

EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH TORSION AND NONMETRICITY AND SOME APPLICATIONS IN (SUPER)GRAVITY THEORIES

Based on [arXiv:1811.11458 \[gr-qc\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.11458) and [Phys. Lett. B 793 \(2019\) 265-270, arXiv:1904.03681 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.03681), both in collaboration with Silke Klemm

Lucrezia Ravera

Postdoctoral Fellow @ INFN, Sezione di Milano

TFI 2019: Theories of Fundamental Interactions 2019, Torino, 21-23/10/2019

- Einstein manifolds with torsion and nonmetricity in d dimensions
- Applications in gravity theories
 1. Einstein-Cartan action \leftrightarrow Scale invariant gravity
 2. Einstein-Hilbert action + 3-form \leftrightarrow Einstein-Cartan gravity

[Silke Klemm, L.R., arXiv:1811.11458 [gr-qc]]

- Applications in SUGRA
 1. Einstein-Weyl spaces and near-horizon geometry (brief review)
 2. SUSY near-horizon geometry and Einstein-Cartan-Weyl spaces

[M. Dunajski, J. Gurowski, W. Sabra, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** (2017), no.4, 045009, arXiv:1610.08953 [hep-th]]

[Silke Klemm, L.R., *Phys. Lett. B* **793** (2019) 265-270, arXiv:1904.03681 [hep-th]]

WHY TORSION AND NONMETRICITY? SOME MOTIVATIONS

- Riemannian geometry → Mathematical formulation of General Relativity
- General Relativity is successful and predictive, but there are still some open problems and questions
- Clearer understanding and solutions may need the formulation of a new theoretical framework →
Generalizations and extensions of Riemannian geometry
- Possible way of generalizing Riemannian geometry: Allowing for non-vanishing torsion and nonmetricity →
Metric affine gravity
- Several physical and mathematical reasons motivate torsion or nonmetricity (applications in the theory of defects in crystals, explore spacetime microstructure, applications in quantum gravity and cosmology, etc.)

Historical aspects

- **Weyl** (1918): Attempt of unifying EM with gravity geometrically \rightarrow Generalization of Riemannian geometry (both the direction and the length of vectors are allowed to vary under parallel transport) \rightarrow Connection involving the **nonmetricity** tensor, whose trace part is called the **Weyl vector** \rightsquigarrow Observational inconsistencies in Weyl's theory \Rightarrow Weyl's theory of EM fails
- Renewed interest in Weyl geometry, trying to go beyond Weyl's results (scale invariant gravity, higher symmetry approaches to gravity involving conformal invariance, etc.)

NONMETRICITY AND EINSTEIN-WEYL SPACES

Historical aspects

- **Weyl** (1918): Attempt of unifying EM with gravity geometrically \rightarrow Generalization of Riemannian geometry (both the direction and the length of vectors are allowed to vary under parallel transport) \rightarrow Connection involving the **nonmetricity** tensor, whose trace part is called the **Weyl vector** \rightsquigarrow Observational inconsistencies in Weyl's theory \Rightarrow Weyl's theory of EM fails
- Renewed interest in Weyl geometry, trying to go beyond Weyl's results (scale invariant gravity, higher symmetry approaches to gravity involving conformal invariance, etc.)

Einstein-Weyl geometry

- *Weyl manifold*: Conformal manifold, torsionless but **nonmetric** connection preserving the conformal structure
- *Einstein-Weyl manifold*: Weyl manifold for which the symmetric, trace-free part of the Ricci tensor of the connection vanishes and the symmetric part of the Ricci tensor of the Weyl connection is \propto to the metric
- Applications: (Fake) SUSY SUGRA solutions; EW geometry in $d = 3$ has an equivalent formulation in twistor theory \rightarrow Tool for constructing self-dual $d = 4$ geometries (Jones-Tod correspondence); relations with integrable systems; etc.

Historical aspects

- **Einstein-Cartan theory**: Generalization of Riemannian geometry including **torsion**, geometrical structure of the manifold modified by allowing for an AS part of the affine connection
- Introduction of torsion widely analyzed in GR and in the setting of teleparallel gravities; torsion tensor also related to the Kalb-Ramond field; relation between torsion and conformal symmetry; etc.

Historical aspects

- **Einstein-Cartan theory**: Generalization of Riemannian geometry including **torsion**, geometrical structure of the manifold modified by allowing for an AS part of the affine connection
- Introduction of torsion widely analyzed in GR and in the setting of teleparallel gravities; torsion tensor also related to the Kalb-Ramond field; relation between torsion and conformal symmetry; etc.

Einstein-Cartan geometry

- **Einstein-Cartan manifold**: Einstein manifold with a metric connection endowed with non-vanishing **torsion**
- Applications: Cosmology (torsion as origin for dark energy); Einstein manifolds with skew-symmetric torsion analyzed from the mathematical p.o.v.; condensed matter physics; etc.

