

# Study of the Margarita performance: a first look to the Time-of-Flight (ToF) resolution

Gaia Franciosini, Giacomo Traini

Foot meeting 17-05-2019

#### Beam test @ CNAO 2018





#### Run:

<sup>12</sup>C beam at 115 MeV/n;
<sup>12</sup>C beam at 151 MeV/n;
<sup>12</sup>C beam at 221 MeV/n;
<sup>12</sup>C beam at 280 MeV/n;
<sup>12</sup>C beam at 356 MeV/n;

The results presented hereafter are only related to the first run (115 MeV/n): the other runs are currently being processed.

#### Beam test @ CNAO 2018

#### **Electronic Setup:**

One board with 2 chips:

- 1. One for the TW: 4 readout channels (CH 1- CH 4) and one clock channel (CH 16).
- 2. One for the Margarita: 8 readout channels (CH 8-CH 15) and one clock channel (CH 17).



Only CH 1 and CH 3 are averaged in order to have two independent measures.

The arrival time on the TW is evaluated as the average arrival times measured by CH 1 and CH 3.

## Single channel arrival time evaluation



Waveforms as

The Margarita and TW signals have been fitted using the function [Fermi-Dirac distribution]:



I verified the goodness of the fit performing the  $\chi^2$  test.

## Single channel arrival time evaluation



## Single channel arrival time evaluation



## Max amplitude distribution



From the analysis of the max amplitudes histograms, obtained as the fit function peak, three different types of distributions have been obtained. Below are reported some examples:



## Max amplitude-charge correlation



#### Preliminary check: study the max amplitude-charge correlation:

8

- 1. The charge is evaluated by an integral performed on each wave function, in the same range in which the fit is performed.
- 2. The max amplitude is the one extracted by the fit (previous slide).

As an example the correlations measured between the charge and the max amplitude for CH 8 and CH 13 are reported:





#### Arrival time evaluation



In order to obtain the best configuration, each arrival time and TOF calculation has been performed using two different methods: 1. Constant fraction discriminator (CFD)

The function f, used for the fit to the Margarita output signals, is either attenuated by a **frac** factor or delayed by a **del** factor and than inverted. The f<sub>1</sub> function is then obtained from the sum of these two curves.

Using  $f_1$  the arrival time on the Margarita is set as the zero crossing point.



After a brief analysis **frac** = 0.5 and **del** = 2 ns are chosen f<sub>1</sub>

#### Arrival time evaluation



#### 2. "Simple Constant fraction"

The arrival time is chosen as the x value corresponding to the half amplitude value.



#### **Constant Fraction Discriminator and Simple Constant Fraction**



Some examples of histograms given by the difference between the average arrival time of the 8 Margarita's channels and the arrival time measured by each single channel for both methods are reported:



## Summary of the Margarita channels resolution $\sigma$



| CFD method:               | <b>CH 8</b> | 0.147 ns | CH 12        | 0.180 ns |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|
|                           | CH 9        | 0.280 ns | <b>CH 13</b> | 0.137 ns |
|                           | CH 10       | 0.247 ns | <b>CH 14</b> | 0.146 ns |
|                           | CH 11       | 0.180 ns | CH 15        | 0.135 ns |
|                           |             |          |              |          |
|                           | <b>CH 8</b> | 0.233 ns | CH 12        | 0.262 ns |
| Simple constant fraction: | CH 9        | 0.334 ns | <b>CH 13</b> | 0.211 ns |
|                           | CH 10       | 0.328 ns | <b>CH 14</b> | 0.208 ns |
|                           | CH 11       | 0.264 ns | CH 15        | 0.205 ns |

Comparing the two methods, I decided to use only the first one that results into an improvement of the time resolution.

### Time jitter: ∠Clock calculation





TW and Margarita have two different clock times, given by CH 16 and CH 17 respectively. Between them there is a time jitter. When computing the Time of Flight this **∆**Clock needs to be properly taken into account.

To fit the rising edge of the clock waveforms, the edge closest to the trig time is selected. The curve is parametrized with the Fermi-Dirac function.

Margarita: green curve TW: blue curve

$$f_c = \frac{[0]}{1 + e^{-\frac{x - [1]}{[2]}}} - [3]$$

#### Time jitter: ⊿Clock calculation





### Time jitter: ⊿Clock calculation



## **Time of Flight resolution evaluation**



TOF has been measured as the difference between the average arrival time of the 2 TW's channels and the weighted average arrival time of the 8 Margarita's channels



#### Weighted TOF:



$$\overline{TOF} = \overline{TW} - \overline{Marg}$$

\* The  $\sigma$  values are the ones presented in slide 12 for each Margarita channel.

#### **Expected Margarita Resolution**



Based on the statistics, the expected resolution for the Margarita is given by:



The Margarita time resolution can be also evaluated from the TW resolution:



\* The  $\sigma$  values are the ones presented in slide 12 for each Margarita channel.

## Margarita channels correlation



When using all the information of the Margarita (all 8 channels) we would expect a significant improvement of the ToF resolution. As this is not currently the case we have start to look at systematic effects.

We have verified that there's no correlation between the TOF measured by the channels (e.g. the plot shows the results from CH 8 and CH 9):



As all the measurements share the common TW time subtraction, we are currently checking that the subtraction is properly done and that the  $\Delta$ Clock is not introducing an unwanted correlation.

#### Conclusions



We obtained a ToF resolution equal to 96 ps. This result is still unsatisfactory as our goal is  $\sigma_{TOF} = 60 \div 70$  ps at 115 MeV/n.

For this purpose, we are working on the following items:

- 1. We need to check the impact of the last evening's fix on the obtained results;
- 2. The clock signals parametrization has to be improved, using an exponential and Heaviside function convolution. We will also try to obtain a better clock curve to fit with the Heaviside function, using the inverse Fourier transform method;
- 3. We have to look at systematic effects.



#### **SPARE SLIDES**

### Fit goodness: $\chi^2$ distribution

#### Margarita channels:



#### Max amplitude distribution



# Histogram of the maximum amplitudes, obtained from the fit *f* as the peak of the 8 Margarita signals.



#### Max amplitude distribution



