# MPGD\_NEXT

Dal 2016 il gruppo di Roma Tre partecipava al Task 3:

#### **High Performance Micromegas**



- GOAL: Development of Resistive Micromegas detectors, aimed at operation under very high rates (~10 MHz/cm<sup>2</sup>)
- R&D BASIC STEPS:
  - $\circ~$  Optimization of the spark protection resistive scheme
  - o Implementation of Small pad readout (allows for low occupancy under high irradiation)
  - Implementation of integrated electronics (back bonded RO chips)
- We aim at a pixelized detector with pad size O(mm<sup>2</sup>).

#### **APPLICATIONS:**

- Large area fine tracking and trigger with high rate capability (LHC-HL upgrades and Future Colliders)
- Sampling Hadron Calorimetry

#### PEOPLE:

- Roma Tre: M. Biglietti, M. Iodice, F. Petrucci, E. Rossi, G.Salamanna, M.T. Camerlingo
- INFN NA & LE & CERN: <u>M. Alviggi, V. Canale, M. Della Pietra, C. Di Donato</u>, E. Farina, S. Franchino, <u>P. lengo</u>, L.Longo, <u>G. Sekhniaidze</u>, O. Sidiropoulou

#### **Micromegas detector**



#### Project Timeline MPGD-NEXT 2016-2017-2018-2019



- 7. Test-beam and High Irradiation Test
- 8. Start a process of technology transfer to industries.

### **Detector R&D : Small Pads Resistive micromegas**

Layout of the common anode for all the small pad prototypes:

- Matrix of 48x16 pads;
- Each pad: 0.8mm x 2.8mm;
- (pitch of 1 and 3 mm in the two coordinates);
- Active surface of 4.8x4.8 cm<sup>2</sup> with a total of 768 channels.





# Two different implementations of the Resistive layer

Two series of small pad resistive micromegas prototypes built so far with **pad dimension 3 mm**<sup>2</sup>. The two series differ for the implementation of the resistive protection system against discharges :



### **Characterization of the detectors**

#### **Measurements with sources and X-rays**

Two radiation sources have been used:

- <sup>55</sup>Fe sources with 2 two different activities
  - "Low activity" (measured rate ~1 kHz)
  - "High activity" (measured rate ~100 kHz)
- 8 keV Xrays peak from a Cu target with different intensities varying the gun excitation current



<sup>55</sup>Fe source

Gain measured with different methods

- Reading the detector current from readout pads OR from the mesh with a picoammeter and counting signal rates from the mesh
- Signals amplitude (mesh) from a Multi Channel Analyser

At High Rates (with X-Rays):

- Rates measured at low currents of the X-Ray gun
- Extrapolating Rate Vs X-Ray-current when rates not measurable reliably anymore



Gas mixture: Ar:CO<sub>2</sub> 93:7

# **Summary of PAD-P Results**

M. Alviggi, et al. *"Construction and test of a Small-Pads Resistive Micromegas prototype"*, JINST 13 (2018) no.11, P11019



Reduction vs time of the detector current with High intensity <sup>55</sup>Fe source [CHARGING-UP]





Gain reduction ~30% up to 12 MHz/cm<sup>2</sup> [CHARGING-UP + Ohmic Voltage Drop] Gain drop increases as rate goes up. Still able to reach gain of 4x10<sup>3</sup> at a rate of 150 MHz/cm<sup>2</sup> of 8 keV photons



# **PAD-P vs DLC – Charging-up effect**



Current measurement Vs Time with X-Rays on/off and increasing rate (X-Ray current) at each step

- PAD-P response compatible with dielectric charging-up of exposed Kapton surroundings the resistive pads
- DLC detectors (both DLC20 and DLC50) do NOT show any charging-up effects (expected from the uniformity of the resistive – no exposed dielectric, with the exception of the pillars)

### **PAD-P vs DLC – Energy Resolution**



DLC prototypes have much better energy resolution

- more uniform electric field
- no pad border effects

### PAD-P, DLC20-6mm, DLC50-6mm

X-rays Exposure area 0.79 cm<sup>2</sup> (shielding with 1 cm diameter hole)



DLC20-6mm shows a significantly better behaviour than DLC50-6mm (LOWER RESISTIVITY)

PAD-P below DLC for rates < 10 MHz/cm2 (charging-up+Ohmic drop)

PAD-P and DLC20-6mm have a comparable behaviour in the explored region (up to ~90 MHz/cm2)

• Similar voltage drop

As expected DLC20 better than DLC50 (due to lower resistivity)

## Test Beam SPS H4 at CERN – SETUP

#### SPS H4 CERN 2016, 2017

Beam: muons/pions 150 GeV/c (low/high rates)

• Prototypes Tested:

PAD-P, DLC50

(see M.Alviggi, et al. JINST 13 (2018) no.11, P11019)

SPS H4 CERN OCTOBER 2018 Beam:

- 1<sup>st</sup> period: muons/pions 150 GeV/c
- 2<sup>nd</sup> period: pions 80 GeV/c
- Prototypes Tested: DLC20, DLC50



OCTOBER 2018 SETUP: Chambers under test: DLC50 (50-70 MOhm/sq), DLC20 (20MOhm/sq), ExMe

- o Tracking system: 2 Tmm strips micromegas (x-y readout) for external tracking
- o Operating gas on DLC20, DLC50: Ar:CO2 93:7 Gas studies on ExMe: Ar:CO2 93:7 and 85:15 Ar:CO2:lso 88:10:2
- o Scintillators for triggering
- DAQ: SRS + APV25 with custom DAQ

(Poster Maxence Vandenbroucke)

## **Spatial Resolution and cluster-size**

#### Position resolution:

difference between the cluster position and the extrapolated position from external tracking chambers.



