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INSTITUT FÜR HOCHENERGIEPHYSIK


Der Wissenschaftsfonds.


Replace short dipoles with longer ones (LER)


Redesign the lattices of HER \& LER to squeeze the emittance

TiN-coated beam pipe with antechambers


> Positron source
New positron target / capture section
Low emittance gun
Low emittance electrons to
 inject


To obtain x40 higher luminosity

KEKB to SuperKEKB


New superconducting /permanent final focusing quads near the IP


Add / modify RF systems for higher beam current

Low emittance positrons to inject

## Belle II Detector Elements



## Belle II Luminosity Status and Plans



## Belle II Luminosity Status and Plans



In addition $0.5 \mathrm{fb}-1$ have been collected in 2018 during commissioning of Super-KEKB Full Belle II detectorw/o Vertex detector $\rightarrow$ Used for first Belle II physī̌s results shown today

## A B-Factory is NOT just a B-Factory

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}(y)\right]=74.4 n b \\
(51.99 \%)
\end{gathered}
$$



## A B-Factory is NOT just a B-Factory

$$
\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}(\gamma)\right]=1.15 \mathrm{nb}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}(4 \mathrm{~S})\right]=1.05 \mathrm{nb} & /\left(\begin{array}{l}
e \\
\hline
\end{array} e^{\prime} \rightarrow \mu(\gamma)\right]=1.15 \mathrm{nb} & \sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow c \bar{c}(\gamma)\right]=1.3 \mathrm{nb} \\
(0.91 \%)
\end{array}
$$

(0.73\%)

$$
\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \tau^{+} \tau(\gamma)\right]=0.92 \mathrm{nb}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow d \bar{d}(\gamma)\right]=0.40 \mathrm{nb} \\
(0.28 \%) \\
\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow s \bar{s}(\gamma)\right]=0.38 \mathrm{nb} \\
(0.27 \%)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
(0.64 \%)
$$

$\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-\rightarrow} e^{+} e^{-}(\gamma)\right]=74.4 \mathrm{nb}$
(51.99\%)


(0.91\%)
$\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow u \bar{u}(\gamma)\right]=1.61 \mathrm{nb}$
(1.13\%)
$\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma(\gamma)\right]=3.3 \mathrm{nb}$ (2.31\%)
$\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right]=18.9 n b$ (13.21\%)
$\sigma\left[e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-} e^{+} e^{-}\right]=39.7 \mathrm{nb}$
(27.74\%)

## A B-Factory is NOT just a B-Factory

The Belle II Phyiscs book arXiv:1808.10567

| Physics process Cross section [nb] | Cuts |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Upsilon(4 S)$ | $1.05 \pm 0.10$ | - |
| $u \bar{u}(\gamma)$ | 1.61 | - |
| $d \bar{d}(\gamma)$ | 0.40 | - |
| $s \bar{s}(\gamma)$ | 0.38 | - |
| $c \bar{c}(\gamma)$ | 1.30 | - |
| $e^{+} e^{-}(\gamma)$ | $300 \pm 3$ (MC stat.) | $10^{\circ}<\theta_{e^{\prime} s}^{*}<170^{\circ}$, |
|  |  | $E_{e^{\prime} s}^{*}>0.15 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $e^{+} e^{-}(\gamma)$ | 74.4 | $e^{\prime} \mathrm{s}(p>0.5 \mathrm{GeV})$ in ECL |
| $\gamma \gamma(\gamma)$ | $4.99 \pm 0.05$ (MC stat.) | $10^{\circ}<\theta_{\gamma^{\prime} s}^{*}<170^{\circ}$, |
|  |  | $E_{\gamma^{\prime} s}^{*}>0.15 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $\gamma \gamma(\gamma)$ | 3.30 | $\gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{s}(p>0.5 \mathrm{GeV})$ in ECL |
| $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}(\gamma)$ | 1.148 | - |
| $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}(\gamma)$ | 0.831 | $\mu^{\prime}$ s $(p>0.5 \mathrm{GeV})$ in CDC |
| $\mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma(\gamma)$ | 0.242 | $\mu^{\prime} \mathrm{s}(p>0.5 \mathrm{GeV})$ in CDC, |
|  |  | $\geq 1 \gamma\left(E_{\gamma}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$ in ECL |
| $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}(\gamma)$ | 0.919 | - |
| $\nu \bar{\nu}(\gamma)$ | $0.25 \times 10^{-3}$ | - |
| $e^{+} e^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$ | $39.7 \pm 0.1$ (MC stat.) | $W_{\ell \ell}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $e^{+} e^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $18.9 \pm 0.1$ (MC stat.) | $W_{\ell \ell}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$ |

