Resummed Massive Spectra in Heavy Quark Decays

L. Di Giustino G. Ricciardi L.T.

Based on the work done in collaboration with: U. Aglietti L. DiGiustino G. Ferrera A. Renzaglia G. Ricciardi Phys.Lett. B651:275-292,2007 B653:38-52,2007 B670:367-368,2009



Third Workshop on Theory, Phenomenology and Experiments in Heavy Flavour Physics July 5-7 2010, Capri, Italy

Theoretical aspects of resummation in B decays and fragmentation processes

Based on the work done in collaboration with: U.Aglietti L. Di Giustino G. Ferrera A. Renzaglia G. Ricciardi Phys.Lett. B651:275-292,2007 B653:38-52 2007

e-Print: <u>arXiv</u>:0804.3922 [hep-ph]



Second Workshop on Theory, Phenomenology and Experiments in Heavy Flavour Physics

Anaca

Uni



Capri 2008



- A short introduction to the resummation
- Resummation in b decays
- The massless final parton case
- The massive case
- A generalized "interpolation formula"
- A "not so clever" guess and the real thing

Conclusions



$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{2n} c_{nk} \alpha^n(Q) \log^k \frac{Q^2}{m_X^2} = c_{12} \alpha(Q) \log^2 \frac{Q^2}{m_X^2}, + c_{11} \alpha(Q) \log \frac{Q^2}{m_X^2} + c_{24} \alpha^2(Q) \log^4 \frac{Q^2}{m_X^2} + c_{23} \alpha^2(Q) \log^3 \frac{Q^2}{m_X^2} + c_{24} \alpha^2(Q) \log^4 \frac{Q}{m_X^2} + c_{24} \alpha^2(Q) \log^4 \frac{$$

$$\alpha(Q) = \alpha_S(Q) \qquad \qquad 2E_X = m_b \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{m_b^2} + \frac{m_X^2}{m_b^2}\right).$$

$$q^2 = 0$$
 $2E_X = m_b \left(1 + rac{m_X^2}{m_b^2}\right) \simeq m_b$ $Q \approx m_b (radiative decay).$
 $q^2 \sim O(m_b^2)$ $Q \simeq m_b$ $Q \ll m_b (semileptonic decay)$

The large logarithms can be factorized into a QCD form factor which is universal: it depends only on the hadronic subprocess the difference between radiative and semileptonic enters in the "short distance" coefficient function

The Form Factor

$$\Sigma(u; \alpha) = 1 - \frac{C_F \alpha}{2\pi} L^2 + \frac{7C_F \alpha}{4\pi} L + O(\alpha^2),$$

 $L = \log \frac{Q^2}{m_X^2}$
 $\Sigma(L, \alpha) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{nk=1}^{2n} \Sigma_{nk} \alpha^n L^k,$

n = 1 k = 1

The Form Factor



Tuesday, July 6, 2010

The Form Factor "master formula"

 $\frac{1}{\Gamma} \int_0^u \frac{d^3\Gamma}{dx dw du'} du' = C[x, w; \alpha(w m_b)] \Sigma[u; \alpha(w m_b)] + D[x, u, w; \alpha(w m_b)]$

$$C(w; \alpha) = C^{(0)}(w) + \alpha C^{(1)}(w) + \alpha^2 C^{(2)}(w) + O(\alpha^3)$$

$$D(w; \alpha) = D^{(0)}(w) + \alpha D^{(1)}(w) + \alpha^2 D^{(2)}(w) + O(\alpha^3)$$

$$w = \frac{2E_X}{m_b} (0 \le w \le 2); x = \frac{2E_l}{m_b} (0 \le x \le 1)$$

TotalwidthIeptonicsemileptonicradiativeradiativeradiativeradiative

The Form Factor "master formula"



$$C(w; \alpha) = C^{(0)}(w) + \alpha C^{(1)}(w) + \alpha^2 C^{(2)}(w) + O(\alpha^3)$$

$$D(w; \alpha) = D^{(0)}(w) + \alpha D^{(1)}(w) + \alpha^2 D^{(2)}(w) + O(\alpha^3)$$

$$w = \frac{2E_X}{m_b} \ (0 \le w \le 2); \ x = \frac{2E_l}{m_b} \ (0 \le x \le 1)$$

TotalwidthIeptonicsemileptonicradiativeradiativeradiativeradiative

6





TotalwidthImage: Image of the second structureImage of the second sec



I leptonic semileptonic decays radiative radiative decays



I leptonic semileptonic decays radiative radiative decays



TotalwidthImage: Image of the second constraint of th

Resummed Mass Distributions

jet initiated by massive partons

7

For massless partons amplitudes contain terms proportional to:



With the inclusion of a (final) massive parton dead cone effect + soft quanta are radiated isotropically in its rest frame:

