

## From KLOE to KLOE-2

Fabio Bossi (LNF-INFN) Workshop on Heavy Flavours Physics Anacapri, July 5-7, 2010 **Effective Summary:** 

In the last decade the DA $\Phi$ NE collider at the Frascati National Laboratory of INFN has delivered ~2.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data at or around the  $\Phi(1020)$  peak to the KLOE experiment

During 2008-2009 the DA $\Phi$ NE team has been able to increase the luminosity of the accelerator by a factor ~3 with minor modifications of the machine's hardware

Following this success KLOE has been rolled back on the beam line and is ready to start a new data taking campaign in a few days from now

A few detector's upgrades have also been planned and will be installed by the end of 2011 DA $\Phi$ NE is a ~300 m long symmetric e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> machine operating at  $E_{c.m.} = 1020 \text{ MeV}$ ( $\Phi$  resonance peak)

The main event fluxes are: (in million events/pb<sup>-1</sup>)

- *K*<sup>+</sup>*K*<sup>-</sup> : 1.5 ρπ : 0.5
- *K*<sub>S</sub>*K*<sub>L</sub> : 1. ηγ : 0.04

Moreover, one has to consider also  $\mu^+\mu^-(\gamma)$ ,  $\pi^+\pi^-(\gamma)$ ,  $e^+e^-(\gamma)$  final states (Bhabha rate ~5 Mevents/pb<sup>-1</sup>, depending on acceptance cuts)



Built in the late 90's, KLOE is a multi purpose apparatus, optimised to maximise the detection efficiency for  $K_{L}$  decays



**Superconducting coil** *B* = 0.52 T

Be beam pipe (0.5 mm thick), spherical 10 cm radius

# **Constraints of Constant Science Formula Constraints and Scientifications and Science Formula Constraints and Science Formula**

#### Drift chamber

(4 m  $\varnothing \times$  3.3 m) 90% He + 10% IsoB, CF frame, 12582 stereo, single sense wire, "almost squared" cells

#### Quadrupole calorimeter



Between years 2000 and 2006 DA $\Phi$ NE has delivered to KLOE 2.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data at the  $\Phi(1020)$  peak plus additional 250 pb<sup>-1</sup> off-peak



 $L_{int}$  month ( $pb^{-1}$ )

Best day: 10 pb<sup>-1</sup>

Best month: 194 pb<sup>-1</sup>

Kaon physics can be performed at KLOE taking advantage of the fact that DA $\Phi$ NE delivers pure and well tagged  $K_L$ ,  $K_S$  and  $K^{\pm}$  beams





### All KLOE K<sub>L</sub> results

Constrained fit:  $\Sigma BRi = 1$ , accounting for  $BR vs \tau$  dependence

$BR(K_{Le3})$	0.4008(15)	0.4%
$BR(K_{L\mu3})$	0.2699(14)	0.5%
$BR(3\pi^0)$	0.1996(20)	1.0%
$BR(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$	0.1261(11)	0.9%
$BR(\pi^+\pi^-)$	$1.92(2) \times 10^{-3}$	1.0%
$BR(\pi^0\pi^0)$	849(9)×10 <sup>-4</sup>	input PDG '06 ( $\eta_{00}/\eta_{+-}$ )
$BR(\gamma\gamma)$	5.57(8)×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.4%
$ au_L$	50.84(23) ns	0.5%

 $\chi^2$ /dof = 0.19/1, CL = 66%

### All KLOE *K*<sup>±</sup> results

Constrained fit:  $\Sigma BRi = 1$ , accounting for  $BR vs \tau$  dependence

$BR(K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu)$	0.6376(12)	0.2%
$BR(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0)$	0.2071(9)	0.4%
$BR(K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\pi^{-}\pi^{-})$	0.0553(9)	input PDG '04
$BR(K^{\pm} \to \pi^0 e^{\pm} \nu)$	0.0499(5)	1.0%
$BR(K^{\pm} \to \pi^0 \mu^{\pm} \nu)$	0.0325(4)	1.2%
$BR(K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \pi^{0})$	0.0177(3)	1.7%
$ au\left(K^{\pm} ight)$	12.344(30) ns	0.24%