EINSTEIN-CARTAN-WEYL GEOMETRY: EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH TORSION AND NONMETRICITY IN d DIMENSIONS

- Einstein manifolds with torsion in d dimensions and relations with Einstein manifolds with nonmetricity in d dimensions (trace part of the torsion \leftrightarrow Weyl vector); Weyl invariance in both cases

[Silke Klemm, L.R., arXiv:1811.11458 [gr-qc]]

Then, we studied **Einstein manifolds with torsion and nonmetricity** in d dimensions (**ECW spaces**):

EINSTEIN-CARTAN-WEYL GEOMETRY: EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH TORSION AND NONMETRICITY IN d DIMENSIONS

- Einstein manifolds with torsion in d dimensions and relations with Einstein manifolds with nonmetricity in d dimensions (trace part of the torsion \leftrightarrow Weyl vector); Weyl invariance in both cases

[Silke Klemm, L.R., arXiv:1811.11458 [gr-qc]]

Then, we studied Einstein manifolds with torsion and nonmetricity in d dimensions (ECW spaces):

- Conn.: $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \underbrace{\tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{Christoffel}} + \underbrace{N^\lambda_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{distortion}}$, with $N^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{\nu\lambda\mu} - T_{\lambda\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{\lambda\mu\nu} + Q_{\lambda\nu\mu} - Q_{\mu\lambda\nu})$
- Torsion: $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$; decomposition: $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \check{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{d-1} (\delta^\lambda_\nu T_\mu - \delta^\lambda_\mu T_\nu)$, where $\check{T}^\nu_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $T_\mu \equiv T^\nu_{\mu\nu}$
- Nonmetricity: $Q_{\mu\nu\lambda} = -\nabla_\nu g_{\lambda\mu}$; decomposition: $Q_{\mu\nu\lambda} = -2\Theta_\nu g_{\lambda\mu} + \check{Q}_{\mu\nu\lambda}$, where Θ_ν is the Weyl vector

EINSTEIN-CARTAN-WEYL GEOMETRY: EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS WITH TORSION AND NONMETRICITY IN d DIMENSIONS

- **Einstein manifolds with torsion** in d dimensions and relations with **Einstein manifolds with nonmetricity** in d dimensions (trace part of the torsion \leftrightarrow Weyl vector); **Weyl invariance** in both cases

[Silke Klemm, L.R., arXiv:1811.11458 [gr-qc]]

Then, we studied **Einstein manifolds with torsion and nonmetricity** in d dimensions (**ECW spaces**):

- Conn.: $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \underbrace{\tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{Christoffel}} + \underbrace{N^\lambda_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{distortion}}$, with $N^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{\nu\lambda\mu} - T_{\lambda\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu\lambda}) + \frac{1}{2} (Q_{\lambda\mu\nu} + Q_{\lambda\nu\mu} - Q_{\mu\lambda\nu})$
- **Torsion**: $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$; **decomposition**: $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \check{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{d-1} (\delta^\lambda_\nu T_\mu - \delta^\lambda_\mu T_\nu)$, where $\check{T}^\nu_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and $T_\mu \equiv T^\nu_{\mu\nu}$
- **Nonmetricity**: $Q_{\mu\nu\lambda} = -\nabla_\nu g_{\lambda\mu}$; **decomposition**: $Q_{\mu\nu\lambda} = -2\Theta_\nu g_{\lambda\mu} + \check{Q}_{\mu\nu\lambda}$, where Θ_ν is the **Weyl vector**
- Riemann tensor: $R^\lambda_{\rho\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\rho} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\rho} + \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} \Gamma^\sigma_{\nu\rho} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\sigma} \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\rho}$
- Ricci tensor of ∇ : $R_{\mu\nu} = R^\rho_{\mu\rho\nu}$
- Ricci scalar: $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$

EINSTEIN-CARTAN-WEYL SPACES AND ECW EQUATIONS

- We define an **ECW space** by

$$R_{(\mu\nu)} = \lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

- We use

$$R_{(\mu\nu)} = R^{\rho}_{(\mu|\rho|\nu)}$$

- We substitute back and take the trace
- We get the form of λ in terms of \tilde{R} (of $\tilde{\nabla}$, Levi-Civita) + torsion and nonmetricity terms
- We substitute back λ
- We get a **system of nonlinear PDEs characterizing an ECW manifold (ECW equations)**

$$R_{(\mu\nu)} = \frac{1}{d} R g_{\mu\nu}$$

in terms of Riemannian data

(We will see the explicit form of the ECW equations in the $d = 3$ case)

WEYL INVARIANCE OF THE ECW EQUATIONS

- Consider the **Weyl rescaling**

$$g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto e^{2\omega} g_{\mu\nu}$$

- The Weyl vector and the connection transform as

$$\Theta_\mu \mapsto \Theta_\mu + \xi \partial_\mu \omega, \quad \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} + (1 - \xi) \delta^\rho_\nu \partial_\mu \omega$$

where ξ denotes an arbitrary parameter

- Then we have

$$T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \mapsto T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} + 2(1 - \xi) \delta^\lambda_{[\nu} \partial_{\mu]} \omega, \quad Q^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \mapsto Q^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - 2\xi \partial_\mu \omega \delta^\lambda_\nu$$

- In particular, we find

$$T_\mu \mapsto T_\mu + (1 - \xi)(d - 1) \partial_\mu \omega, \quad \check{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \check{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}, \quad \check{Q}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \mapsto \check{Q}_{\lambda\mu\nu}$$

- For the Riemann tensor, the Ricci tensor, and the scalar curvature, we obtain, respectively,

$$R^\sigma_{\rho\mu\nu} \mapsto R^\sigma_{\rho\mu\nu}, \quad R_{\rho\nu} \mapsto R_{\rho\nu}, \quad R \mapsto e^{-2\omega} R$$

