

WirginiaTech

Geo-neutrinos signal with Borexino

INFN

Alessandra Carlotta Re

THE LANGE TECHNISCHE

(on behalf of the Borexino Collaboration) Università degli Studi e INFN Milano

Why do we study geo-neutrinos?

Geo-neutrinos are the anti-neutrinos produced in the decays of the progenies of Uranium, of Thorium and Potassium.

Geo-neutrinos bring to the surface information from the whole planet: they are a unique direct probe of our Earth's interior!

We could find answers to the questions:

• **What is the radiogenic contribution to the terrestrial heat?**

• **What is the distribution of the radiogenic elements within the Earth?**

BOREXINO features

- **The unprecedented low intrinsic radioactivity**
- **Far from reactor plants Very favourable Geo-**ν **/ reactor anti-**ν **ratio**
- **Due to the underground location,** $\Phi(\mu)$ **reduced by** $\sim 10^6$ **.**

 Borexino offers a unique opportunity for a sensitive search for anti neutrinos in the MeV range.

Sources of anti-neutrinos: GEO-NEUTRINOS

€

$$
\frac{^{238}\text{U}}{^{238}\text{U}} \rightarrow ^{206}\text{Pb} + 8\alpha + 8e^- + 6\bar{v}_e + 51.7 \text{ MeV}
$$
\n
$$
^{238}\text{U} \rightarrow ^{206}\text{Pb} + 8\alpha + 8e^- + 6\bar{v}_e + 51.7 \text{ MeV}
$$
\n
$$
^{232}\text{Th} \rightarrow ^{208}\text{Pb} + 6\alpha + 4e^- + 4\bar{v}_e + 42.8 \text{ MeV}
$$
\n
$$
^{40}K \rightarrow ^{40}\text{Ca} + e^- + (\bar{v}_e) + 1.32 \text{ MeV}
$$

Earth shines in anti-neutrinos: $\Phi(\mathbf{v}_e) \approx 10^6 \, cm^{-2} s^{-1}$

Released *heat* and anti-neutrinos *flux* are in a well fixed ratio!

Sources of anti-neutrinos: REACTOR ANTI-V_e

Systematic uncertainties on the <u>expected reactor anti-ν signal</u>

 $P_{rm rm}:$ Thermal Power (IAEA, EDF); *f*_{ri}: Power fraction of isotope L_r : Distance reactor-detector; T_m : Borexino livetime in months; Pee € : anti-ν survival probability. $i = {}^{235}U, {}^{238}U, {}^{239}Pu, {}^{241}Pu;$ events
Prop.
Prop.

4

Linversita degli studi e finein **ivi 1000 2000 3000 4000 0 IFAE2010, April 7th - 9th, Rome Alessandra Carlotta Re – Università degli Studi e INFN Milano**

The Borexino Detector

How to detect anti-neutrinos

In Borexino, electron anti-neutrinos are detected via the inverse beta decay reaction:

$$
v_e + p \rightarrow e^+ + n \qquad (E_{th} = 1.806 \, MeV)
$$

Anti-y candidates selection

1) Muon veto: 2 ms after a water tank-muon, 2 s after a SSS crossing-muon $($ \sim 10% reduction of livetime).

- 2) *Energy cut*: both for prompt-signal and delayed-signal. $Q_{\text{prompt}} > 410 \text{ p.e.}$ and $700 \text{ p.e.} < Q_{\text{delayed}} < 1250 \text{ p.e.}$
- 1) *Time window*: $20 \mu s < \Delta t_{prompt\text{-delayed}} < 1280 \mu s$.
- 2) *Correlated distance*: ΔR_{prompt-delayed} < 1 m.
- 3) *Radial cut:* $R_{\text{InnerVessel}} R_{\text{prompt}} \ge 25$ cm.

The total detection efficiency was determined by MC to be 0.85±0.01.

Data: from December 2007 to December 2009 **Total Lifetime**: 537.2 days **Fiducial exposure** after the selection and including the detection efficiency: 252.6 tons*year 21 candidates events were selected.

Summary of anti-v backgrounds

What do we expect?

Ideal Energy spectrum for positron events in Borexino site.

Montecarlo expected spectrum \rightarrow taking into account the position and energy response of the the detector.

MC code was tuned on calibrations: AmBe neutron source, gammas sources….