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barn_(unit)

| Unit | Symbol | $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| megabarn | Mb | $10^{-22}$ | $10^{-18}$ |
| kilobarn | kb | $10^{-25}$ | $10^{-21}$ |
| barn | b | $10^{-28}$ | $10^{-24}$ |
| millibarn | mb | $10^{-31}$ | $10^{-27}$ |
| microbarn | $\mu \mathrm{b}$ | $10^{-34}$ | $10^{-30}$ |
| nanobarn | nb | $10^{-37}$ | $10^{-33}$ |
| picobarn | pb | $10^{-40}$ | $10^{-36}$ |
| femtobarn | fb | $10^{-43}$ | $10^{-39}$ |
| attobarn | ab | $10^{-46}$ | $10^{-42}$ |
| zeptobarn | zb | $10^{-49}$ | $10^{-45}$ |
| yoctobarn | yb | $10^{-52}$ | $10^{-48}$ |

Cross-section of the process to be
Remember!! $\quad N=L \times \sigma$ studied in the specific experiment

Number of events of a process

Luminosity of an experiment

## A B-Factory is NOT just a B-Factory

The Belle II Phyiscs book arXiv:1808.10567

| Physics process | Cross section [nb] | Cuts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Upsilon(4 S)$ | $1.05 \pm 0.10$ | - |
| $u \bar{u}(\gamma)$ | 1.61 | - |
| $d \bar{d}(\gamma)$ | 0.40 | - |
| $s \bar{s}(\gamma)$ | 0.38 | - |
| $c \bar{c}(\gamma)$ | 1.30 | - |
| $e^{+} e^{-}(\gamma)$ | $300 \pm 3$ (MC stat.) | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{\circ}<\theta_{e^{\prime} s}^{*}<170^{\circ}, \\ & E_{e^{\prime} s}^{*}>0.15 \mathrm{GeV} \end{aligned}$ |
| $e^{+} e^{-}(\gamma)$ | 74.4 | $e$ 's $(p>0.5 \mathrm{GeV})$ in ECL |
| $\gamma \gamma(\gamma)$ | $4.99 \pm 0.05$ (MC stat.) | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{\circ}<\theta_{\gamma^{\prime} s}^{*}<170^{\circ}, \\ & E_{\gamma^{\prime} s}^{*}>0.15 \mathrm{GeV} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\gamma \gamma(\gamma)$ | 3.30 | $\gamma$ 's ( $p>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) in ECL |
| $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}(\gamma)$ | 1.148 | - |
| $\mu^{+} \mu^{-}(\gamma)$ | 0.831 | $\mu$ 's $(p>0.5 \mathrm{GeV})$ in CDC |
| $\mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma(\gamma)$ | 0.242 | $\begin{aligned} & \mu^{\prime} \mathrm{s}(p>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}) \text { in CDC, } \\ & \geq 1 \gamma\left(E_{\gamma}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}\right) \text { in ECL } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\tau^{+} \tau^{-}(\gamma)$ | 0.919 | - |
| $\nu \bar{\nu}(\gamma)$ | $0.25 \times 10^{-3}$ | - |
| $e^{+} e^{-} e^{+} e^{-}$ | $39.7 \pm 0.1$ (MC stat.) | $W_{\ell \ell}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $e^{+} e^{-} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $18.9 \pm 0.1$ (MC stat.) | $W_{\ell \ell}>0.5 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| Dark sector particles | ?? $\pm$ ? ? | ? ? > ? |

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barn_(unit)

| Unit | Symbol | $\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| megabarn | Mb | $10^{-22}$ | $10^{-18}$ |
| kilobarn | kb | $10^{-25}$ | $10^{-21}$ |
| barn | b | $10^{-28}$ | $10^{-24}$ |
| millibarn | mb | $10^{-31}$ | $10^{-27}$ |
| microbarn | $\mu \mathrm{b}$ | $10^{-34}$ | $10^{-30}$ |
| nanobarn | nb | $10^{-37}$ | $10^{-33}$ |
| picobarn | pb | $10^{-40}$ | $10^{-36}$ |
| femtobarn | fb | $10^{-43}$ | $10^{-39}$ |
| attobarn | ab | $10^{-46}$ | $10^{-42}$ |
| zeptobarn | zb | $10^{-49}$ | $10^{-45}$ |
| yoctobarn | yb | $10^{-52}$ | $10^{-48}$ |

Cross-section of the process to be studied in the specific experiment

Number of events of a process

Luminosity of an experiment

ArXiv:1707.04591

## Dark Sector Candidates, Anomalies, and Search Techniques



Small Experiments: Coherent Field Searches, Direct Detection, Nuclear and Atomic Physics, Accelerators Microlensing


ArXiv:1707.04591

## Dark Sector Candidates, Anomalies, and Search Techniques





Belle II
Search for events with missing energy, particle disappearance, dark forces, single/multi-photon final state events, etc.


