

# SIGNATURES OF ALP OSCILLATIONS IN AGN SPECTRA

Marco Roncadelli

INFN – PAVIA, ITALY; IASF-INAF – MILANO, ITALY.

Work done in collaboration with Giorgio Galanti: Phys. Rev D **98**,  
043018 (2018); JHEaP **20**, 1 (2018).

## Context and assumptions

In 2015 Raffelt *et al.* pointed out that at energies  $E \gtrsim 15 \text{ TeV}$  photon dispersion on the CMB becomes the leading effect in photon-ALP oscillations. Hence, in view of the new generation of VHE detectors like CTA, HAWC, GAMMA-400, LHAASO, TAIGA-HiSCORE e HERD it is compelling to take such an effect into account.

This is however a non-trivial task. So far, the extragalactic magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}$  has been modeled as a network of nearly equal domains – of linear size  $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ Mpc})$  – wherein  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}$  has almost the same strength but its direction changes *discontinuously* from one domain to the next.

In the absence of that effect  $\lambda_{\text{osc}} \gg \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ Mpc})$ , and so the discontinuity of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}$  becomes irrelevant, since only a tiny part of one oscillation is affected by  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}$  in a single domain, and the coherence is lost.

Things change drastically for  $E \simeq 40 \text{ TeV}$  since then  $\lambda_{\text{osc}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ Mpc})$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{osc}}$  decreases as  $E$  increases. Now a whole oscillation – and even more oscillations – probe a whole single domain, thereby feeling the discontinuity: this makes the whole scenario *physically meaningless*.

Therefore it look mandatory to smooth out the change of direction of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}$  from one domain to the next. The drawback is that the beam propagation equation become very difficult to solve.

Because we are supposing that  $E \gg m_{\text{alp}}$ , the beam propagation equation has a Schrödinger-like form, with  $t \rightarrow y$  ( $y =$  propagation direction). So, for a linear smoothing we have been able to solve such an equation by a clever use of the Laplace transform, thereby getting an analytic exact result in a single domain. Moreover, the beam propagation is *formally* equivalent to a 3 level non-relativistic unstable (because of EBL absorption) quantum system! Hence, by iteration one gets the whole beam behaviour. Note that the angles of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}$  with a fixed direction are random variables, and so the same is true for the paths followed by the beam.

- ▶ The CAST upper bound on the ALP 2 photon coupling is  $g_{a\gamma\gamma} < 0.66 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ .
- ▶ The upper bound on the strength of  $\mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}}$  is  $B_{\text{ext}} < 1.7 \text{ nG}$ .
- ▶ Defining  $\xi \equiv \left(\frac{B_{\text{ext}}}{\text{nG}}\right) (g_{a\gamma\gamma} 10^{11} \text{ GeV})$ , we get  $\xi < 9.20$ .
- ▶ In order to stay in the *strong mixing regime* for  $100 \text{ GeV} \lesssim E \lesssim 15 \text{ TeV}$  we must have  $m_{\text{alp}} \lesssim 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$ , assuming  $g_{\gamma\gamma} < 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$ : OK with all constraints.

# Results