Results!

arXiv: 1003.0284v1[hep-ex], March 2010. In press on *Physics Letters B*

Our best estimates are: Background in the overall energy window: 0.40 ± 0.06 events Background in the geo-*v* energy window: 0.31 ± 0.05 events $N_{\text{geo}} = 9.9_{-3.4}^{+4.1}$ $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} +14.6\ -8.2 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ events $N_{\text{react}} = 10.7_{-3.4}^{+4.3}$ $\left(\frac{+15.8}{-8.0} \right)$ events

Light yield spectrum for the positron prompt events of the 21 candidates.

Scaling the best estimate of N_{geo} with the 252.6 ton*yr exposure, our measurement for the geo-neutrinos rate is:

> $3.9^{+1.6}_{-1.3}$ −3.2 ⁺5.8 () *events*/(100 *t* ⋅ *y*) *at* 68.3% (99.73%) *C*. *L*.

Best-fit parameters from the unbinned likelihood analysis

Charge of the 21 candidates fitted with the Montecarlo + background charge spectra.

Max Radiogenic:

Total Earth heat flow producted exclusively by radiogenic elements.

Min Radiogenic: Known U+Th concentrations in the crust.

BSE: Bulk Silicate Earth geochemical models. (original: McDonough & Sun, 1995)

By studing the profile of the likelihood with respect to N_{geo} we have calculated that the null hypothesis for geo-neutrinos can be rejected at 99.997% C.L.

Conclusions and Perspectives

1 First observation of geoneutrinos at 4.2 σ:

- a) Large Signal-to-Noise ratio
- b) Results limited by present statistics
- 2 First measurement of electron anti-neutrino disappeareace on a base line of 1000 km at 2.9 σ.
- 3 Rejection at 95% C.L. of the hypothesis of an active geo-reactor in the Earth's core ($P_{Thermal} > 3$ TW).

What next?

Spectroscopy measurement of geo-ν with more statistics:

- I. Discrimination of BSE or fully Radiogenic model
- II. Th/U ratio measurement \rightarrow U and Th fluxes measurement at Gran Sasso site ?

Future experiments: **LENA and HANOHANO**

LENA at Pyhasalmi, Finland

Project for a 50kton underground liquid scintillator detector.

 \rightarrow Continental crust (80% signal)

Features: better neutron detection & moderate directionality information

→ Expected: 800-1200 ev/yr (BSE model)

HANOHANO at Hawaii

Project for a 10kton liquid scintillator detector movable and placed on a deep oceanic floor.

- \rightarrow Oceanic Crust (70% signal)
- \rightarrow Expected: 60-100 ev/yr (BSE model)

KamLAND results

First experimental indication of geoneutrinos (latest result \sim 2.5 σ in 2008)

FIG. 1: Prompt event energy spectrum of $\overline{\nu}_e$ candidate events. All histograms corresponding to reactor spectra and expected backgrounds incorporate the energy-dependent selection efficiency (top panel). The shaded background and geo-neutrino histograms are cumulative. Statistical uncertainties are shown for the data; the band on the blue histogram indicates the event rate systematic uncertainty.

S. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 221803 (2008)

The expected *Solar Neutrino Rate* is around 50 cpd/100 t $(A \sim 5*10^{-9}$ Bq/kg).

-
-
-

Natural water: \sim 50 Bq/m³ in ²³⁸U, ²³²Th, ⁴⁰K and ²²²Rn

- External air: $\sim 20 \text{ Bg/m}^3$ in $\frac{39 \text{Ar}}{85 \text{Kr}}$ and $\frac{222 \text{Rn}}{85 \text{Kr}}$
- Fypical rock: $\sim 100-1000 \text{ Bq/m}^3$ in ²³⁸U, ²³²Th and ⁴⁰K

The Borexino scintillator must be **9-10 order** of magnitude **less** radioactive than anything on Earth

Thanks to the particular design, based on the principle of graded shielding, the predicted background due to external γ-rays in the fiducial volume and in the neutrino window (200-800 keV) is less than 0.5 cpd/100 t.

⁹Li and ⁸He cosmogenic nuclides:

Cosmic muons crossing the scintillator can create radioactive isotopes by spallations of carbon atoms. Some of these nuclides (i.e. 9 Li and 8 He) decay via a β -n cascade so they mimic the antineutrino signal.

Strategy: 2s veto after a muon crossing the scintillator

~ 15 events/(100 tons yr) \rightarrow 0.03 ± 0.02 events/ (100 tons yr).