- Vector portal $\quad \epsilon F_{Y}^{\mu \nu} F^{\prime}{ }_{\mu \nu}\left(\right.$ dark photon $\left.A^{\prime}\right), \sum_{l} \theta g^{\prime} \bar{l} \gamma^{\mu} Z^{\prime}{ }_{\mu} l\left(\right.$ dark $\left.Z^{\prime}\right)$
- Axion portal
- Scalar portal

$$
\frac{G_{a g g}}{4} a G_{\mu \nu} \widetilde{G}^{\mu \nu}+\frac{G_{a \gamma \gamma}}{4} a F_{\mu \nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu \nu} \quad(\text { axion }, \text { alps })
$$

- Neutrino portal $\lambda H^{2} S^{2}+\mu H^{2} S$ (dark Higgs)
- More ... Searching for Dark Matter and Forces @ Belle/Belle II



Belle II
Search for events with missing energy, particle disappearance, dark forces, single/multi-photon final state events, etc.


- Vector portal $\quad \epsilon F_{Y}^{\mu \nu} F^{\prime}{ }_{\mu \nu}\left(\right.$ dark photon $\left.A^{\prime}\right), \sum_{l} \theta g^{\prime} \bar{l} \gamma^{\mu} Z^{\prime}{ }_{\mu} l\left(\right.$ dark $\left.Z^{\prime}\right)$
- Axion portal $\frac{G_{a g g}}{4} a G_{\mu \nu} \widetilde{G}^{\mu \nu}+\frac{G_{a \gamma \gamma}}{4} a F_{\mu \nu} \widetilde{F}^{\mu \nu}$ (axion, alps)
- Scalar portal $\lambda H^{2} S^{2}+\mu H^{2} S$ (dark Higgs)
- Neutrino portal $k(H L) N$ (sterile neutrinos)
- More ...
$\rightarrow$ The model is a new gauge boson, called a $Z^{\prime}$, which couples to $L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}=-g^{\prime} \bar{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} Z_{\mu}^{\prime} \mu+g^{\prime} \bar{\tau} \gamma^{\mu} Z_{\mu}^{\prime} \tau-g^{\prime} \nu_{\mu, L}^{-} \gamma^{\mu} Z_{\mu}^{\prime} \nu_{\mu, L}+g^{\prime} \nu_{\tau, L}^{-} \gamma^{\mu} Z_{\mu}^{\prime} \nu_{\tau, L}
$$

$\rightarrow$ For $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}<2 \mathrm{M}_{\mu} \mathrm{BF}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $)=1$.
$\rightarrow$ For $2 M_{\mu}<M_{Z^{\prime}}<2 M_{\tau} B F\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 2$
$\rightarrow$ For $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}>2 \mathrm{M}_{\tau} \mathrm{BF}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 3$
$\rightarrow$ The branching fraction to one neutrino species is half of the branching fraction to one charged lepton flavour. The reason is, of course, that the Z' only couples to left-handed neutrino chiralities whereas it couples to both left- and right-handed charged leptons.

$$
B F\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow \text { invisible }\right)=\frac{2 \Gamma\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow v_{l} \overline{v_{l}}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow v_{l} \overline{v_{l}}\right)+\Gamma\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow \mu \bar{\mu}\right)+\Gamma\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow \tau \bar{\tau}\right)}
$$

Partial width and BR can be derived from eqn. 2.12 of Essig et al. JHEP02(2015)157, arXiv:1412.0018 [hep-ph].
$\rightarrow$ Very important: If $M_{Z^{\prime}}>2 X \rightarrow B F\left[Z^{\prime} \rightarrow X X\right] \sim 1$
(see for example: https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.2727)

## The $L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}$ model in the context of dark sector searches: a dark $Z^{\prime}$


$\rightarrow$ The branching fraction to one neutrino species is half of the branching fraction to one charged lepton flavour. The reason is, of course, that the Z' only couples to left-handed neutrino chiralities whereas it couples to both left- and right-handed charged leptons.
$\rightarrow$ For $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}^{\prime}}<2 \mathrm{M}_{\mu} \mathrm{BF}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $)=1$.
$\rightarrow$ For $2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}}<\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}<2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{BF}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 2$
If $M_{Z}>\mathbf{> 2 X} \rightarrow B F\left[Z^{\prime} \rightarrow X X\right] \sim 1$
$\rightarrow$ For $M_{z^{\prime}}>2 M_{\tau} B F\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 3$


Belle 2 DATA event display run \# 3236 Event \#493624

```
Belle 2 DATA
event display
run # 3236
Event #493624
M}\mp@subsup{\textrm{Z}}{}{\prime
```



- Cross section provided by MadGraph for $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}, \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} \bar{v}_{\mu}$ and multiplied by a factor 2 to account for $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow v_{\tau} \bar{v}_{\tau}$ as this is the other channel that contribute to the invisible decays of $Z^{\prime}$.
- Different masses are accessible with different luminosity: the larger the luminosity, the higher the mass of the $Z$ ' that can be probed at Belle II.