- $R_{(\mu\nu)} = \lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ implies (trace): $R = \lambda d \Rightarrow R_{(\mu\nu)} = \lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ is equivalent to

$$R_{(\rho\nu)} = \frac{1}{d} R g_{\rho\nu}$$

- The latter is clearly **Weyl invariant**

TWO PARTICULAR CASES: $\xi = 1$ AND $\xi = 0$

- For $\xi = 1$:

$$T_\mu \mapsto T_\mu ,$$

$$\Theta_\mu \mapsto \Theta_\mu + \partial_\mu \omega$$

This corresponds to the Weyl transformation for Θ_μ for manifolds with nonmetricity and vanishing torsion. Moreover, this is the only case in which **the connection is also invariant**, namely

$$\Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}$$

TWO PARTICULAR CASES: $\xi = 1$ AND $\xi = 0$

- For $\xi = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}T_\mu &\mapsto T_\mu, \\ \Theta_\mu &\mapsto \Theta_\mu + \partial_\mu \omega\end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to the Weyl transformation for Θ_μ for manifolds with nonmetricity and vanishing torsion. Moreover, this is the only case in which **the connection is also invariant**, namely

$$\Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}$$

- For $\xi = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}T_\mu &\mapsto T_\mu + (d-1)\partial_\mu \omega, \\ \Theta_\mu &\mapsto \Theta_\mu\end{aligned}$$

This corresponds to the Weyl transformation for T_μ for manifolds with torsion and vanishing nonmetricity. In this case, for the connection we get

$$\Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} + \delta^\rho{}_\nu \partial_\mu \omega$$

Obs.: We can reabsorb the Weyl vector into the trace part of the torsion by defining $\hat{T}_\mu = T_\mu + (d-1)\Theta_\mu$ (and vice versa)

EINSTEIN-CARTAN-WEYL GEOMETRY IN $d = 3$

- Consider a $d = 3$ Einstein manifold endowed with a metric γ ; Conn.: $\Gamma^l_{ij} = \tilde{\Gamma}^l_{ij} + N^l_{ij}$, with **torsion** and **nonmetricity**

- For simplicity: Traceless part of the distortion $\check{N}_{lij} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\check{T}_{jli} - \check{T}_{lji} - \check{T}_{ijl}) + \frac{1}{2} (\check{Q}_{lij} + \check{Q}_{lji} - \check{Q}_{ijl})$

- ECW space:** $R_{(ij)} = \frac{1}{3} R \gamma_{ij}$

- In terms of Riemannian data, the latter becomes (**ECW equations**)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_{(i} \Theta_{j)} + \Theta_i \Theta_j + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}_{(i} T_{j)} + \frac{1}{4} T_i T_j + \Theta_{(i} T_{j)} - \check{N}^{lm}{}_{(i} \check{N}_{j)lm} + \Theta^l \check{N}_{(ij)l} + \frac{1}{2} T^l \check{N}_{(ij)l} - \tilde{\nabla}_l \check{N}^l{}_{(ij)} \\ = \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{ij} \left(\tilde{R} + \tilde{\nabla}^k \Theta_k + \Theta^k \Theta_k + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^k T_k + \frac{1}{4} T^k T_k + \Theta^k T_k - \check{N}^{lmn} \check{N}_{mnl} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Ricci scalar for a $d = 3$ ECW manifold:

$$R = \tilde{R} + 4\tilde{\nabla}^k \Theta_k - 2\Theta^k \Theta_k + 2\tilde{\nabla}^k T_k - \frac{1}{2} T^k T_k - 2\Theta^k T_k - \check{N}^{lmn} \check{N}_{mnl}$$

- Obs.:** We can define $\check{\Theta}_i \equiv \Theta_i + \frac{1}{2} T_i$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_{(i} \check{\Theta}_{j)} + \check{\Theta}_i \check{\Theta}_j - \check{N}^{lm}{}_{(i} \check{N}_{j)lm} + \check{\Theta}^l \check{N}_{(ij)l} - \tilde{\nabla}_l \check{N}^l{}_{(ij)} = \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{ij} \left(\tilde{R} + \tilde{\nabla}^k \check{\Theta}_k + \check{\Theta}^k \check{\Theta}_k - \check{N}^{lmn} \check{N}_{mnl} \right), \\ R = \tilde{R} + 4\tilde{\nabla}^k \check{\Theta}_k - 2\check{\Theta}^k \check{\Theta}_k - \check{N}^{lmn} \check{N}_{mnl} \end{aligned}$$

Applications in the context of gravity theories

- Einstein-Cartan action \leftrightarrow Scale invariant gravity
- Einstein-Hilbert action + 3-form \leftrightarrow Einstein-Cartan gravity

EINSTEIN-CARTAN ACTION \leftrightarrow SCALE INVARIANT GRAVITY

- Consider the **Einstein-Cartan action**:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \phi^2 \left(R - \kappa \phi^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \right) \\ &= \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \phi^2 \left(\tilde{R} - \frac{d-2}{d-1} T_\mu T^\mu + 2\tilde{\nabla}_\mu T^\mu + \frac{1}{4} \check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \check{T}_{\nu\rho\mu} \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \kappa \phi^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