 $\theta^2 + \frac{m^2}{\theta^2}$





Let us compare massless and massive cases

$$Jet of mass m_X^2$$

$$m_X^2 = (1-z)Q^2$$

$$J_N(Q^2; m^2) = J_N(Q^2) \ \delta_N(Q^2; m^2)$$

$$m \ll Q.$$

$$\delta_N(Q^2; m^2) = \exp \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{r(N-1)} - 1}{1-z} \left\{ -\int_{m^2(1-z)}^{m^2(1-z)} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2} A[\alpha(k_\perp^2)] - B[\alpha(m^2(1-z))] + D[\alpha(m^2(1-z)^2)] \right\}$$

$$r(N-1) \text{ mass effects are "visible" for large } N \ge \frac{Q^2}{m^2} \gg 1$$

$$J_N(Q^2) = \exp \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N-1} - 1}{1-z} \left\{ \int_{Q^2(1-z)^2}^{Q^2(1-z)} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2} A[\alpha(k_\perp^2)] + B[\alpha(Q^2(1-z))] \right\}$$

$$A(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \alpha^n; B(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \alpha^n; D(\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n \alpha^n.$$

$$m_X \gg m \quad \text{high jet mass - m neglected}$$

$$m_X - m \ll m \quad \text{low jet mass}$$
and log r appear

$$t \equiv \frac{1 - \cos \vartheta}{2}$$
 $b \to s + g + \gamma$ $r \equiv \frac{m_s^2}{m_b^2}$

$$\vartheta > \vartheta_{\min} \equiv rac{m_s}{E_s^{(0)}}$$

$$t > t_{\min} = \frac{1 - \cos \vartheta_{\min}}{2} \simeq \left(\frac{\vartheta_{\min}}{2}\right)^2 \simeq r$$



$$E_{Xs} \simeq E_s^{(0)} = \frac{m_b}{2}(1+r)$$

$$y \equiv \frac{m_{Xs}^2 - m_s^2}{m_b^2 - m_s^2}$$

$$y = (1-r)\omega\left[t + \frac{r}{1-r}\right] \cong \omega(t+r) \simeq \omega t \text{ for } t > r$$

$$\omega \equiv \frac{2E_g}{m_b(1-r)}$$

$$rac{1}{\Gamma}rac{d\Gamma}{dy} = \delta(y) + rac{1}{\Gamma}rac{d\Gamma^{(1)}}{dy} + \cdots$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma}\frac{d\Gamma^{(1)}}{dy} = \int_0^1 d\omega \int_r^1 dt \left[\frac{A_1\alpha}{\omega t} + \frac{D_1\alpha}{\omega} + \frac{D_1\alpha r}{\omega t^2} + \frac{B_1\alpha}{t}\right] \left[\delta(y - \omega t) - \delta(y)\right],$$

$$A_1 = \frac{C_F}{\pi}, \qquad D_1 = -\frac{C_F}{\pi}. \qquad B_1 = -\frac{3}{4}\frac{C_F}{\pi}.$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_N^{(1)}}{\Gamma} = \int_0^1 dy \, (1-y)^{N-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma^{(1)}}{dy}. \qquad \qquad k_\perp^2 \equiv (1-r)^2 m_b^2 \omega^2 t \simeq E_g^2 \, \vartheta^2 \quad \text{for } \vartheta \ll 1.$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_N^{(1)}}{\Gamma} = \int_0^1 dy \left[(1-y)^{N-1} - 1 \right] \int_0^1 d\omega \int_r^1 dt \left[\alpha(k_\perp^2) \frac{A_1}{\omega t} + \alpha(k_\perp^2) \frac{D_1}{\omega} + \alpha(k_\perp^2) \frac{D_1 r}{\omega t^2} + \alpha(k_\perp^2) \frac{B_1}{\omega t} \right] \delta(y - \omega t).$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma^{(1)}}{dy} \Big|_{fo} = -A_1 \alpha \frac{\log(y+r)}{y} + 2D_1 \alpha \frac{1}{y} + (B_1 - D_1) \alpha \frac{1}{y+r}.$$
 fixed order result
11

Resummation to All Orders

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Gamma_N}{\Gamma} &\simeq & \exp \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[(1-y)^{N-1} - 1 \right] \left\{ \int_{m_b^2 y^2}^{m_b^2 y \min[1,y/r]} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2} A_1 \,\alpha \left(k_\perp^2 \right) \,+ \,\theta(y-r) B_1 \,\alpha \left(m_b^2 y \right) \,+ \\ &+ D_1 \,\alpha \left(m_b^2 y^2 \right) \,+ \,\theta(r-y) D_1 \,\alpha \left(m_b^2 y^2 / r \right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$A_1 \alpha \to A(\alpha), \qquad B_1 \alpha \to B(\alpha), \qquad D_1 \alpha \to D(\alpha),$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Gamma_N}{\Gamma} &= & \exp \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left[(1-y)^{N-1} - 1 \right] \left\{ \int_{m_b^2 y^2}^{m_b^2 y} \frac{dk_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2} A\left[\alpha\left(k_{\perp}^2\right) \right] + B\left[\alpha\left(m_b^2 y\right) \right] + D\left[\alpha\left(m_b^2 y^2\right) \right] \right. \\ &+ & \theta(r-y) \left[- \int_{m_b^2 y^2/r}^{m_b^2 y} \frac{dk_{\perp}^2}{k_{\perp}^2} A\left[\alpha\left(k_{\perp}^2\right) \right] - B\left[\alpha\left(m_b^2 y\right) \right] + D\left[\alpha\left(m_b^2 y^2/r\right) \right] \right] \right\}. \end{split}$$

