Study of PSD for ReD TPC scan in t prompt Giuseppe Matteucci, 21/10/2019

Scan in t_prompt

A series of runs were reconstructed during this week with varying t_prompt parameter, from 200 - 900 ns with a 50 ns step. (15 root files in total)

$$f_{prompt} = \frac{\int_{t_{start}}^{t_{prompt}} wf(t)}{\int_{t_{start}}^{t_{end}} wf(t)}$$

The purpose was to systematically study PSD capabilities of PSD parameter f_prompt for ReD TPC by varying t_prompt parameter. The root files are available both on Roma3 and on darksidews03 in Napoli.

FoM - Figure of merit

The parameter used to evaluate goodness of a certain t_prompt value is a FoM between NR and ER distributions in parameter f_prompt and defined as follows:

$$FoM = \frac{\mu_{NR} - \mu_{ER}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ER}^2 + \sigma_{NR}^2}}$$

Where μ 's and σ 's are parameters for the gaussian fit of peaks for NR and ER population in fprompt distributions. Actually, as this fit function has been revisited to be defined by the sum of 2 asymmetric gaussian + 1 exponential, the σ 's in the equation refer to *internal* σ , standing for right σ of left gaussian for ER and left σ of right gaussian for NR.

Macro fitting all runs - Very messy!

 f_{prompt} distrubution - 500 < S1 (PE) < 2500



Showing only t_promp = 300, 700, 900 ns

 f_{prompt} distrubution - 500 < S1 (PE) < 2500



Slice of energies between 500 and 1500 PE



Outside region... the macro doesn't work



It needs to be done by hand... Simone Castellano did!

FoM vs t_prompt



Energy window between 500 and 2500 PE

Variation of about 10% in this range of t_prompt

Maximum seems located between 200 and 300 ns.

This does not account S2 -S1 identification using fprompt. Only NR/ER.

Other interesting plots... (1/2)



Other interesting plots... (2/2)

σ ("internal" σ's) - 500 < S1 (PE) < 2500





Quick study of FoM trend



 $\sigma/\Delta\mu$ ("internal" σ 's) - 500 < S1 (PE) < 2500

Discussion topics and conclusions

The value t_prompt = 700 ns seems to be not the very best choice for ER/NR discrimination. Would it be worth to reduce t_prompt to increase PSD power?

Pros:	Cons:
Better discrimination	Only 10% increase in FoM All older runs would have different tprompt Would a lower t_prompt be able to separate S1 and S2 distributions?

Idea: we could introduce "two" fprompts parameters, one for NR/ER discrimination and one for S2/S1 discrimination. This possibility will be discussed after more analysis is done, maybe scanning a double phase runs in t_prompt.