 $\chi^2$ /dof = 0.60/1, CL = 44%

### All KLOE K<sub>s</sub> results

$BR(\pi^+\pi^-)$	0.69196(51)	0.07%	
$BR(\pi^0\pi^0)$	0.30687(51)	0.1%	
$BR(K_{Se3})$	0.3538(6)×10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.16%	
$BR(\gamma\gamma)$	0.226(13) ×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.7%	
$BR(3\pi^0)$	$< 1.2 \times 10^{-7}$		
$BR(e^+e^-)$	< 9.0×10 <sup>-9</sup>		
$ au_S$	89.56(05) <i>ps</i>	0.04% NEW	/

The  $K_s$  lifetime is determined by fitting the proper time distribution of  $K_s \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ 

Experimentally this means determining the decay point **d** of the  $K_s$ , and its momentum  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{k}}$ ,  $t^* = d/\beta\gamma c = dM_\kappa/c\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{k}}$ 

Statistics is not a problem. Choose only events with well reconstructed tracks

Systematic error dominated by absolute momentum calibration and decay vertex determination



### $\tau_{\rm S}$ = (89.562 ± 0.029<sub>stat</sub> ± 0.043<sub>syst</sub>) ps



### $V_{us}$ determination and CKM unitarity



 $|V_{us}| = 0.2249 \pm 0.0010$  $|V_{ud}| = 0.97418 \pm 0.00026$ 

$$1 - |V_{us}|^2 - |V_{ud}|^2 = 0.0004 \pm 0.0007$$

#### was $0.0031\pm0.0015~$ in PDG04

### **Constraints on New Physics**



Since the beginning of 2008, DAΦNE has implemented a new interaction scheme based on the use of a large Piwinski angle in combination with a crabbed waist induced by properly designed sextupoles

Results obtained during the run of the SIDDHARTA experiment have been extremely positive: an increase of the peak luminosity by a factor of ~3 and of the integrated luminosity by a factor ~2 has been achieved



### Magnetic layout: old and new



Insertion of the new IR, a very delicate business, succesfully completed on June 1st, 2010





### End caps closing June 17th, 2010





Two new pairs of different subdetectors have been installed to tag scattered leptons from  $2\gamma$  interactions







There is quite a number of physics channels which can profit of the increased statistics expected from the forecoming run

Since, however, most of them involve decay processes at or very close the interaction point, we have also proposed a program for upgrading the detector aimed at *improving its charged vertex efficiency near the IP and its acceptance for photons emitted at low polar angles* 

The new subdetectors are being presently built and will be ready for installation by the fall of 2011 (*step-1* phase, in the KLOE-2 jargoon). The run starting these days (*step-0* phase) has the multiple purpose of improving our understanding of the machine conditions, of increasing at best the statistical sample for some rare or forbidden decays analysis, and of exploiting the performance of the newly installed  $\gamma\gamma$  detectors

### The upgraded interaction region

New sub-detectors will be installed around the interaction region

An inner tracker to improve onFtracking resolution and acceptancead

Forward calorimeters to increase acceptance for photons



The "core" project of the step-1 phase is the construction and insertion of a new Inner Tracker (IT) detector

It is based on a well established technology, shaped in a totally innovative geometry, i.e. the *cylindrical GEM* (C-GEM)

This new subdetector allows us to improve our vertex efficiency for charged tracks, while keeping the increase in the material budget at the level of 2% of X<sub>0</sub>

# lyers	dx@vtx (mm)	dz@vtx (mm)	<b>dx@pca</b> (mm)	dz@pca (mm)
5	1.6	1.1	0.5	0.85
4	1.7	1.18	0.5	0.85
NO IT	4.2	3.1	1.6	2.2

A small size prototype of the C-GEM has been built and succesfully tested in 2008-2009. After some further R&D work, we are now starting the construction of the final "large size" detector







The forward calorimeters (CCAL) consist of two rings of 24 crystals of length of 10-13 cm and area from 1.5x1.5 to 2x2 cm<sup>2</sup>

They will extend angular coverage down to  $8^{\circ}$ . Very useful for rare  $K_{\rm S}$  decays

Components choice, basically finalised. Now working on mechanics and costing optimisation



The installation of these very delicate new detectors inside the relatively limited space available in KLOE will not be trivial and is being studied with great care. Cable routing and heat dissipation are also an issue





A thorough discussion of the KLOE-2 physics program can be found in *arXiv:1003.3868* which has been accepted for publication on EPJC