$$\delta_{N}(m_{b}^{2}; m_{s}^{2}) = \exp \int_{0}^{r} \frac{dy}{y} \left[(1-y)^{N-1} - 1 \right] \left\{ -\int_{m_{b}^{2}y^{2}/r}^{m_{b}^{2}y} \frac{dk_{\perp}^{2}}{k_{\perp}^{2}} A \left[\alpha \left(k_{\perp}^{2} \right) \right] + -B \left[\alpha \left(m_{b}^{2}y \right) \right] + D \left[\alpha \left(m_{b}^{2}y^{2}/r \right) \right] \right\}.$$

a specific process

$$B \rightarrow X_c + l + \nu_l$$
 $r \gtrsim m_c^2/m_b^2 pprox 0.1.$

$$lpha_{S}^{n} \log^{k} \left(\frac{m_{X_{c}}^{2} - m_{c}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2} - m_{c}^{2}}
ight) \log^{l} \left(\frac{m_{X_{c}}^{2}}{m_{b}^{2}}
ight) \qquad \qquad y \equiv \frac{m_{X_{c}}^{2} - m_{c}^{2}}{Q^{2} - m_{c}^{2}}$$

$$ho \equiv rac{m_c^2}{Q^2 - m_c^2}, \qquad \qquad Q \equiv E_{X_c} + |ec{p}_{X_c}| \; ,$$

$$\rho \simeq \frac{1-u_c}{2u_c}.$$
 $u_c = p_c/E_c$

$$\sigma_{N}(\rho, Q^{2}) = \exp \int_{0}^{1} dy \Big[(1-y)^{N-1} - 1 \Big] \left\{ \frac{1}{y} \int_{\frac{Q^{2} y^{2}}{1+\rho}}^{\frac{Q^{2} y^{2}}{y+\rho}} A \left[\rho; \alpha_{S}(k^{2})\right] \frac{dk^{2}}{k^{2}} + \frac{1}{y} D \left[\alpha_{S} \left(\frac{Q^{2} y^{2}}{1+\rho} \right) \right] + \left(\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{y+\rho} \right) \Delta \left[\alpha_{S} \left(\frac{Q^{2} y^{2}}{y+\rho} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{y+\rho} B \left[\alpha_{S} \left(\frac{Q^{2} y^{2}}{y+\rho} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$A\,(
ho\,,lpha_S)\,=\,\sum_{n=1}^\infty A^{(n)}(
ho)\,lpha_S^n\,,$$

1. very slow charm quark:

 $u_c \gtrsim 0$ or, equivalently, $ho \gg 1$.

$$\sigma_N(\rho; Q^2) \to 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \rho \to +\infty,$$

2. non-relativistic charm quark. $u_c \approx \frac{1}{3}$ or $\rho \approx 1$.

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{S,N}(\rho,Q^2) &= \exp \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{y} \Big[(1-y)^{N-1} - 1 \Big] \Bigg\{ \int_{\frac{Q^2 y^2}{1+\rho}}^{\frac{Q^2 y^2}{\rho}} A\left[\rho; \alpha_S(k^2)\right] \frac{dk^2}{k^2} + D\left[\alpha_S\left(\frac{Q^2 y^2}{1+\rho}\right)\right] \\ &+ \Delta\left[\alpha_S\left(\frac{Q^2 y^2}{\rho}\right)\right] \Bigg\} \end{split}$$
3. fast charm quark:
$$u_c \lesssim 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho \ll 1.$$

$$\sigma_N(0,Q^2) = \exp \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{y} \Big[(1-y)^{N-1} - 1 \Big] \left\{ \int_{Q^2 y^2}^{Q^2 y} A \left[\alpha_S(k^2) \right] \frac{dk^2}{k^2} + D \left[\alpha_S \left(Q^2 y^2 \right) \right] + B \left[\alpha_S \left(Q^2 y \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$y \to u = \frac{E_X - p_X}{E_X + p_X} \simeq \frac{m_X^2}{4E_X^2}; \qquad Q \to E_X + p_X \simeq 2E_X,$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_N(\rho, Q^2) &\simeq \sigma_N(0, Q^2) \,\delta_N(\rho, Q^2) & \text{for } \rho \ll 1 \,, \\ \delta_N(\rho, Q^2) &= \exp \int_0^1 dy \frac{(1-y)^{\rho(N-1)} - 1}{y} \Biggl\{ - \int_{\rho Q^2 y^2}^{\rho Q^2 y} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2} A \left[\alpha \left(k_\perp^2 \right) \right] - B \left[\alpha \left(\rho Q^2 y \right) \right] + 14 & + D \left[\alpha \left(\rho Q^2 y^2 \right) \right] \Biggr\}. \end{split}$$

massless case

"jet function" $J(y;Q^2)$

probability that a massless parton produced in a hard process at the scale Q fragments into an hadronic jet of mass Mx

$$m_X^2\,=\,y\,Q^2$$

$$J(y; Q^2) = \delta(y) - A_1 \alpha \left(\frac{\log y}{y}\right)_+ + B_1 \alpha \left(\frac{1}{y}\right) + O(\alpha^2)$$

