### Application of Segmented Semiconductor Detectors for Compton Imaging

Adam Caffrey – University of Liverpool





#### **Presentation Structure:**

- Overview of GRI+
- Parametric PSA
- Parametric PSA Results
- Database PSA
- Database PSA Validation and Results

# Overview Of GRI+

### GRI+ - Gamma-Ray Imager

GRI+ is a mobile Compton camera consisting of three semiconductor detectors in a three configuration:

- 1. Si(Li) DSSD planar detector
- 2. Ge DSSD planar detector
- 3. Coaxial HPGe detector



Si(Li) planar detector:

- 13 AC and 13 DC strips
- 5.5 mm strip pitch
- 8 mm thick 71.5 mm diameter





#### Ge planar detector:

- 12 AC and 12 DC strips
- 5 mm strip pitch
- 60 x 60 x 20 mm<sup>3</sup>





HPGe coaxial detector:

- Model GC4018
- Relative efficiency > 40 %
- 50 mm thick 66.5 mm diameter















#### Overlapping cones determine the location of a source



Image resolution is calculated as the FWHM of the Lorentzian peak from a point source



### A high-pass filter (HPF) can be applied to the slices to improve the image resolution



#### Fold-2 Event Usage

 To use events which interact twice in the absorber detector the order of events must be determined.

• The first event is used to create the cone axis.





Threshold (keV)

• Cs-137 point source 20 cm from the detector



# Parametric Pulse Shape Analysis

#### Parametric Pulse Shape Analysis (PSA)

- Interactions are localized to the segmentation produced from overlapping orthogonal strips.
- Events are assumed to interact at the center of voxels.
- This leads to errors in the positioning of the cone's asymmetry axis!
- Need to increase the segmented detector's position resolution



The asymmetry parameter distribution is split into 5 equal parts for each of the contacts. Comparison of asymmetry values with the distribution determine the location of an event



Asymmetry Parameter

#### Z PSA

 Risetime is the time required for a signal's amplitude to reach a percentage of its maximum height. Usually given relative to another risetime.

 Z-PSA was only implemented for the absorber detector, a detector scan was required.
 We have a side scan of it using a collimated Cs-137 source. T90 = t90 - t10



- The time taken for signals to reach 30, 50 and 90 percent of their max amplitude was compared to the average risetimes from interactions in known detector locations.
- Absorber interactions were localized to the millimeter depth best matching the risetimes.





# Parametric PSA Performance

#### Cs-137 point source 10 cm from the detector.







 Image resolution for a Cs-137 point source 10 cm from the detector improved from 21.26 mm to 15.03 mm.

• 30 % increase in image resolution!



#### • This is not perfect!

- The distribution of interaction depths shows non-uniform sensitivity throughout the bulk of the detector.
- There is not as much variation in risetimes at the edge of the crystal.



Depth (mm)

# Database PSA

#### **AGATA Detector Library (ADL) Database Creation**

- Pulses needed from 60 x 60 x
  20 positions through the crystal (72,000), 1 mm cubed grid
- Input electron and hole mobilities, bias voltage, relative permittivity and impurity concentrations on each face.
- Solved for a 0.2 mm cubic grid



#### **Weighting Potential**

- Calculate Electric and weighting potentials
- Weighting for one strip contact shown
- Calculated weighting potential is pretty much uniform along a contact



X-Y slice at 2 mm from DC side

Z Slice through detector

#### **Simulating Preamplifier Effects**

• Square pulse input into PSC823C preamplifier test input

 Optimization of preamplifier response function constants to simulate effect of preamplifier electronics.

$$R(t) = g \frac{1}{1-c} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1-c}{c}e^{-bt}} \right) e^{\frac{-t}{t_d}}$$



g = gain, b and c optimised. R(t) is the signal response function

# Grid Search Algorithm

#### **Fold-1 Events**

- Normalise and t30 time align all real/transient signals
- Concatenate into a super-trace
- Compare to the simulated supertraces in the 5 x 5 x 20 mm<sup>3</sup> grid using chi-squared method
- Only 500 comparisons, brute force method!







#### **Fold-2 Events**

#### A more difficult case!

- Convolution of signals
- Time alignment of database pulses, which interaction occurred first?
- 250,000 signal combinations to compare. Brute force approach unfeasible. Adaptive grid search!



Example of a fold-2,1 event

Step 1 – normalise, time align on t30 and concatenate experimental traces

Step 2 – Obtain rough time alignment estimate by shifting second pulse in time at set positions

#### Step 3 – Finely shift both pulses to obtain better estimate

Step 4 – Compare shifted pulses at set locations to determine Z interaction locations

Step 5 – At each Z, compare within the 5 by 5 mm<sup>2</sup> grid for X and Y

### Database Validation

#### Database

#### Parametric



Face	$0\mathrm{mm}$	$1 \mathrm{mm}$	$2\mathrm{mm}$	$\leq 2 \mathrm{mm}$
Database AC	42%	46%	8%	97%
Database DC	42%	43%	11%	95%
Parametric z-PSA	38%	40%	10%	89%







### Conclusion

 Successfully implemented Database and Parametric PSA algorithms to better define Compton cones -> Better image resolution

- Accurately determined the order of gamma-ray interactions in the absorber detector to use fold-2 events
- Some work is still ongoing for database PSA

### **Thanks for listening!**



Caffrey. A, Rintoul. E, Boston. A, Boston. H, Judson. D, Harkness-Brennan. L, Creswell. J, Nolan. P, Alshamiri. H, Kantalan. S, Platt. J, Woodroof. T, Unsworth. C, Holliway. F

E.Rintoul@Liverpool.ac.uk – Ellis' email for more database PSA info if needed