Fast neutrons: in WT and in rock

Fast neutrons can mimic an anti-n event if before being captured they scatter an energetic proton. Fast neutrons could be generated by interaction of cosmic muons in rock or materials sourrounding Borexino or in the Borexino water tank.

• Untagged muons:

Untagged muons could give rise to two categories of backgrounds:

- A primary muon can mimic the prompt and a muon-induced neutron the delayed signal.
- Pairs of muon-induced neutrons following unrecognized muons can simulate the events.

For each of these categories we calculate the occurence probability in corrispondence of recognized muon and scaled by the estimated number of untagged muons.

• Accidental background:

We studied an off-time coincidence window of 2-20 s and then scaled to our acquisition-time window.

 $\rightarrow 0.080 \pm 0.001$ events/ (100 tons yr).

• (γ,n) backgrounds:

(γ,n) reactions might make coincidence events that almost perfectly mimic the anti-v events. Anyway, due to reaction and analysis threshold, only gammas with energy > 3 MeV could be source of background.

(α, n) reactions in the scintillator and in the buffer:

The reaction ${}^{13}C(\alpha,n){}^{16}O$ makes delayed coincidence events that almost perfectly mimic the anti-neutrino events. The prompt signal could be given by ¹⁶O deexcitation (from ¹³C(α ,n)¹⁶O*) or by protons scattered by neutrons or 12C excited by neutrons.

The contributions to (α, n) reactions from a decay in the ²³⁸U and ²³²Th chains is negligible. The only relevant contribution is the one coming from 210Po.

Likelihood Analysis

It includes spectral informations. We use the following likelihood:

$$
L(N_{geo}, N_{reac}, S_{reac}, S_{FV}) = e^{-\int_{E_{min}}^{E_{max}} dE f_{v}(E; N_{geo}, N_{reac}, S_{reac}, S_{FV})} \times \prod_{i=1}^{N_{obs}} [f_{v}(E_{i}; N_{geo}, N_{reac}, S_{reac}, S_{FV}) + f_{B}(E_{i})] \times
$$

$$
e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{S_{reac}}{\sigma_{reac}}\right)^{2}} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{S_{FV}}{\sigma_{FV}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Where:

 f_v = Spectrum of geo+reactor anti-neutrinos (assuming chondritic Th/U ratio).

 f_B = Spectrum of background $\sigma_{\text{reac}} = 0.0538$

 $\sigma_{\text{FV}} = 0.038$

Best-fit parameters from the unbinned likelihood analysis (2)

Charge of the 21 candidates fitted with the Montecarlo + background charge spectra.

Radial distribution of candidates

Unbinned Lkl Events / (45 10 8 Anthan of the Manufacturer of Manufacturer and the Manufacturer of the Manufacturer of the Manufacturer of the
- Anthan of the Manufacturer of Manufacturer and the Manufacturer of the Manufacturer of the Manufacturer of th 6 4 $\overline{\mathbf{2}}$ $\mathbf{o}^{\mathsf{L}}_{\mathbf{0}}$ 150 200 250 300 350 400 450 50 100 **Radius (m)**

Comparison with predictions with predictions. See the details of details.

[5] C.G. Rothschild, M.C. Chen and F.P. Calaprice, Geophy. Res. Lett., 25, 1083 (1998); G. Rothschild, M.C. Chen, *^N*geo=9*.*9+4*.*¹ [−]3*.*4([−]8*.*²) and *^N*react=10*.*7+4*.*³ [−]3*.*4([−]8*.*⁰) at aprice, **arXiv:nucl-ex/9710001v2** (20 M. Lissia, Phys. Rev. D 69, 013001 (2004). [30] A. Ianni, G. Pagliaroli, A. Strumia, F.R. Torres, F.L. Villante, and F. Vissani, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043007 (2009). have calculated that the null hypothesis for geological that the null hypothesis for geometric

which represents the statistical significance of the statistical significance of the theoretical significance

⁰ ⁵ ¹⁰ ¹⁵ ²⁰ ²⁵ ³⁰ ⁰

Figure 4: Allowed regions for *N*geo and *N*react at 68%, 90%, \mathcal{A} 99.73% C.L. Vertical dashed lines: 10 \mathcal{A}

tions). Horizontal dashed lines: range for *N*geo predictions

IFAE2010, April 7th - 9th, Rome Alessandra Carlotta Re – Università degli Studi e INFN Milano

A possible spectrum with 3 times the present statistics

The Borexino Detector