 $y \ll 1$ J(y;Q) expansion coefficients become large resummation to all orders $J_N(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dy \, (1-y)^{N-1} J(y;Q^2).$

$$J_{N}(Q^{2}) = \exp \int_{0}^{1} dy \, \frac{(1-y)^{N-1} - 1}{y} \Biggl\{ \int_{Q^{2}y^{2}}^{Q^{2}y} \frac{dk_{\perp}^{2}}{k_{\perp}^{2}} A\left[\alpha\left(k_{\perp}^{2}\right)\right] + B\left[\alpha\left(Q^{2}y\right)\right] \Biggr\}.$$

massive case

generalized jet function $J(y; Q^2, m^2)$

$$y = \frac{m_X^2 - m^2}{Q^2 - m^2}$$
. $R(y; Q^2, m^2) \equiv \int_0^y J(y'; Q^2, m^2) \, dy'$

1. high jet mass: $y \gg r$

$$R(y) = 1 - \int_{y}^{1} J(y'; Q^{2}, m^{2}) dy' = 1 - \frac{1}{2} A_{1} \alpha \log^{2} y + B_{1} \alpha \log y$$

2. low jet mass: $y \ll r$

$$R(y) = 1 - A_1 \alpha \log y \log r + rac{A_1}{2} \alpha \log^2 r + D_1 \alpha \log y + (B_1 - D_1) \alpha \log r$$

$$J_{N}(Q^{2}; m^{2}) = J_{N}(Q^{2}) \ \delta_{N}(Q^{2}; m^{2}),$$

$$y \equiv \frac{m_{X}^{2} - m^{2}}{Q^{2} - m^{2}}; \qquad r \equiv \frac{m^{2}}{Q^{2}} \cong \frac{m^{2}}{Q^{2} - m^{2}} \ll 1.$$

$$J_{N}(Q^{2}) = \exp \int_{0}^{1} dy \ \frac{(1 - y)^{N-1} - 1}{y} \left\{ \int_{Q^{2}y^{2}}^{Q^{2}y} \frac{dk_{\perp}^{2}}{k_{\perp}^{2}} A\left[\alpha_{S}\left(k_{\perp}^{2}\right)\right] + B\left[\alpha_{S}\left(Q^{2}y\right)\right] \right\},$$

$$\delta_{N}(Q^{2}; m^{2}) = \exp \int_{0}^{1} dy \ \frac{(1 - y)^{r(N-1)} - 1}{y} \left\{ -\int_{m^{2}y^{2}}^{m^{2}y} \frac{dk_{\perp}^{2}}{k_{\perp}^{2}} A\left[\alpha_{S}(k_{\perp}^{2})\right] - B\left[\alpha_{S}(m^{2}y)\right] + D\left[\alpha_{S}(m^{2}y^{2})\right] \right\}.$$

$$A(\alpha_{S}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \alpha_{S}^{n}; \qquad B(\alpha_{S}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{n} \alpha_{S}^{n}; \qquad D(\alpha_{S}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{n} \alpha_{S}^{n},$$

A,B are related to small angle processes; the first three coefficients are known; giving a NNLLa

D is related to soft emission at large angles w.r. t. the quark; a process-dependent interjet quantity; much less accurately known $r \ll 1$ mass logarithms become large \rightarrow resummation to all orders

$$J_N(Q^2; m^2) = J_N(Q^2) \ \delta_N(Q^2; m^2),$$

$$\delta_{N}(Q^{2};m^{2}) = \exp \int_{0}^{1} dy \frac{(1-y)^{r(N-1)}-1}{y} \left\{ -\int_{m^{2}y^{2}}^{m^{2}y} \frac{dk_{\perp}^{2}}{k_{\perp}^{2}} A\left[\alpha\left(k_{\perp}^{2}\right)\right] - B\left[\alpha\left(m^{2}y\right)\right] + D\left[\alpha\left(m^{2}y^{2}\right)\right] \right\}.$$

r (N-1) - mass effects "visible" only for large N

D(lpha) generalizes D_1

corresponds to soft radiation not collinearly enhanced characteristic of the massive parton

$$\lim_{r \to 0} J_N(Q^2; m^2) = J_N(Q^2)$$

infrared safe

Let us consider the academic "Frozen Coupling Case" to define the method to avoid the Landau poles



The method according to:



Nuclear Physics B 478 (1996) 273-310

NUCLEAR

PHYSICS B

The resummation of soft gluons in hadronic collisions

Stefano Catani^{a,1}, Michelangelo L. Mangano^{b,2}, Paolo Nason^{b,3}, Luca Trentadue^{c,1}

> ^a INFN, Sezione di Firenze and Univ. di Firenze, Florence, Italy ^b CERN, TH Division, Geneva, Switzerland ^c Univ. di Parma and INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, Parma, Italy

> > Received 26 April 1996; accepted 25 July 1996

Abstract

We compute the effects of soft-gluon resummation for the production of high mass systems in hadronic collisions. We carefully analyse the growth of the perturbative expansion coefficients of the resummation formula. We propose an expression consistent with the known leading and next-to-leading resummation results, in which the coefficients grow much less than factorially. We apply our formula to Drell-Yan pair production, heavy flavour production, and the production of high invariant mass jet pairs in hadronic collisions. We find that, with our formula, resummation effects become important only fairly close to the threshold region. In the case of heavy flavour production we find that resummation effects are small in the experimental configurations of practical interest.