## Z' search on phase II data: results

PRL paper in preparation to be submitted soon



TABLE I: List of systematic uncertainties

| Source | Error |
| :---: | :---: |
| Trigger efficiency | $4 \%$ |
| Tracking efficiency | $4 \%$ |
| PID | $4 \%$ |
| luminosity | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\tau$ suppression (background) | $22 \%$ |
| discrepancy in muon yields (background) | $2 \%$ |
| discrepancy in muon yields (signal efficiency) | $12.5 \%$ |



Assuming systematics from 26\% to 3\%
TABLE I: List of systematic uncertainties

| TABLE I: List of systematic uncertainties |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Source | Error |
| Trigger efficiency | $4 \%$ |
| Tracking efficiency | $4 \%$ |
| PID | $4 \%$ |
| luminosity | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\tau$ suppression (background) | $22 \%$ |
| discrepancy in muon yields (background) | $2 \%$ |
| discrepancy in muon yields (signal efficiency) | $12.5 \%$ |



## Dark Photon and Kinetic Mixing

Dark photon first proposed in
P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. B 95, 285 (1980),
P. Fayet Nucl. Phys. B 187, 184 (1981).
$\rightarrow$ (Holdom, 1986) A boson belonging to an additional $U(1)$ ' symmetry would mix kinetically with the photon:

$\rightarrow$ The kinetic mixing is a term in the Lagrangian expressed by $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon F_{\mu \nu}^{Y} F^{\prime \mu \nu}$
$\rightarrow$ For the dark photon to acquire mass an extended Higgs sector might be required to break the new $\mathrm{U}(1)$ ' symmetry (if dark sector is "Higgsed")

Note: $\epsilon$ is the strength of the kinetic mixing could be as large as $10^{-2}$ for $m_{A^{\prime}}$ in the GeV range, the smaller the value of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ the longer $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ lifetime (i.e. long lived).

Most dark sector models require an additional $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetry responsible for the "interactions" between dark sector particles and SM particles through its gauge boson A' .
P. Fayet, Phys. Lett. B 95, 285 (1980),

P. Fayet Nucl. Phys. B 187, 184 (1981).
B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B 166, 196 (1986)
$\checkmark$ Kinetic mixing strength


## Dark Photon Search Strategy (invisible case)

## See the Belle II Physics book arXiv:1808.10567



$A^{\prime}=$ dark photon, $\chi=$ dark matter particle (neutral under $\operatorname{SU}(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1)$ )
A' decays to dark matter. One on-shell (mono-energetic) or one off-shell (broad spectrum) photon with different gamma spectrum .
radiative production in e+e- collisions only one photon in the final state with

$$
E_{\gamma}^{*}=\left(s-M_{A^{\prime}}^{2}\right) / 2 \sqrt{s}(\text { on }- \text { shell })
$$

$\rightarrow$ Only existing limits from BaBar based on $53 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of data, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 131804 (2017)

Since the decay products of the A' are invisible to the detector, only the ISR photon is visible. Therefore this analysis requires a single photon trigger.

Dark photon $\rightarrow$ invisible, Belle 2 expected sensitivity


The Belle II Phyiscs book
arXiv:1808.10567
BaBar's analysis PRL.119.131804

Why does Belle II perform better than BaBar? $\rightarrow$ no ECL cracks pointing to the interaction regions

## Axion Like Particles (ALPs) at Belle II

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \supset & -\frac{g_{a \gamma \gamma}}{4} a F_{\mu \nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu \nu}-\frac{g_{a \gamma Z}}{4} a F_{\mu \nu} \tilde{Z}^{\mu \nu} \\
& -\frac{g_{a Z Z}}{4} a Z_{\mu \nu} \tilde{Z}^{\mu \nu}-\frac{g_{a W W}}{4} a W_{\mu \nu} \tilde{W}^{\mu \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$





## Axion Like Particles (ALPs) at Belle II




Belle II expected limits

- No systematics incuded
- Dominant $\mathrm{e}^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ background taken into account
- beam background negligible
$-135 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ projection assumes no veto of $\gamma \gamma$ events in barrel at trigger level
- Three photons that add up to the beam energy + bump on di-photon mass.
- SM background: $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow y y(y), e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}(y)$, and $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow s c a l a r+y(y)$


