,03$
4800	48	$18, 18 \pm 0, 03$	$18,91 \pm 0,03$
	480	$4,70 \pm 0,03$	$5,07 \pm 0,03$
	960	$3,77 \pm 0,02$	$4,09\pm0,02$

 $\sigma_{SSM}(pp \rightarrow W')$ for Sequential Standard Model from $MadGraph5_{MC}@NLO\ tool$

$$\sigma_{TF}(pp \to W') \approx \sigma_{SSM}(pp \to W') \times \tan^2 \theta'$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{12}^{\pm} \qquad \mathcal{W}_{3}^{\pm}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{g_{12}^{2}(u^{2}+v^{2})}{4} & -\frac{g_{12}g_{3}u^{2}}{4} \\ -\frac{g_{12}g_{3}u^{2}}{4} & \frac{g_{3}^{2}u^{2}}{4} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\theta'}$$

W' width

10/

100/ 200/

	1%, 10%, 20%				
		Cross see	Cross section (fb)		
Mass	Width	13 TeV	14 TeV		
1000	10	109552 ± 407	127176 ± 466		
1000	100	10939 ± 54	12674 ± 59		
	200 🗡	5355 ± 21	6177 ± 23		
1400	14	26036 ± 123	31207 ± 144		
	140	2712 ± 12	3246 ± 13		
	280	1375 ± 5	1633 ± 6		
1800	18	7835 ± 42	9717 ± 50		
	180	859 ± 4	1052 ± 5		
	360	452 ± 2	550 ± 3		
2000	20	5375 ± 29	5748 ± 30		
	200	593 ± 3	635 ± 3		
	400	315 ± 2	340 ± 2		
2400	24	2005 ± 11	2146 ± 13		
	240	235 ± 1	253 ± 1		
	480	$131, 7 \pm 0, 8$	$142, 0 \pm 0, 8$		
2800	28	791 ± 4	850 ± 5		
	280	$102, 4 \pm 0, 7$	$110, 4 \pm 0, 7$		
	560	$59,9 \pm 0,4$	$65, 1 \pm 0, 4$		
3200	32	331 ± 2	356 ± 2		
	320	$47,9 \pm 0,4$	$51,9 \pm 0,4$		
	640	$30,0 \pm 0,1$	$32, 6 \pm 0, 1$		
3600	36	145 ± 1	156 ± 1		
	360	$23,9\pm0,1$	$25,8\pm0,1$		
	720	$16,20\pm0,08$	$17,51\pm0,09$		
4000	40	$67,3\pm0,6$	$71,8\pm0,7$		
	400	$13,10\pm0,06$	$14,12\pm0,07$		
	800	$9,43\pm0,04$	$10,25\pm0,04$		
4400	44	$34, 1 \pm 0, 1$	$35,7 \pm 0,1$		
	440	$7,61\pm0,04$	$8,20\pm0,04$		
	880	$5,76\pm0,02$	$6,24\pm0,03$		
4800	48	$18,18\pm0,03$	$18,91\pm0,03$		
	480	$4,70\pm0,03$	$5,07\pm0,03$		
	960	377 ± 0.02	4.09 ± 0.02		

- Typically in the analysis the total width is fixed
- In top-flavor model is a function of the mass



 $\sigma(pp \to W') \operatorname{Br}(W' \to tb),$



Stefano Morisi, University of Naples

Conclusions

- LHC searches for W' extra charged gauge boson often use *sequantial model* as benchmark
- Even if sequential model incorporates a wide variety of models, *search results are not so general*
- We consider *top-flavor* as an example showing that a very different conclusion can be obtained from current data
- The main motivation probably is due to *blind vs unblind* flavor model



Stefano Morisi, University of Naples