$$\sigma(au,Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx\, dx_1\, dx_2 F(x_1) F(x_2) \delta(xx_1x_2- au) \Delta(x,Q^2)\,.$$

Drell-Yan

$$\sigma(au) = rac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty} \, F_N^2\,\Delta_N \ au^{-N} \ dN \ ,$$

$$\sigma_N(Q^2) = F_N^2(Q^2)\,\Delta_N(Q^2)$$
 .

$$\ln \Delta_N(Q^2) = -\int_0^1 dx \, \frac{x^N - 1}{1 - x} \left[2 \int_{(1 - x)^2 Q^2}^{(1 - x)Q^2} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} A(\alpha_S(q^2)) + B(\alpha_S((1 - x)Q^2)) \right] \\ + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_S(\alpha_S \ln N)^k) , \qquad (2.4)$$

with

where
$$A(\alpha_S) = \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} A^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_S}{\pi}\right)^2 A^{(2)}$$
, $B(\alpha_S) = \frac{\alpha_S}{\pi} B^{(1)}$
where $(C_A = 3, \ C_F = 4/3, \ T_R = 1/2 \ \text{in QCD})$

$$A^{(1)} = C_F , \quad A^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} C_F K , \quad B^{(1)} = -\frac{3}{2} C_F ,$$

$$K = C_A \left(\frac{67}{18} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \right) - \frac{10}{9} T_R N_f \; .$$

Tuesday, July 6, 2010

$$\ln \Delta_N(Q^2) = \ln N \ g_1(b_0 \alpha_S \ln N) + g_2(b_0 \alpha_S \ln N) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_S^k \ln^{k-1} N)$$

$$g_1(\lambda)= + rac{A^{(1)}}{\pi b_0\lambda}ig[(1-2\lambda)\ln(1-2\lambda)-2(1-\lambda)\ln(1-\lambda)ig] \,,$$

$$g_{2}(\lambda) = + \frac{A^{(2)}}{\pi^{2}b_{0}^{2}} \Big[2\ln(1-\lambda) - \ln(1-2\lambda) \Big] \\ - \frac{B^{(1)}}{\pi b_{0}}\ln(1-\lambda) + \frac{2A^{(1)}\gamma_{E}}{\pi b_{0}} \Big[\ln(1-\lambda) - \ln(1-2\lambda) \Big]$$
(2.11)
$$+ \frac{A^{(1)}b_{1}}{\pi b_{0}^{3}} \Big[\ln(1-2\lambda) - 2\ln(1-\lambda) + \frac{1}{2}\ln^{2}(1-2\lambda) - \ln^{2}(1-\lambda) \Big] .$$

 $\Delta_N = \exp(\,a\log^2 N\,) \;, \qquad \qquad a = C_F lpha_S / \pi$

where only the double logarithmic term has been kept in Δ_N

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty} \exp(a\log^2 N) \ x^{-N} \ dN \\ &= -\frac{d}{dx} \left(\theta(1-\eta-x) \exp[a\log^2(1-x)] \right) \times (1+\text{NLL terms}) \end{aligned}$$

neglecting NLL terms, we obtain the following expression for the cross section

$$\sigma(\tau) = \int_{0}^{1} dx \, dx_{1} \, dx_{2} \, F(x_{1}) \, F(x_{2}) \delta(x \, x_{1} \, x_{2} - \tau) \, \Delta(x)$$

$$= \int_{\tau}^{1} dx \, \exp[a \log^{2}(1 - x)] \frac{d}{dx} \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\tau}{x}\right) \,, \qquad (3.9)$$

smooth function of x as $x \to 1$, the nature of the divergence is given by the following integral

$$\int_0^1 \exp[a \log^2(1-x)] \ dx = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \ \frac{a^k}{k!} \ \int_0^1 \log^{2k} z \ dz = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \ \frac{a^k(2k)!}{k!} \ . \quad (2k)!/k! \ \approx \ 4^k \ k!.$$

in eq. (3.10) is irrelevant for this conclusion. It is known that factorially growing terms in the perturbative expansion are associated to power-like ambiguities in the resummed expression. In order to resum the asymptotic expansion, we should in fact truncate the series when the next term is of the same size as the current one, i.e. when 4ak = 1. The error on the resummed expression is then of the order of the left over term

$$\delta = (4a)^k \, k! \approx (4a)^k \, k^k \, e^{-k} = e^{-\frac{1}{4a}} \,. \tag{3.11}$$

Tuesday, July 6, 2010

smooth function of x as $x \to 1,$ the nature of the divergence is given by the following integral

$$\int_0^1 \exp[a\log^2(1-x)] \, dx = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{a^k}{k!} \, \int_0^1 \log^{2k} z \, dz = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{a^k(2k)!}{k!} \,. \tag{3.10}$$

when 4ak = 1. The error on the resummed expression is then of the order of the left over term

$$\delta = (4a)^k \, k! \approx (4a)^k \, k^k \, e^{-k} = e^{-\frac{1}{4a}} \,. \tag{3.11}$$

$$\delta \;=\; \left(rac{\Lambda}{Q}
ight)^{rac{\pi b_0}{2\,C_F}} \;\;\;\;\;\; qar{q}, \;\;\; {
m DIS},$$

Instead of truncating the perturbative expansion, we may achieve the same goal by putting a cut-off in the integral. In fact, consider the cut-off integral

$$\int_0^{x_0} dx \, \log^{2k} \frac{1}{1-x} = \int_0^{\log \frac{1}{1-x_0}} dt \, t^{2k} \, e^{-t} \,. \tag{3.15}$$

of the expansion. In order to have, as before, a truncation at k = 1/(4a), we need to set the cut-off at $\log 1/(1-x_0) = 2k = 1/(2a)$, corresponding to

$$1-x_0=e^{-rac{1}{2a}}$$
 .