## Conclusions

- Although the Belle II experiment is designed mainly for B-physics, the detector capabilities offer many possibilities to explore dark sector models,
- in this talk we considered various example final states including photons, charged particles, and (large) missing energy in the final state.
- First Belle II results shown today
- Discovering dark matter is today one of the biggest challenges we are facing, but more important is the understanding of its nature
- Synergy between different experiments is required.
- Many searches at the Belle II experiment are ongoing and higher precision will be reached thanks to the great luminosity of Belle II at Super-KEK and thanks to improved hardware/software.
- We look forward to a bright future for dark sector physics.


## Thank you for your attention!

## Axion Like Particles (ALPs) at Belle II




JHEP 1712 (2017) 094

- Three photons that add up to the beam energy + bump on di-photon mass.
- SM background: $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{yy}(\mathrm{y}), \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}(\mathrm{y})$, and $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{scalar}+\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{y})$


## Z' search on phase II data: results

PRL paper in preparation to be submitted soon



TABLE I: List of systematic uncertainties

| Source | Error |
| :---: | :---: |
| Trigger efficiency | $4 \%$ |
| Tracking efficiency | $4 \%$ |
| PID | $4 \%$ |
| luminosity | $1.5 \%$ |
| $\tau$ suppression (background) | $22 \%$ |
| discrepancy in muon yields (background) | $2 \%$ |
| discrepancy in muon yields (signal efficiency) | $12.5 \%$ |



## What about a LFV Z'?



See for example arXiv:1610.08060 or ArXiv:1701.08767
$\rightarrow$ Complement the search for low mass Z' and low mass dark sector
$\rightarrow$ Alternative way to look into cLFV, complementing ongoing searches
$\rightarrow$ (Almost) background free
$\rightarrow$ Get a search for doubly charged bosons for free
$\rightarrow$ A model for this final state is however not available...see next slide

## What about a LFV Z'?





## What about a LFV Z'?




Limits are set in a model-independent way to $\varepsilon x \sigma=$ efficiency (flat) $x$ cross section
Theory input needed for future work!


## Dark Photon Search Strategy (visible case)

See R. Essig et al. JHEP11 (2013) 167.


$A^{\prime}=$ dark photon, $L=$ long lived light gauge boson (model independent).
$A^{\prime}$ decays to SM final states through kinetic mixing (if allowed by kinematics). Low multiplicity final states with 2 oppositely charged tracks and 1 photon.

- Decays to leptons require $M_{A^{\prime}}>1.02 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$
- Decays to hadrons require $M_{A^{\prime}}>0.36 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$

Note

- If $M_{x}<M_{A} / 2 \rightarrow$ invisible $A^{\prime}$ decays to dark matter!


Dark Photon: Current UL to Kinetic Mixing


$$
e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma A^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}, \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}, \text {prompt }
$$



Very conservative estimation of Belle II sensitivity to prompt decays of A' based on BABAR results projected to full Belle 2 luminosity

- Belle II calorimeter crystals are reused from Belle.
- $8736 \mathrm{CsI}(\mathrm{TI})$ crystals
- New readout electronics.
- New clustering $\rightarrow$ high luminosity environment.


Crystal energy


Nominal backgrounds + single 2.5 GeV photon

- Belle II calorimeter crystals are reused from Belle.
- $8736 \mathrm{CsI}(\mathrm{TI})$ crystals
- New readout electronics.
- New clustering $\rightarrow$ high luminosity environment.


Belle II MC
Shower (no timing selection)


New clustering: finds "showers"

- Belle II calorimeter crystals are reused from Belle.
- $8736 \mathrm{CsI}(\mathrm{TI})$ crystals
- New readout electronics.
- New clustering $\rightarrow$ high luminosity environment.


Belle II MC
Cluster (timing selection, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Cluster }}>20 \mathrm{MeV}$ )


Timing and minimal cluster energy requirement

- Belle II calorimeter crystals are reused from Belle.
- $8736 \mathrm{CsI}(\mathrm{TI})$ crystals
- New readout electronics.
- New clustering $\rightarrow$ high luminosity environment.