R : Ricci scalar of a **torsionful** but metric connection, ϕ : scalar field, κ : constant

- The action is invariant under: $g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto e^{2\omega} g_{\mu\nu}$, $\phi \mapsto e^{\frac{2-d}{2}\omega} \phi$, $T_\mu \mapsto T_\mu + (d-1)\partial_\mu\omega$, $\check{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \check{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$

EINSTEIN-CARTAN ACTION \leftrightarrow SCALE INVARIANT GRAVITY

- Consider the **Einstein-Cartan action**:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \phi^2 \left(R - \kappa \phi^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \right) \\ &= \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \phi^2 \left(\tilde{R} - \frac{d-2}{d-1} T_\mu T^\mu + 2\tilde{\nabla}_\mu T^\mu + \frac{1}{4} \check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \check{T}_{\nu\rho\mu} \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \kappa \phi^{\frac{4}{d-2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

R : Ricci scalar of a **torsionful** but metric connection, ϕ : scalar field, κ : constant

- The action is invariant under: $g_{\mu\nu} \mapsto e^{2\omega} g_{\mu\nu}$, $\phi \mapsto e^{\frac{2-d}{2}\omega} \phi$, $T_\mu \mapsto T_\mu + (d-1)\partial_\mu \omega$, $\check{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \mapsto \check{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$

- Variation w.r.t. T_μ and traceless part of the contorsion: $T_\mu = -\frac{2(d-1)}{d-2} \frac{\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi}{\phi}$, $\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$

- Variation w.r.t $g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\phi^2 \left(\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R} \right) + \frac{2d}{d-2} \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi - 2\phi \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi + 2g_{\mu\nu} \phi \tilde{\nabla}_\rho \tilde{\nabla}^\rho \phi - \frac{2}{d-2} g_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\nabla}_\rho \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\rho \phi + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \kappa \phi^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} = 0$$

- Variation w.r.t. ϕ : $\phi \tilde{R} - \frac{4(d-1)}{d-2} \tilde{\nabla}_\rho \tilde{\nabla}^\rho \phi - \frac{d}{d-2} \kappa \phi^{\frac{d+2}{d-2}} = 0$

- Obs.:** In the last two we have already used the expressions for the torsion; the trace of the 1st implies the 2nd (being ϕ pure gauge)

EINSTEIN-CARTAN ACTION \leftrightarrow SCALE INVARIANT GRAVITY

- Now consider the **scale invariant gravity action**:

$$S_2 = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left[\phi^2 \tilde{R} + \frac{4(d-1)}{d-2} \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \phi - \kappa \phi^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \right]$$

- The **e.o.m.** following from the **scale invariant gravity action** are precisely the ones obtained from the **Einstein-Cartan action** by varying the latter w.r.t. $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ , after having used the expressions for the torsion
- **Obs.:** Plugging the expression for T_μ in terms of ϕ and $\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$ into the Einstein-Cartan action, one gets, up to a surface term, the scale invariant gravity action
- **Obs.:** Weyl invariance allows to rescale $\phi \mapsto e^{\frac{2-d}{d}\omega} \phi \Rightarrow$ One can use this freedom to gauge fix $\phi = 1/(4\sqrt{\pi G}) \rightarrow$ The scale invariant gravity action becomes EH + cosmological constant

EINSTEIN-CARTAN ACTION \leftrightarrow SCALE INVARIANT GRAVITY

- Now consider the **scale invariant gravity action**:

$$S_2 = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left[\phi^2 \tilde{R} + \frac{4(d-1)}{d-2} \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\mu \phi - \kappa \phi^{\frac{2d}{d-2}} \right]$$

- The **e.o.m.** following from the **scale invariant gravity action** are precisely the ones obtained from the **Einstein-Cartan action** by varying the latter w.r.t. $g_{\mu\nu}$ and ϕ , after having used the expressions for the torsion
- Obs.:** Plugging the expression for T_μ in terms of ϕ and $\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$ into the Einstein-Cartan action, one gets, up to a surface term, the scale invariant gravity action
- Obs.:** Weyl invariance allows to rescale $\phi \mapsto e^{\frac{2-d}{d}\omega} \phi \Rightarrow$ One can use this freedom to gauge fix $\phi = 1/(4\sqrt{\pi G}) \rightarrow$ The scale invariant gravity action becomes EH + cosmological constant
- Moreover, **the Einstein-Cartan action implies that the spacetime is Einstein with torsion**: The e.o.m. obtained from the **Einstein-Cartan action** by varying the latter w.r.t. $g_{\mu\nu}$ (using also the one obtained from the variation w.r.t. ϕ), can be recast into the form

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2d}{d-2} \frac{\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \phi \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi}{\phi^2} - 2 \frac{\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \tilde{\nabla}_\nu \phi}{\phi} = \frac{1}{d} g_{\mu\nu} \left(\tilde{R} - 2 \frac{\tilde{\nabla}_\rho \tilde{\nabla}^\rho \phi}{\phi} + \frac{2d}{d-2} \frac{\tilde{\nabla}_\rho \phi \tilde{\nabla}^\rho \phi}{\phi^2} \right)$$

On the other hand, considering the system of **PDEs** characterizing an **Einstein-Cartan manifold** and using the expressions for the torsion, the system of PDEs boils down to the equation above