(3.16)

Tuesday, July 6, 2010

In order to include also the subleading single-log terms we consider the full resummation formula

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty} \exp(\log N g_1(\alpha_S b_0 \log N)) x^{-N} dN$$
$$= -\frac{d}{dx} \left(\theta (1 - \eta - x) \exp[l g_1(\alpha_S b_0 l)]\right) \times (1 + \text{NLL terms})$$

Using commonly available algebraic programs, it is easy to expand eq. (3.22) up to large orders, and then study numerically the factorial growth. Expanding up to α_S^{32} we have found the behaviour $k!C_{(k)}^k(b_0\alpha_S)^k$, where $C_{(k)}$ is a slowly increasing function of k. If, for large k, $C_{(k)}$ approaches a limiting value C, this corresponds to a power ambiguity of $(\Lambda/Q)^{2/C}$. For gluon fusion in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme we get $C_{(32)} = 10.48$,

$$k!C_{(k)}^{k} (b_{0}\alpha_{S})^{k} \to C^{k} (b_{0}\alpha_{S})^{k} k^{k} e^{-k}$$
$$k \to \infty$$

$$\delta = (Cb_0 \alpha_S k)^k \ e^{-k} = e^{-\frac{1}{b_0 \alpha_S C}} = e^{-\frac{2}{C} \log \frac{Q}{\Lambda}} = (\frac{\Lambda}{Q})^{\frac{2}{C}}$$

The Minimal Prescription Formula

$$\Delta_N = \sum_{k=0} c_k (\log N) \,\alpha_S^k \qquad \sigma_\tau$$

 ∞

$$\sigma_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{S}^{k} \int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty} F_{N}^{2}(Q^{2}) c_{k}(\log N) \tau^{-N} dN .$$

 $\sigma_{\rm res}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{\rm MP}-i\infty}^{C_{\rm MP}+i\infty} F_N^2(Q^2) \,\Delta_N(Q^2) \,\tau^{-N} \,dN \,, \quad 2 < C_{\rm MP} < N_L \equiv \exp\frac{1}{2\alpha_S b_0} \,, \tag{4.3}$

The expansion * converges asymptotically to the MP formula.

- The coefficients of the expansion * do not grow factorially.
- If we truncate the expansion * at the order at which its terms are at a minimum, the difference between the truncated expansion and the full MP formula is suppressed by a factor $H(1-\tau)$

 $e^{-\frac{H(1-\tau)}{\lambda}}$

where H is a slowly varying positive function.

This suppression factor is stronger than any power suppression.

The resummation of logarithmic effects at threshold does not teach us anything about the structure of power corrections. Resummation formulae should not, therefore, include any power correction.

In our Case

$$J_N(Q^2; m^2) = J_N(Q^2) \,\delta_N(Q^2; m^2)$$
$$J_N(Q^2) = e^{f_N(Q^2)},$$

,

$$f_N(\alpha_S) = \exp\left[Lg_1(\lambda) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_S^n g_{n+2}(\lambda)\right] = \exp\left[Lg_1(\lambda) + g_2(\lambda) + \alpha_S g_3(\lambda) + \cdots\right]$$

$$\lambda = \beta_0 \ \alpha_S(Q^2) \ L, \qquad L = \log N$$
$$\delta_N(Q^2; m^2) = e^{F_N(Q^2; m^2)}$$

$$F_N\left(Q^2;m^2\right) = L_r \, d_1\left(\rho\right) \, + \, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n \, d_{n+2}\left(\rho\right) = L_r \, d_1\left(\rho\right) \, + \, d_2\left(\rho\right) \, + \, \alpha \, d_3\left(\rho\right) \, + \, \cdots$$

$$\rho \equiv \beta_0 \alpha(\mu^2) L_r$$
, and $L_r = \theta (N - 1/r) \log (Nr)$

where

$$g_1\left(\lambda; \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right) = -\frac{A_1}{2\beta_0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[(1-2\lambda)\log(1-2\lambda) - 2(1-\lambda)\log(1-\lambda) \right];$$

$$g_2\left(\lambda; \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right) = +\frac{A_2}{2\beta_0^2} \left[\log(1-2\lambda) - 2\log(1-\lambda) \right] + \frac{A_1\gamma_E}{\beta_0} \left[\log(1-2\lambda) - \log(1-\lambda) \right] + \frac{\beta_1A_1}{4\beta_0^3} \left[\log^2(1-2\lambda) - 2\log^2(1-\lambda) + 2\log(1-2\lambda) - 4\log(1-\lambda) \right] + \frac{D_1}{2\beta_0} \log(1-2\lambda) + \frac{B_1}{\beta_0} \log(1-\lambda) + \frac{A_1}{2\beta_0} \left[\log(1-2\lambda) - 2\log(1-\lambda) \right] \log \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}.$$