Belle II MC
Cluster (timing selection, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Cluster }}>20 \mathrm{MeV}$ )


Timing and minimal cluster energy requirement

## Analysis

- $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{yA}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$
- General strategy: nothing in the event except one photon. (no tracks, other good photon clusters). Search for a bump in the recoil mass spectrum.
- Check that the ECL works properly

$$
e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma
$$




## Analysis

- $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{yA}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$
- General strategy: nothing in the event except one photon. (no tracks, other good photon clusters). Search for a bump in the recoil mass spectrum.
- Check that the ECL works properly

$$
e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} \gamma
$$




## Dark photon $\rightarrow$ invisible

## Analysis

- $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{yA}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$
- General strategy: nothing in the event except one photon. (no tracks, other good photon clusters). Search for a bump in the recoil mass spectrum.
- Backgrounds $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{y})$ and $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{yy}(\mathrm{y})$


The signal would appear as an horizontal cluster of events:
fixed energy equivalent to the $A^{\prime}$ mass, spread over all angles


## Dark photon $\rightarrow$ invisible

## Analysis

- $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{yA}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$
- General strategy: nothing in the event except one photon. (no tracks, other good photon clusters). Search for a bump in the recoil mass spectrum.
- Backgrounds $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{y})$ and $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{yy}(\mathrm{y})$


The signal would appear as an horizontal cluster of events:
fixed energy equivalent to the A' mass, spread over all angles

$$
\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{yy} \text {, endcap gaps }
$$


$\rightarrow$ The branching fraction to one neutrino species is half of the branching fraction to one charged lepton flavour. The reason is, of course, that the Z' only couples to left-handed neutrino chiralities whereas it couples to both left- and right-handed charged leptons.
$\rightarrow$ For $M_{z},<2 M_{\mu} \operatorname{Br}\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $)=1$.
$\rightarrow$ For $2 M_{\mu}<M_{Z^{\prime}}<2 M_{\tau} \operatorname{Br}\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 2$
$\rightarrow$ For $M_{z^{\prime}}>2 M_{\tau} \operatorname{Br}\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 3$

$\rightarrow$ The branching fraction to one neutrino species is half of the branching fraction to one charged lepton flavour. The reason is, of course, that the Z' only couples to left-handed neutrino chiralities whereas it couples to both left- and right-handed charged leptons.
$\rightarrow$ For $M_{z^{\prime}}<2 M_{\mu} \operatorname{Br}\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $)=1$.
$\rightarrow$ For $2 M_{\mu}<M_{Z^{\prime}}<2 M_{\tau} \operatorname{Br}\left(Z^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 2$
$\rightarrow$ For $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}>2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{T}} \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 3$


Rough projection to Belle II luminosity preliminary studies are ongoing
$\rightarrow$ The branching fraction to one neutrino species is half of the branching fraction to one charged lepton flavour. The reason is, of course, that the Z' only couples to left-handed neutrino chiralities whereas it couples to both left- and right-handed charged leptons.
$\rightarrow$ For $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}^{\prime}}<2 \mathrm{M}_{\mu} \mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $)=1$.
$\rightarrow$ For $2 \mathrm{M}_{\mu}<\mathrm{M}_{Z^{\prime}}<2 \mathrm{M}_{\tau} \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 2$
$\rightarrow$ For $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}}>\mathbf{> 2 M _ { \tau }} \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{\prime} \rightarrow\right.$ invisible $) \sim 1 / 3$

## Invisible Y(1S) Decays @ Belle II

$Y(n S)$ : bound state of a $b$ quark and $a b$ antiquark

$$
\frac{B R(Y(1 S) \rightarrow \nu \bar{v})}{B R\left(Y(1 S) \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)}=\frac{27 G^{2} M_{Y(1 S)}^{4}}{64 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2}}\left(-1+\frac{4}{3} \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}\right)^{2}=4.14 \times 10^{-4}
$$

$$
B R(Y(1 S) \rightarrow v \bar{v}) \sim 9.9 \times 10^{-6}
$$

Belle2 Simulation
$Y(3 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi-Y(1 S)$,
$Y(1 S) \rightarrow v \nu$
Charge=1, $\quad$ PDG=211 ( $\mathrm{pi}+$ )
$\mathbf{p T}=0,420365, \quad \mathbf{p Z}=0.000692372$
$\mathrm{V}=(-0,00,-0,00,-0+03)$
Mother + MCParticles[0] (Upsilon(35))
$\rightarrow$ In absence of new physics enhancement, Belle2 should be able to observe the $S M Y(1 S) \rightarrow V v$
$\sim 900 \mathrm{MeV}$ available for $P_{\pi \pi}$
$M_{Y(3 S)}=10.355 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}, \quad M_{Y(2 s)}=10.023 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}, \quad M_{Y(1 s)}=9.460 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}$
Charge $=-1$, PDG=-211 (pi-)
pT=0.344016, $\mathbf{p Z}=0.118851$
$\psi=(-0.00,-0.00,-0.03)$
Mother: MCParticles[0] (Upsilon(35))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \longrightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Y(3 S) \\
& \downarrow \text { (4.4\%) } \\
& \begin{array}{cc}
\longrightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Y(2 S) \\
& \downarrow(18.1 \%) \\
Y(2 S) & \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} Y(1 S) \\
& \downarrow \\
Y(1 S) & \rightarrow \text { invisible }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Invisible Y(1S) Decays @ Belle II