EINSTEIN-HILBERT ACTION + 3-FORM \leftrightarrow EINSTEIN-CARTAN GRAVITY

- Consider the Einstein-Hilbert action coupled to a 3-form field-strength:

$$S_3 = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left(\tilde{R} - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \right),$$

where $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}$, with $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$

- Variation w.r.t. $B_{\mu\nu}$: $\tilde{\nabla}^\mu H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$
- Variation w.r.t. $g^{\rho\nu}$: $\tilde{R}_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho\nu} \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{24} g_{\rho\nu} H_{\mu\tau\sigma} H^{\mu\tau\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} H_\rho{}^{\mu\sigma} H_{\nu\mu\sigma} = 0$

EINSTEIN-HILBERT ACTION + 3-FORM \leftrightarrow EINSTEIN-CARTAN GRAVITY

- Consider the **Einstein-Hilbert action** coupled to a **3-form** field-strength:

$$S_3 = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left(\tilde{R} - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \right),$$

where $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}$, with $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$

- Variation w.r.t. $B_{\mu\nu}$: $\tilde{\nabla}^\mu H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$
- Variation w.r.t. $g^{\rho\nu}$: $\tilde{R}_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho\nu} \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{24} g_{\rho\nu} H_{\mu\tau\sigma} H^{\mu\tau\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} H_\rho^{\mu\sigma} H_{\nu\mu\sigma} = 0$
- Consider the system of **PDEs** satisfied by an **Einstein manifold with torsion**, assuming $T_\mu = 0$ and $\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho}$ **completely AS**: $\tilde{R}_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \check{T}_{\mu\sigma\nu} \check{T}_\rho^{\mu\sigma} = \frac{1}{d} g_{\rho\nu} \left(\tilde{R} - \frac{1}{4} \check{T}^{\mu\tau\sigma} \check{T}_{\mu\tau\sigma} \right)$
- Compare the system of PDEs with the e.o.m.** obtained from the variation of S_3 w.r.t. $g^{\rho\nu}$

EINSTEIN-HILBERT ACTION + 3-FORM \leftrightarrow EINSTEIN-CARTAN GRAVITY

- Consider the **Einstein-Hilbert action** coupled to a **3-form** field-strength:

$$S_3 = \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left(\tilde{R} - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \right),$$

where $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}$, with $B_{\mu\nu} = -B_{\nu\mu}$

- Variation w.r.t. $B_{\mu\nu}$: $\tilde{\nabla}^\mu H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$
- Variation w.r.t. $g^{\rho\nu}$: $\tilde{R}_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\rho\nu} \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{24} g_{\rho\nu} H_{\mu\tau\sigma} H^{\mu\tau\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} H_\rho^{\mu\sigma} H_{\nu\mu\sigma} = 0$
- Consider the system of **PDEs** satisfied by an **Einstein manifold with torsion**, assuming $T_\mu = 0$ and $\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho}$ **completely AS**: $\tilde{R}_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \check{T}_{\mu\sigma\nu} \check{T}_\rho^{\mu\sigma} = \frac{1}{d} g_{\rho\nu} \left(\tilde{R} - \frac{1}{4} \check{T}^{\mu\tau\sigma} \check{T}_{\mu\tau\sigma} \right)$
- Compare the system of PDEs with the e.o.m.** obtained from the variation of S_3 w.r.t. $g^{\rho\nu}$
- Take the trace of the e.o.m.: $\tilde{R} = \frac{d-6}{12(d-2)} H^2, \quad H^2 \equiv H_{\mu\tau\sigma} H^{\mu\tau\sigma}$
- Subtract its trace part: $\tilde{R}_{\rho\nu} - \frac{1}{d} g_{\rho\nu} \tilde{R} - \frac{1}{4} H_\rho^{\mu\sigma} H_{\nu\mu\sigma} + \frac{1}{4d} g_{\rho\nu} H^2 = 0$
- The latter coincides with the system of PDEs** under the **identification**: $H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho}$
- The e.o.m. following from S_3 can thus be interpreted as implying that the spacetime is Einstein with skew-symmetric torsion $H_{\mu\nu\rho}$ (however, the e.o.m. are more restrictive than the system of PDEs)

EINSTEIN-HILBERT ACTION + 3-FORM \leftrightarrow EINSTEIN-CARTAN GRAVITY

- **Obs.:** The e.o.m. for S_3 can also be retrieved from the following (constrained) action:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_4 &= \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left[R + \lambda^{\mu\nu\rho} \left(\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}) \right) \right] \\
 &= \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left[\tilde{R} - \frac{d-2}{d-1} T_\mu T^\mu + 2\check{\nabla}_\mu T^\mu + \frac{1}{4} \check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \check{T}_{\nu\rho\mu} \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \lambda^{\mu\nu\rho} \left(\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}) \right) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature of a **torsionful** but metric connection, $\lambda^{\mu\nu\rho}$ is a **Lagrange multiplier**