$$\begin{split} g_3\left(\lambda;\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right) &= -\frac{A_3}{2\beta_0^2} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right] - \frac{A_1\zeta_2}{2} \left[\frac{4\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right] + -\frac{A_1\beta_2}{4\beta_0^3} \left[\frac{2\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{2\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \log\left(1-2\lambda\right) - \frac{A_1\zeta_2}{1-\lambda}\right] \\ &+ \frac{A_2\beta_1}{2\beta_0^3} \left[\frac{\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{2\log\left(1-\lambda\right)}{1-\lambda} + \frac{3\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{3\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right] + -\frac{A_1\beta_1^2}{2\beta_0^4} \left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\log^2\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\log^2\left(1-\lambda\right)}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-\lambda}\right] \\ &+ \frac{D_1\beta_1}{2\beta_0^2} \left[\frac{\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} + \frac{2\lambda}{1-2\lambda}\right] + \frac{B_1\beta_1}{\beta_0^2} \left[\frac{\log\left(1-\lambda\right)}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right] + -\frac{D_2}{\beta_0}\frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{B_1\gamma_2}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{B_1\beta_1}{1-2\lambda} + \frac{A_1\beta_1\gamma_E}{\beta_0^2} \left[\frac{\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\log\left(1-\lambda\right)}{1-\lambda} + \frac{1}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right] + \frac{A_2\gamma_E}{\beta_0}\left[\frac{1}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{1}{1-\lambda}\right] - \frac{D_1\gamma_E2\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{B_1\gamma_E\lambda}{1-2\lambda} + -\frac{A_1}{2\beta_0}\left[\frac{2\lambda^2}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^2}{1-\lambda}\right] \log^2\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \frac{A_2}{\beta_0^2} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right] \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} + -\frac{A_1\gamma_E}{\beta_0}\left[\frac{2\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right] \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \frac{D_1}{\beta_0}\frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \frac{B_1\gamma_E\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\log(1-2\lambda) - \log(1-\lambda)\right] \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} + \frac{A_1\beta_1}{\beta_0^3}\left[\frac{\lambda\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda\log\left(1-\lambda\right)}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\log(1-2\lambda) - \log(1-\lambda)\right] \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \frac{A_1\beta_1}{2\lambda} + \frac{A_1\beta_1}{2\lambda} \left[\frac{\lambda\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda\log\left(1-\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\log(1-2\lambda) - \log(1-\lambda)\right] \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \frac{A_1\beta_1}{2\lambda} + \frac{A_1\beta_1}{2\lambda} \left[\frac{\lambda\log\left(1-2\lambda\right)}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \log(1-2\lambda) - \log(1-\lambda)\right] \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \frac{A_1\beta_1}{2\lambda} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \log(1-2\lambda) - \log(1-\lambda)\right] \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} - \frac{A_1\beta_1}{2\lambda} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \log(1-2\lambda) - \log(1-\lambda)\right] \log\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} + \frac{A_1\beta_1}{2\lambda} \left[\frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-2\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda$$

Functions $d_i(\rho)$ represent mass effects, can be obtained from the standard ones $g_i(\lambda)$ of the massless case by means of the replacements:

 $A(\alpha) \to -A(\alpha); \ B(\alpha) \to -B(\alpha); \ D(\alpha) \to D(\alpha); \ \log \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \to \log \frac{\mu^2}{m^2}; \ \lambda \to \rho.$

U. Aglietti G. Ricciardi G. Ferrera, Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 034004

The general inverse Mellin transform is defined as:

$$M^{-1}[f^{\star}(N);x] = f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty} dN \, x^{-N} f^{\star}(N)$$

C represents the integration path in the complex N-plane

The inverse Mellin transform of the product is:

$$M^{-1}[f^{\star}(N)g^{\star}(N);x] = \int_{x}^{1} f\left(\frac{x}{u}\right)g(u)\frac{du}{u}$$

In the frozen coupling limit $\beta_0 \rightarrow 0$

$$g_{1} = -\frac{A_{1}}{2\beta_{0}}\lambda$$

$$g_{2} = \left(-\frac{B_{1}}{\beta_{0}} - \frac{D_{1}}{\beta_{0}} - \frac{A_{1}\gamma_{E}}{\beta_{0}}\right)\lambda$$

$$g_{3} = \left(-\frac{B_{2}}{\beta_{0}} - \frac{D_{2}}{\beta_{0}} - \frac{A_{2}\gamma_{E}}{\beta_{0}}\right)\lambda.$$

and similarly for the $d_i(\rho)$

 $\log J_N(Q^2, m^2) = L f_N(Q^2) + L_r F_N(Q^2, m^2) \simeq -\frac{A_1}{2\beta_0} \lambda L + \frac{A_1}{2\beta_0} \rho L_r$

$$\lambda = \beta_0 \alpha_S(Q^2) L, \qquad L = \log N$$

$$\rho \equiv \beta_0 \alpha(\mu^2) L_r, \text{ and } L_r = \theta (N - 1/r) \log (Nr)$$