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{B R(Y(1 S) \rightarrow v \bar{v})}{B R\left(Y(1 S) \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)}=\frac{27 G^{2} M_{Y(1 S)}^{4}}{64 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2}}\left(-1+\frac{4}{3} \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}\right)^{2}=4.14 \times 10^{-4} \\
B R(Y(1 S) \rightarrow v \bar{v}) \sim 9.9 \times 10^{-6}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Low mass dark matter particles however might might play a role in the decays of $Y(1 S)$, having $Y(1 S) \rightarrow X X$ if kinematic allowed. [Phys. Rev. D 80, 115019, 2009]
$\rightarrow$ Also, new mediators ( $Z^{\prime}, A^{0}, h^{0}$ ) or SUSY particles might enhance $\mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{vv}(\mathrm{y})$. [Phys. Rev. D 81, 054025, 2010]
$\rightarrow$ In absence of new physics enhancement, Belle2 should be able to observe the $S M Y(1 S) \rightarrow V V$

A signal of $\mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \rightarrow$ invisible is an excess of events over the background in the $M_{r}$ distribution at a mass equivalent to that of the $\mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S})\left(9.460 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)$

$$
M_{r}^{2}=s+M_{\pi^{+} \pi}-2 \sqrt{s} E_{\pi^{*} \pi}^{C M S}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \longrightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Y(3 S) \\
& \downarrow \text { (4.4\%) } \\
& Y(3 S) \rightarrow \underset{\downarrow}{\pi^{+} \pi^{-} Y(1 S)} \\
& Y(1 S) \rightarrow \text { invisible } \\
& \longrightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Y(2 S) \\
& \downarrow \text { (18.1\%) } \\
& Y(2 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} Y(1 S) \\
& \downarrow \\
& Y(1 S) \rightarrow \text { invisible }
\end{aligned}
$$

Belle2 Simulation
$Y(3 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} Y(1 S)$,
$Y(1 S) \rightarrow v$
Charge $=1, \quad \mathrm{PDG}=211$ (pi+)
$\mathbf{P T}=0+420365, \quad \mathbf{P Z}=0.000692372$
$\mathrm{Y}=(-0,00,-0+00,-0+03)$
Mother + MCParticles[0] (Upsilon(35))

Charge $=-1, \quad \mathbf{P D G}=-211$ (pi-)
$\mathbf{p T}=0.344016, \quad \mathbf{p Z}=0.118851$
$\mathrm{V}=(-0,00,-0,00,-0,03)$
Mother + MCParticles[0] (Upsilon(35))

## Trigger Considerations

## $\mathrm{Y}(3 \mathrm{~S}) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S})$ <br> $$
\mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}
$$




Too low efficiency with usual condition ( $>135^{\circ}$ )
$\rightarrow$ Higher efficiency with looser condition $\rightarrow$ Special trigger condition was implemented ( $\sim 850 \mathrm{~Hz}$, twice as usual condition)


Single track trigger was implemented, too with $1 / 500$ pre-scale rate (pt>250 MeV/c)

2-track trigger \& 1-track trigger 1-track trigger for efficiency monitoring


## Invisible Y(1S) Decays: Signal or Background?

$$
M_{r}^{2}=s+M_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}-2 \sqrt{s} E_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{C M S}
$$


[babar]: http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.2840
(2 months running @ Y(3S))


$$
M_{r}^{2}=s+M_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}-2 \sqrt{s} E_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{C M S}
$$



No signal was observed over the expected background and upper limits have been obtained: $\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{VV})<3 \times 10^{-4}$ (BaBar) and $\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{VV})<3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ (Belle).

At Belle 2 one would expect to collect $>200 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ of data @ $\mathrm{Y}(3 \mathrm{~S})$ (ongoing discussion for $Y(2 S)$ data taking and trigger) allowing one to reconstruct between 30 and 300 events, assuming $10^{-5}(\mathrm{SM})<\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow$ invisible $)<10^{-4}(\mathrm{NP})$ and Belle efficiencies.

$$
M_{r}^{2}=s+M_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}-2 \sqrt{s} E_{\pi+\pi^{-}}^{C M S}
$$


[babar]: http://arxiv.org/abs/0908.2840
(2 months running @ Y(3S))


Irreducible peaking background when final states go undetected (i.e. detector supports, beampipe etc.) in the process $Y(3 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} Y(1 S), Y(1 S) \rightarrow$ undetected $f$.s.