Precision coordinate (pad pitch 1 mm)

track residuals Unbiased Residuals of Entries 9774 950.1/329  $\gamma^2$  / ndf Constant 183.5 DLC20 at 510 V 200 0.03133 0.09551 Mean Sigma 140 120Ē 100Ē  $\sigma_{\rm resol} = \sqrt{\sigma_{resid}^2 - \sigma_{track}^2}$ 80Ē 60È  $(\sigma_{track} \simeq 50 \ \mu m)$ 0.2 0.4 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0 Unbiased residuals [mm] mean # of pads per cluster Cluster-size – DLC50 DLC20 for all - DLC20 prototypes vs HV DLC50 PAD-P 460 480 500 520 440 540 HV<sub>amp</sub>

 Larger Cluster size for DLC due to uniform layer. Larger clusters for lower resistivity (DLC20 Vs DLC50)

- Significant improvement of spatial resolution on the DLC prototypes (pad charge weighted centroid)
- More uniform charge distribution among pads in the clusters

# the prototype with Integrated Electronics

**APV FE Layout** 



- Prototype with integrated electronics on the back-end of the anode PCB built to solve the problem of the signal routing when scaling to larger surface
- APV FE Layout implemented



First tests look promising:

- Nice Pedestals structure and signal response from APV using Fe55 source and random trigger for DAQ → BUT ONBLY on some channels
- We know the reason (issue in the elx Layout  $\rightarrow$  fixing it in the next proto !



M. Iodice – MPGD 2019 La Rochelle – May 7, 2019

### **Activities up to December 2019**

- 1. Test of the integrated electronics prototype
- 2. Test of the new DLC-Layer prototype

Test beam @ PSI September-October 2019

- Molti obiettivi del task raggiunti con successo (o lo saranno nei prossimi mesi);
- risultati pubblicati su JINST e NIM e riportati a molte conferenze;
- il rivelatore finale di medie dimensioni è in fase di progettazione;
- il progetto sviluppato in ambito MPGD\_NEXT è alla base di nostre proposte di finanziamento sia in Aida2020++ che in una call RD51 del CERN.

→ Abbiamo chiesto al Presidente di CSN5 e ai referee di mantenere la sigla MPGD\_NEXT, magari sotto Dotazioni, per il 2020:

- come contenitore per questi progetti;

- come piccolo finanziamento (5 kEuro) per il completamento del rivelatore di medie dimensioni.

### **Activities foreseen in 2020.**

#### Resources:

- Small contribution from CSN5 (5kE requested)
- Call RD51 Common Project?
- AIDA2020++?

Manpower (Anagrafica RM3): M. Iodice (10%), F. Petrucci (10%), M.T. Camerlingo (10%), M.Biglietti (10%)

#### Activity:

- Finalize DLC prototype design with optimal performace;
- Finalize embedded electronics design;
- IF (additional founding) then : construction and test of medium size prototype (~20x20  $\text{cm}^2$ )



## **Comparison of different configurations**

	PAD-P	DLC50-6mm	DLC50-12mm	DLC20-6mm	DLC20-12mm
Configuration of the Resistive layer	Pad-patterned screen printed resistive layers with embedded resistors	DOUBLE DLC foil with resistivity ~50-70 MOhm/sq		DOUBLE DLC foil with resistivity ~20 MOhm/sq	
Connection to ground	Each pad through Embedded resistor R~3-6 MOhm	6mm pitch of grounding vias	12 mm pitch of grounding vias	6mm pitch of grounding vias	12 mm pitch of grounding vias

In the following:

- All detectors tested with Ar/CO2 93/7, and Vdrift = 300 V; The drift gap is 5 mm
- Detectors Comparison at High rates done with SAME GAIN conditions
  - $\circ$  PAD-P HV = 527 V
  - DLC20 HV = 510 V ⊢ G ~ 8000 (at 100kHz)
  - DLC50 HV = 504 V

#### High Rates – PAD-P vs DLC50-6-12mm

X-rays Exposure area 0.79 cm<sup>2</sup> (shielding with 1cm diameter hole)



- Onset of voltage drop due to high current/high resistance.
- Clear difference between the regions with 6mm and 12 mm grounding vias pitch

M. Iodice - MPGD 2019 La Rochelle - May 7, 2019

DLC50 below 10 MHz/cm2

#### **Dependence on the exposed area**

PAD-P X-rays exposure area 0.79  $\rm cm^2$  and 12  $\rm cm^2$ 



PAD-P: Response to illumination on  $0.79 \text{ cm}^2$  and on  $12 \text{ cm}^2$  does not show any significant difference up to the measured limit of ~8 MHz/cm2 (total current was ~18 uA, close to the limit of the power supply) Measurements limited at 700 kHz/cm2

No significant difference between 0.79  $\rm cm^2$  and 12  $\rm cm^2$  up to the measured limit of ~700 kHz/cm2

• Measured range limited to 700 kHz/cm2 by onset of discharges

Unfortunately, due to discharges, no data for DLC20

### **TB Results - Efficiencies**



#### Cluster Efficiency of DLC50 @ 500 V Vs extrapolated track impact position

- Inefficiencies are clearly seen in correspondence of pillars.
- These inefficiencies decrease
  with HV

#### "Cluster" and "software" efficiencies for DLC20 Vs HV



# EFFICIENCY Comparison of all chambers (software-loose)



Differences at the level of 1% still under investigation. Possible causes:

different gains, different charge spread and cluster-size, ...