$$\log J_N(Q^2, m^2) \simeq \frac{A_1}{2\beta_0} \log N \cdot \log r , \quad r = \frac{m^2}{Q^2}$$

scales and kinematics



x likewise the Bjorken variable represents the inelasticity of the final state $x=\frac{2E_w}{m_b}=1-y \qquad \qquad x=\frac{Q^2}{2Q\cdot p}$

$$\frac{\Gamma_N(x)}{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-i\infty}^{C+i\infty} dN \ x^{-N} \ J_N(Q^2) \ \cdot \delta_N(Q^2; m^2)$$

$$J_N(Q^2; m^2) = J_N(Q^2) \cdot \delta_N(Q^2; m^2)$$

in our massive case the Minimal Prescription Formula consists in taking the contour as in the massless case



$$J_N(Q^2; m^2) = e^{\frac{A_1}{2\beta_0} \log N \cdot \log r}$$

 $a = \frac{A_1}{2\beta_0}$

Finally

$$\frac{d\Gamma(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{MP}-i\infty}^{C_{MP}+i\infty} dN \ x^{-N} \ e^{a\log N \cdot \log r}$$
$$= -\frac{d}{dx} \theta(1-x) e^{a\log r \cdot \log \frac{1}{1-x}} \cdot (1 + NLL \ terms)$$

Some Results in frozen coupling (no regularization) in order to estimate the mass effects

















Tuesday, July 6, 2010



CONCLUSIONS AND A WISHFUL OUTLOOK

The regularization of a generalized resummed approach to mass corrections in HQ decays in the threshold region up to NNLLa terms

In the frozen coupling constant case the massive Minimal Prescription Formula regularization, for the leading terms, shows a milder divergent behaviour (shadow of the singularity in the massless case) with respect to the massless one.

In the running coupling case we expect that, by including the subleading terms, a finite order truncated expansion can be obtained

We expect that resummed formulae will not be plagued by renormalon power corrections in perturbative treatment of HQ decays

The MP formula for the massive case is potentially extensible to any other massive perturbative evaluation

7-8 October 2010

Seventh meeting on B physics

Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire **Orsay, France, 7-8 October 2010**

🤍 Home

9	Com	m	itt

Program		-				
	1	•	÷ n		21	
	÷		•	2 1		

Registration

Participants

For the second time, this meeting is jointly organized and attended by the Italian and French B Physics communities and for the first time it will be held in France. As in previous years, specific topics will be discussed. The main theme will be the way between present, past experimental results to LHC. Physics with dileptons final states as well as charm measurements will be the subject of theory and experimental talks during the first day. Experimental and theory talks on charm and b cross sections will take place during the second day. Present measurements, expectations from current facilities and prospects from future experiments will be presented and discussed in a round table on the second day.



Webmaster : C. Bourge

е 0

rge ard nali nelli une chi

des suk ozzi rles dori cou elli zzi teil rini une cchi



http://events.lal.in2p3.fr/VIIBWorkshop/

Tuesday, July 6, 2010

spare transparencies

Theoretical aspects of resummation in B decays and fragmentation processes

Based on the work done in collaboration with: U.Aglietti L. Di Giustino G. Ferrera A. Renzaglia G. Ricciardi Phys.Lett. B651:275-292,2007 B653:38-52 2007 e-Print: arXiv:0804.3922 [hep-ph]



Luca Trentadue Universita' and INFN Parma

Second Workshop on Theory, Phenomenology and Experiments in Heavy Flavour Physics

Anacapri June 18 2008







Interpolation Formula

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{N}(\rho;m_{b}^{2}) \ &= \ \exp \int_{0}^{1} dy \left[(1-y)^{N-1} - 1 \right] \Biggl\{ \frac{1}{y} \int_{\frac{m_{b}^{2}y^{2}}{1+\rho}}^{\frac{m_{b}^{2}y^{2}}{y+\rho}} A\left[\rho; \ \alpha_{S}(k^{2})\right] \frac{dk^{2}}{k^{2}} + \frac{1}{y} D\left[\alpha_{S}\left(\frac{m_{b}^{2}y^{2}}{1+\rho}\right) \right] \ + \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{y+\rho} \right) \Delta \left[\alpha_{S}\left(\frac{m_{b}^{2}y^{2}}{y+\rho}\right) \right] + \frac{1}{y+\rho} B\left[\alpha_{S}\left(\frac{m_{b}^{2}y^{2}}{y+\rho}\right) \right] \Biggr\} \end{split}$$

Interpolation of soft and soft+collinear radiation

Resummation is valid for any ratio of $\frac{m}{m_b}$; reduces to the massless formula for m->0

Coherence effects are included i.e. no-radiation for no-recoil of the "light" quark u_c=0 (most of the phase space of the b->c transitions is for a "not too fast" charm)

Derivation comes from the universal properties of the QCD radiation Resummed formula can be combined with a first order differential distribution

Phenomenological Outputs:

A more precise determination of m_c

b->c is dominated by few hadronic states (unlike the charmless channels) Insights on the parton-hadron duality and hadron dynamics at the scale of few GeV

Improved inclusive extraction of the CKM IV_cbl and a better subtraction of backgrounds to b->u transitions due to larger windows of data analysis (see D