

SIGNATURES OF ALP OSCILLATIONS IN AGN SPECTRA

Marco Roncadelli

INFN – PAVIA, ITALY; IASF-INAF – MILANO, ITALY.

Work done in collaboration with Giorgio Galanti: Phys. Rev D **98**,
043018 (2018); JHEaP **20**, 1 (2018).

Context and assumptions

In 2015 Raffelt *et al.* pointed out that at energies $E \gtrsim 15 \text{ TeV}$ photon dispersion on the CMB becomes the leading effect in photon-ALP oscillations. Hence, in view of the new generation of VHE detectors like CTA, HAWC, GAMMA-400, LHAASO, TAIGA-HiSCORE e HERD it is compelling to take such an effect into account.

This is however a non-trivial task. So far, the extragalactic magnetic field \mathbf{B}_{ext} has been modeled as a network of nearly equal domains – of linear size $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ Mpc})$ – wherein \mathbf{B}_{ext} has almost the same strength but its direction changes *discontinuously* from one domain to the next.

In the absence of that effect $\lambda_{\text{osc}} \gg \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ Mpc})$, and so the discontinuity of \mathbf{B}_{ext} becomes irrelevant, since only a tiny part of one oscillation is affected by \mathbf{B}_{ext} in a single domain, and the coherence is lost.

Things change drastically for $E \simeq 40 \text{ TeV}$ since then $\lambda_{\text{osc}} \simeq \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ Mpc})$, and λ_{osc} decreases as E increases. Now a whole oscillation – and even more oscillations – probe a whole single domain, thereby feeling the discontinuity: this makes the whole scenario *physically meaningless*.

Therefore it look mandatory to smooth out the change of direction of \mathbf{B}_{ext} from one domain to the next. The drawback is that the beam propagation equation become very difficult to solve.

Because we are supposing that $E \gg m_{\text{alp}}$, the beam propagation equation has a Schrödinger-like form, with $t \rightarrow y$ ($y =$ propagation direction). So, for a linear smoothing we have been able to solve such an equation by a clever use of the Laplace transform, thereby getting an analytic exact result in a single domain. Moreover, the beam propagation is *formally* equivalent to a 3 level non-relativistic unstable (because of EBL absorption) quantum system! Hence, by iteration one gets the whole beam behaviour. Note that the angles of \mathbf{B}_{ext} with a fixed direction are random variables, and so the same is true for the paths followed by the beam.

- ▶ The CAST upper bound on the ALP 2 photon coupling is $g_{a\gamma\gamma} < 0.66 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$.
- ▶ The upper bound on the strength of \mathbf{B}_{ext} is $B_{\text{ext}} < 1.7 \text{ nG}$.
- ▶ Defining $\xi \equiv \left(\frac{B_{\text{ext}}}{\text{nG}}\right) (g_{a\gamma\gamma} 10^{11} \text{ GeV})$, we get $\xi < 9.20$.
- ▶ In order to stay in the *strong mixing regime* for $100 \text{ GeV} \lesssim E \lesssim 15 \text{ TeV}$ we must have $m_{\text{alp}} \lesssim 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$, assuming $g_{\gamma\gamma} < 10^{-10} \text{ eV}$: OK with all constraints.

Results