- Studies on CPT and QM violation with neutral kaons interferometry
- Tests of Lepton Flavor Violation with  $K_{e2}$  decays
- Studies on C, P, CP violation using rare  $\eta$  and  $K_s$  decays
- Tests of Chiral Perturbation Theory with  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$ , and  $K_s$  decays
- Searches for signals of a Secluded Gauge Symmetry

It can be seen as a "natural" extension of the KLOE program in the field of flavour and hadronic physics, with some additions, such as  $\gamma\gamma$  interactions, or searches for new light gauge bosons

The most specific (and intriguing) feature of the neutral kaon system produced in  $\Phi$  decays is that it is subject to quantum entanglement

This means that the decay probability of each one of the kaons depends also on what the other particles does, giving rise to a well defined interference term in the decay intensity



$$I(f_{1},t_{1};f_{2},t_{2}) = C_{12} \left\{ \eta_{1} \right|^{2} e^{-\Gamma_{L}t_{1}-\Gamma_{S}t_{2}} + \left| \eta_{2} \right|^{2} e^{-\Gamma_{S}t_{1}-\Gamma_{L}t_{2}} \\ -2 \left| \eta_{1} \right| \left| \eta_{2} \right| e^{-(\Gamma_{S}+\Gamma_{L})(t_{1}+t_{2})/2} \cos \left[ \Delta m(t_{2}-t_{1}) + \phi_{1} - \phi_{2} \right] \right\}$$

The specific form of the decay intensity depends on the final decay channel. In the case of  $4\pi^{\pm}$  it has the form shown in the plot below



The use of the Inner Tracker greatly improves the accuracy achievable for this kind of measurements



Mode	Test of	Param.	Present best published measurement	KLOE-2 (with IT) L=50 fb <sup>-1</sup>
$\pi^+\pi^ \pi^+\pi^-$	QM	ζ <sub>00</sub>	$(1.0 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-6}$	± 0.1 × 10 <sup>-6</sup>
$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	QM	$\zeta_{\rm SL}$	$(1.8 \pm 4.1) \times 10^{-2}$	$\pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$
$\pi^+\pi^ \pi^+\pi^-$	CPT & QM	α	(-0.5 ± 2.8) × 10 <sup>-17</sup> GeV	± 2 × 10 <sup>-17</sup> GeV
π+π- π+π-	CPT & QM	β	$(2.5 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-19} \text{ GeV}$	± 0.1 × 10 <sup>-19</sup> GeV
$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	CPT & QM	γ	$(1.1 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-21} \text{ GeV}$	$\pm$ 0.2 × 10 <sup>-21</sup> GeV
				compl. pos. hyp. ± 0.1 × 10 <sup>-21</sup> GeV
$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	CPT & EPR corr.	Re(w)	$(1.1 \pm 7.0) \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 2 \times 10^{-5}$
$\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	CPT & EPR corr.	Im(w)	$(3.4 \pm 4.9) \times 10^{-4}$	$\pm 2 \times 10^{-5}$
$K_{S,L} \rightarrow \pi e \nu$	CPT & Lorentz	Δa <sub>0</sub>	[(0.4 ± 1.8) × 10 <sup>-17</sup> GeV]	± 2 × 10 <sup>-18</sup> GeV
$\pi^+\pi^ \pi^+\pi^-$	CPT & Lorentz	∆a <sub>z</sub>	[(2.4 ± 9.7) × 10 <sup>-18</sup> GeV]	± 7 × 10 <sup>-19</sup> GeV
$\pi^+\pi^ \pi ev$	<b>CPT &amp; Lorentz</b>	$\Delta a_{X,Y}$	[<10 <sup>-21</sup> GeV]	± 4 × 10 <sup>-19</sup> GeV

There are at least three analyses that can benefit of an extension at low angle of the KLOE calorimetry:

 $K_{\rm S} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \quad K_{\rm S} \rightarrow 3\pi^0 \quad \eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \gamma$ 

both for bckg reduction and for increasing acceptance







After about 2 years of preparatory work, KLOE-2 is ready to start a new bright period of data taking at the renewed DA $\Phi$ NE

The approved plan is to keep the detector running at least up to end 2012. What will happen next is still under debate and will depend on many not only technical issues

Still, I personally hope to have the excuse to come to Capri for many years to come...