- Variation w.r.t. T_μ : $T_\mu = 0$
- Variation w.r.t. $B_{\mu\nu}$: $\check{\nabla}_\mu \lambda^{[\mu\nu\rho]} = 0$
- Variation w.r.t. $\lambda^{\mu\nu\rho}$: $\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\partial_\mu B_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\nu B_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho B_{\mu\nu}) \Rightarrow$ **Completely AS**
- Variation w.r.t. $\check{T}_{\mu\nu\rho}$: $\lambda^{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} (\check{T}^{\nu\rho\mu} + \check{T}^{\rho\mu\nu} - \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho}) \Rightarrow \lambda^{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho}$
- Variation w.r.t. $g^{\mu\nu}$: $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \tilde{R} + \frac{1}{8} g_{\mu\nu} \check{T}_{\tau\rho\sigma} \check{T}^{\tau\rho\sigma} - \frac{3}{4} \check{T}_\mu{}^{\tau\rho} \check{T}_{\nu\tau\rho} = 0$
- Substituting $\lambda^{\mu\nu\rho}$ in terms of $\check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho}$ in eq. from variation w.r.t. $B_{\mu\nu}$: $\check{\nabla}_\mu \check{T}^{\mu\nu\rho} = 0$
- **Putting all together we get the e.o.m. obtained for S_3**

Applications in the context of SUGRA:

- Einstein-Weyl spaces and near-horizon geometry (brief review)
- SUSY near-horizon geometry and Einstein-Cartan-Weyl spaces

EINSTEIN-WEYL SPACES AND NEAR-HORIZON GEOMETRY

In the last decade there has been significant progress in **classifying near-horizon geometries** → Useful in the problem of reconstructing all SUSY solutions from a given n.h. geometry

- For **minimal gauged $d = 5$ SUGRA** n.h. geometries are at least half-supersymmetric; if they preserve a larger fraction of SUSY, then they are locally isometric to AdS_5 with vanishing 2-form field-strength

[J. Grover, J. B. Gutowski, G. Papadopoulos and W. A. Sabra, JHEP **1406** (2014) 020, arXiv:1303.0853 [hep-th]]

- **Ungauged case:** SUSY horizon geometries are given by **$d = 3$ EW structures of hyper-CR type** (Gauduchon-Tod spaces) → A class of solutions of minimal $d = 5$ SUGRA is given by lifts of $d = 3$ EW structures of hyper-CR type; this class was characterized as the most general n.h. limit of SUSY solutions to the $d = 5$ theory

- **Classification:** A compact spatial section of a horizon can only be a Berger sphere, a product metric on $S^1 \times S^2$, or a flat three-torus

[M. Dunajski, J. Gurowski, W. Sabra, Class. Quant. Grav. **34** (2017), no.4, 045009, arXiv:1610.08953 [hep-th]]

- We extended the analysis of **horizon geometry of SUSY black holes** to the case to **minimal gauged $d = 5$ SUGRA** → **SUSY n.h. geometry and Einstein-Cartan-Weyl spaces**

[Silke Klemm, L.R., Phys. Lett. B **793** (2019) 265-270, arXiv:1904.03681 [hep-th]]

- Bosonic action of minimal $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5$ gauged SUGRA:

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\tilde{R} + \frac{12}{\ell^2} \right) \star_5 1 - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star_5 F - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F \wedge A \right],$$

where $F = dA$, ℓ related to the cosmological constant by $\Lambda = -6/\ell^2$, \star_5 : Hodge endomorphism in $d = 5$

- E.o.m.:

$$\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} - 2F_{\alpha\gamma}F_{\beta}{}^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{3}g_{\alpha\beta} \left(F^2 + \frac{12}{\ell^2} \right) = 0, \quad d \star_5 F + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F = 0,$$

with $F^2 \equiv F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}$

$\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5$ GAUGED SUGRA AND THE NEAR-HORIZON LIMIT OF BPS BLACK HOLES

- **Bosonic action of minimal $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5$ gauged SUGRA:**

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(\tilde{R} + \frac{12}{\ell^2} \right) \star_5 1 - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge \star_5 F - \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F \wedge A \right],$$

where $F = dA$, ℓ related to the cosmological constant by $\Lambda = -6/\ell^2$, \star_5 : Hodge endomorphism in $d = 5$

- E.o.m.:

$$\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} - 2F_{\alpha\gamma}F_{\beta}{}^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{3}g_{\alpha\beta} \left(F^2 + \frac{12}{\ell^2} \right) = 0, \quad d \star_5 F + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F = 0,$$

with $F^2 \equiv F_{\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}$

- **Gaussian null coordinates** (u, r, y^i) defined in a neighborhood of a Killing horizon; horizon at $r = 0$; y^i are local coordinates on a **$d = 3$ Riemannian manifold Σ with metric γ** (spatial cross section of the horizon)
- Metric, 2-form field-strength F , and 1-form gauge potential associated to F :

$$ds^2 = 2\mathbf{e}^+ \mathbf{e}^- + \gamma_{ij} dy^i dy^j, \quad F = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \Phi \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge (d\Phi - h\Phi) + dB, \quad A = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} r \Phi du + B,$$

with $\mathbf{e}^+ = du$, $\mathbf{e}^- = dr + rh - \frac{1}{2}r^2\Delta du$, where the scalars Δ, Φ , the 1-forms h, B , and the Riemannian metric γ depend only on y^i ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$)