Irreducible peaking background when final states go undetected (i.e. detector supports, beampipe etc.) in the process $Y(3 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{+} Y(1 S), Y(1 S) \rightarrow$ undetected $f$.s.

## Invisible Y(1S) Decays @ Belle II: Expected Yields

$\frac{B R(Y(1 S) \rightarrow \nu \bar{v})}{B R\left(Y(1 S) \rightarrow e^{+} e^{-}\right)}=\frac{27 G^{2} M_{Y(1 S)}^{4}}{64 \pi^{2} \alpha^{2}}\left(-1+\frac{4}{3} \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}\right)^{2}=4.14 \times 10^{-4}$

$$
B R(Y(1 S) \rightarrow v \bar{v}) \sim 9.9 \times 10^{-6}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \longrightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Y(3 S) \\
& \downarrow \text { (4.4\%) } \\
& Y(3 S) \rightarrow \underset{\downarrow}{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}} Y(1 S) \\
& Y(1 S) \rightarrow \text { invisible } \\
& \longrightarrow e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Y(2 S) \\
& \downarrow \text { (18.1\%) } \\
& Y(2 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} Y(1 S) \\
& \downarrow \\
& Y(1 S) \rightarrow \text { invisible }
\end{aligned}
$$

Belle2 Simulation
$Y(3 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi-Y(1 S)$,
$\mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{V}$
Charge=1, PDG=211 (pi+)
$\mathbf{P T}=0,420365, \mathbf{P Z}=0.000692372$
$\psi=(-0.00,-0,00,-0+03)$
Mother: MCParticles[0] (Upsilon(35))
$\rightarrow$ In absence of new physics enhancement, Belle2 should be able to strongly constrain the $\mathrm{SM} \mathrm{Y}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \rightarrow V \mathrm{~V}$

No signal was observed over the expected background and upper limits have been obtained: $\mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{VV})<3 \times 10^{-4}$ (BaBar) and $B R(Y \rightarrow v V)<3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ (Belle).

| Process | $L_{\text {int }}\left(a b^{-1}\right)$ | $\epsilon$ | $N(\Upsilon(1 S))$ | $N_{\Upsilon(1 S) \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}}$ | $N_{N P}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Upsilon(2 S) \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \Upsilon(1 S)$ | $0.2, \Upsilon(2 S)$ | $0.1-0.2$ | $2.3 \times 10^{8}$ | $230-460$ | $6900-13800$ |

## DM: The Synergy Between Theory, Direct and Collider Searches

Theory work is needed in order to connect direct and indirect searches of dark matter.
$\rightarrow$ Shown here $Y(1 S) \rightarrow X X$ vs. direct searches.
$\rightarrow$ Similar studies have performed also for dark photon dark matter (see for example J. Pradler et al. arXiv:1412.8378)




ArXiv: 1404.6599

| Name | Interaction Structure | Annihilation | Scattering |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F5 | $\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right) \bar{X} \gamma^{\mu} X \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q$ | Yes | SI |
| F6 | $\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right) \bar{X} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} X \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q$ | No | No |
| F9 | $\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right) \bar{X} \sigma^{\mu \nu} X \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu \nu} q$ | Yes | SD |
| F10 | $\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right) \bar{X} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^{5} X \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu \nu} q$ | Yes | No |
| S3 | $\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right) \imath I m\left(\phi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \phi\right) \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q$ | No | SI |
| V3 | $\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right) \imath I m\left(B_{\nu}^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} B^{\nu}\right) \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q$ | No | SI |
| V5 | $(1 / \Lambda)\left(B_{\mu}^{\dagger} B_{\nu}-B_{\nu}^{\dagger} B_{\mu}\right) \bar{q} \sigma^{\mu \nu} q$ | Yes | SD |
| V6 | $(1 / \Lambda)\left(B_{\mu}^{\dagger} B_{\nu}-B_{\nu}^{\dagger} B_{\mu}\right) \bar{q} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^{5} q$ | Yes | No |
| V7 | $\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right) B_{\nu}^{(\dagger)} \partial^{\nu} B_{\mu} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q$ | No | No |
| V9 | $\left(1 / \Lambda^{2}\right) \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} B_{\nu}^{(\dagger)} \partial_{\rho} B_{\sigma} \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q$ | No | No |

TABLE I. Effective contact operators which can mediate the decay of a $J^{P C}=1^{--}$quarkonium bound state. We also indicate if the operator can permit an $s$-wave dark matter initial state to annihilate to a quark/anti-quark pair; if so, then a bound can also be set by indirect observations of photons originating from dwarf spheroidal galaxies. Lastly, we indicate if the effective operator can mediate velocity-independent nucleon scattering which is either spin-independent (SI) or spindependent (SD).