$\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5$ GAUGED SUGRA AND THE NEAR-HORIZON LIMIT OF BPS BLACK HOLES

- In the n.h. limit the bosonic field equations boil down to a set of equations on the $d = 3$ manifold Σ
- From the gauge field equations: $d \star_3 dB + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \star_3 (d\Phi - \Phi h) - h \wedge \star_3 dB - 2\Phi dB = 0$
- The non-trivial components of the Einstein equations, namely (ur) and (ij) , become, respectively,

$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^i h_i - \frac{1}{2} h^2 + \frac{1}{3} dB_{mn} dB^{mn} + \Phi^2 - \Delta + \frac{4}{\ell^2} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_{(i} h_{j)} - \frac{1}{2} h_i h_j - 2dB_{ik} dB_j{}^k + \gamma_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{3} dB_{kl} dB^{kl} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{4}{\ell^2} \right) = 0$$

$\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 5$ GAUGED SUGRA AND THE NEAR-HORIZON LIMIT OF BPS BLACK HOLES

- In the **n.h. limit** the **bosonic field equations** boil down to a set of equations on the $d = 3$ manifold Σ

- From the gauge field equations:
$$d \star_3 dB + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \star_3 (d\Phi - \Phi h) - h \wedge \star_3 dB - 2\Phi dB = 0$$

- The non-trivial components of the Einstein equations, namely (ur) and (ij) , become, respectively,

$$\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^i h_i - \frac{1}{2} h^2 + \frac{1}{3} dB_{mn} dB^{mn} + \Phi^2 - \Delta + \frac{4}{\ell^2} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_{(i} h_{j)} - \frac{1}{2} h_i h_j - 2dB_{ik} dB_j{}^k + \gamma_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{3} dB_{kl} dB^{kl} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 + \frac{4}{\ell^2} \right) = 0$$

- Necess. and suff. conditions** for a n.h. geometry to be a **SUSY** solution of minimal $d = 5$ gauged SUGRA:

$$\Delta = \Phi^2, \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} h + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \star_3 dB \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} h + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \star_3 dB = \frac{1}{\ell} Z, \quad Z^2 \equiv Z^i Z_i = 1$$

Furthermore one must have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j = \left(-\frac{3}{\ell} + h^m Z_m \right) \gamma_{ij} + \frac{3}{\ell} Z_i Z_j - Z_i h_j - \frac{1}{2} \Phi (\star_3 Z)_{ij}, \quad \text{with } (\star_3 Z)_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} Z^k$$

- Then, we find

$$\star_3 dh = d\Phi - 2\Phi h - 2\sqrt{3}\Phi \star_3 dB$$

(can be rewritten as a **generalized monopole equation**)

$d = 3$ EINSTEIN-CARTAN-WEYL STRUCTURES AND $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 5$ GAUGED SUGRA

Horizon geom. for SUSY b.h. sols. of minimal $d = 5$ gauged SUGRA is that of a particular $d = 3$ ECW structure

- Consider the field equations previously seen and assume that the SUSY constraints hold
- We have:

$$dB_{jk} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}h_i + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\ell}\epsilon_{ijk}Z_i, \quad dB_{im}dB_j{}^m = \frac{3}{4}(\gamma_{ij}h^2 - h_ih_j) + \frac{3}{\ell^2}(\gamma_{ij}Z^2 - Z_iZ_j) - \frac{3}{\ell}(\gamma_{ij}h^mZ_m - h_{(i}Z_{j)}),$$

$$dB_{im}dB^{im} = \frac{3}{2}h^2 + \frac{6}{\ell^2}Z^2 - \frac{6}{\ell}h^iZ_i, \quad \star_3 \left[d\Phi + \left(h - \frac{6}{\ell}Z \right) \Phi \right] = dh$$

- The (ur) component of the Einstein equations becomes: $\tilde{\nabla}^i h_i = -\frac{12}{\ell^2}Z^iZ_i + \frac{4}{\ell}h^iZ_i$
- We also have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{(i}Z_{j)} = \left(-\frac{3}{\ell}Z^mZ_m + h^mZ_m \right) \delta_{ij} + \frac{3}{\ell}Z_iZ_j - Z_{(i}h_{j)}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}^i Z_i = 2h^iZ_i - \frac{6}{\ell}Z^iZ_i, \quad \tilde{\nabla}^i h_i = \frac{2}{\ell}\tilde{\nabla}^i Z_i$$

- The (ij) -components of the Einstein equations yield

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_{(i}h_{j)} + h_ih_j + \frac{6}{\ell^2}Z_iZ_j - \frac{6}{\ell}h_{(i}Z_{j)} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\Phi^2 + h^k h_k - \frac{4}{\ell}h^k Z_k \right) \gamma_{ij},$$

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{1}{2} \left(3\Phi^2 + 4h^i h_i + \frac{12}{\ell^2}Z^i Z_i - \frac{20}{\ell}h^i Z_i \right)$$

$d = 3$ EINSTEIN-CARTAN-WEYL STRUCTURES AND $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 5$ GAUGED SUGRA

To show that the horizon geom. for BPS b.h. in minimal $d = 5$ gauged SUGRA is that of a particular $d = 3$ ECW, consider a $d = 3$ ECW space for which the following conditions hold:

1. \exists a scalar Φ of conformal weight -1 that, together with the **nonmetricity** and **torsion** traces Θ and T , satisfies the following **generalized monopole equation**: $\star_3 (d\Phi + \check{\Theta}\Phi) = d\Theta$, $\check{\Theta}_i \equiv \Theta_i + \frac{1}{2} T_i$

2. The **trace part of the torsion** satisfies $T^2 \equiv T^i T_i = c^2$, where c is a *constant*, and

$$\check{\nabla}_i T_j = \left(\frac{1}{4} T^k T_k + \Theta^k T_k \right) \gamma_{ij} - T_i \Theta_j - \frac{1}{4} T_i T_j - \frac{1}{2} \Phi \epsilon_{ijk} T^k \quad \rightarrow \quad \check{\nabla}^i T_i = 2\Theta^i T_i + \frac{1}{2} T^i T_i,$$

$$\check{\nabla}_{(i} T_{j)} = \left(\frac{1}{4} T^k T_k + \Theta^k T_k \right) \gamma_{ij} - T_{(i} \Theta_{j)} - \frac{1}{4} T_i T_j$$

3. The **Weyl vector** obeys: $\check{\nabla}^i \Theta_i = -\frac{1}{3} \Theta^i T_i - \frac{1}{12} T^i T_i \Rightarrow \check{\nabla}^i \Theta_i = -\frac{1}{6} \check{\nabla}^i T_i$

4. The **traceless part of the torsion** and the **traceless part of the nonmetricity** read, respectively,

$$\check{T}_{lmn} = \Phi \epsilon_{lmn}, \quad \check{Q}_{mln} = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{3}} \epsilon_{lk(m} T^k T_n) \quad \rightarrow \quad \check{N}_{lmn} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\epsilon_{lmk} T^k T_n + \epsilon_{lnk} T^k T_m \right) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi \epsilon_{lmn}$$

5. The **Ricci scalar of the affine connection** is $R = -\frac{3c}{2} \Theta^i T_i + \frac{9}{2} c^2$

$d = 3$ EINSTEIN-CARTAN-WEYL STRUCTURES AND $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 5$ GAUGED SUGRA

- We now **identify**

$$\Theta = h, \quad T = -\frac{12}{\ell}Z, \quad c = \frac{12}{\ell}$$

such that

$$\check{Q}_{mnl} = \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\ell} \epsilon_{lk(m} Z^k Z_n),$$

$$\check{N}_{lmn} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\ell} (\epsilon_{lmk} Z^k Z_n + \epsilon_{lnk} Z^k Z_m) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi \epsilon_{lmn},$$

$$R = -\frac{18}{\ell} h^i Z_i + \frac{54}{\ell^2}$$

⇒ The equations we get coincide with the ones obtained on the SUGRA sol. side

- In our case, the **ECW equations** read

$$\check{R}_{ij} + \nabla_{(i} h_{j)} + h_i h_j + \frac{6}{\ell^2} Z_i Z_j - \frac{6}{\ell} h_{(i} Z_{j)} = \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{ij} \left(\check{R} + h^k h_k - \frac{6}{\ell^2} Z^k Z_k - \frac{2}{\ell} h^k Z_k \right)$$

- **We conclude that the horizon geom. for SUSY b.h. sols. of minimal $d = 5$ gauged SUGRA is that of a particular ECW structure in $d = 3$ fulfilling the conditions 1. to 5.**
- **Obs.:** The conditions 2. and 5. break conformal invariance (expected, since the SUGRA theory we started with is not conformally invariant)

OBSERVATIONS ON THE LIMIT $\ell \rightarrow \infty$

- In the **limit** $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ (cosmological constant goes to zero) we find:

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_{(i} h_{j)} + h_i h_j = \left(\frac{1}{2} \Phi^2 + h^k h_k \right) \gamma_{ij},$$

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{1}{2} \left(3\Phi^2 + 4h^k h_k \right),$$

$$\star_3 (d\Phi + h\Phi) = dh$$

- Results of **Dunajski *et al*** exactly **reproduced**
- For $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ the **conditions on the ECW geometry** boil down to

$$T_i = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}^i h_i = 0 \quad (\text{Gauduchon gauge}), \quad \check{N}_{lmn} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi \epsilon_{lmn}, \quad R = 0$$

- The **horizon geometry for SUSY black holes in $d = 5$ ungauged SUGRA** not only corresponds to a **$d = 3$ hyper-CR EW structure in the Gauduchon gauge** of **Dunajski *et al***, but also to an **ECW structure in the Gauduchon gauge and subject to the constraints above** (this ambiguity comes from the fact that the sets of nonlinear PDEs characterizing the hyper-CR EW structure of **Dunajski *et al*** and the ECW structure we have defined coincide)

Conclusions

- Connections with **torsion** and **nonmetricity** are interesting both from the physical and the mathematical p.o.v.
- We have **generalized some results that appeared previously in the literature** and **presented some new applications in (super)gravity**

Open directions

- Possible **generalizations of the Jones-Tod correspondence** between self-dual conformal four-manifolds with a conformal vector field and abelian monopoles on **EW spaces** in $d = 3$ (one could ask whether **ECW manifolds** can arise in a similar way by symmetry reduction from higher dimensions)
- **ECW manifolds** may have applications in the **classification of (fake) SUSY SUGRA solutions** in the same way as **EW manifolds** provide the base space for fake SUSY solutions in dS SUGRA
- Possible extensions to **higher dimensions** and to the **matter-coupled** case

THANK YOU!