# The first image of a black hole

#### Luciano Rezzolla Institute for Theoretical Physics, Frankfurt









June 3rd, 2019

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA

## Plan of the talk

\*The first image of a black hole: M87\*

\* How do you take a picture of a BH: **observations**?

**\*** How do you take a picture of a BH: **theory**?

\* Alternatives to Einstein and to black holes



# M87, center of the Virgo cluster



# The first image of a black hole

# How was this accomplished?

#### VLBI: Very Long Baseline Interferometry





•The shorter the wavelength, the smaller the emitting source

•At I.3 mm the source becomes of the size of the horizon

mas = milli-arcsecond =  $5 \times 10^{-9}$  rad

 $\mu$ as = micro-arcsecond = 5 × 10<sup>-12</sup> rad

#### VLBI: Very Long Baseline Interferometry





# The image has soon gone around the world...



#### becoming a great resource for social media...



image in the optical





Large-scale radio map (few cm wavelengths)

>>small-scale radio map of
the core (cm wavelength)



Composite: H. Faicke (RU Nijmegen)







ENT BLACK HOLE IMAGE SOURCE: NSF

# ... to have an idea of the scales...









 $\mathcal{V}(u,v)$  : complex visibilities  $\mathcal{V}(u,v) = \int \int e^{-2\pi i (ux+vy)} I(x,y) dx dy$ 

(x, y): angular coordinates on the sky (u, v): projected baseline coordinates on the sky I(x, y): brigthness distribution

$$\mathcal{V}(f) = \int e^{-2\pi i f t} I(t) dt$$

$$\mathcal{V}(u,v) = \int \int e^{-2\pi i(ux+vy)} I(x,y) dx dy$$







As the data was collected, converted and calibrated four different imaging teams were set with the task of computing an image

The four teams used multiple software packages and were set to work blindly from each other.

All of the teams recovered a very similar images: asymmetric ring is a robust feature of the image



M87 was observed for several days (eight) and lead to four distinct images.

The images are slightly different but show again that the asymmetric ring emission is stable, as expected on these timescales.



#### Three basic steps are needed:

() **GRMHD simulations** in arbitrary spacetimes (2) ray-traced, radiative-transfer, deconvolved images (3) comparison with observations.

To do this in **BlackHoleCam**, a complex and complete computational infrastructure was developed:

# BHAC/BHOSS/GENA

C. Fromm

R. Gold Y. Mizuno H. Olivares O. Porth Z. Younsi



now UA now UCL



# BlackHoleCam: Bonn (Kramer), Frankfurt (LR), Nijmegen (Falcke)



- Frankfurt concerned with theoretical modelling of accretion flows in strong gravity (GR and alternative theories) and corresponding electromagnetic emission.
- Use observations and a complex theoretical pipeline of numerical codes (BHAC/BHOSS/GENA) to reveal properties of the spacetime.
- These codes are written to solve different sets of PDEs depending on the task considered (1, 2, 3).

System of equations to solve...  $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ , (cons. energy/momentum)  $\nabla_{\mu}(\rho u^{\mu}) = 0$ , (cons. rest mass)  $p = p(\rho, \epsilon, Y_e, \ldots)$ , (equation of state)  $\nabla_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = I^{\mu}, \qquad \nabla_{\nu}^{*}F^{\mu\nu} = 0, \text{ (Maxwell equations)}$  $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{fluid}} + T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{EM}} + \dots$  (energy – momentum tensor)

These **GRMHD** equations are solved using finite-volume methods with a variety of algorithms in **2D** and **3D**.

# In addition...

 $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \text{ (cons. energy/momentum)}$   $\nabla_{\mu}(\rho u^{\mu}) = 0, \text{ (cons. rest mass)}$   $p = p(\rho, \epsilon, Y_e, \ldots), \text{ (equation of state)}$   $\nabla_{\nu}F^{\mu\nu} = I^{\mu}, \qquad \nabla_{\nu}^{*}F^{\mu\nu} = 0, \text{ (Maxwell equations)}$   $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{fluid}} + T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{EM}} + \ldots \text{ (energy - momentum tensor)}$ 

Th the equations of general-relativistic radiative transfer (GRRT) need to be solved in the background spacetime.  $\frac{d\mathcal{I}}{d\lambda} = -k_{\mu}u^{\mu} \left(-\alpha_{\nu,0} \mathcal{I} + \frac{j_{\nu,0}}{\nu_{0}^{3}}\right) \quad (\text{radiative-transfer eq.})$  $\mathcal{I} := I_{\nu}/\nu^{3} \qquad \tau_{\nu} \left(\lambda\right) = -\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{\lambda} \alpha_{\nu,0} \left(\lambda'\right) k_{\mu}u^{\mu} d\lambda'$ 

### Which gravity?...

- Previous eqs. require background spacetime metric:  $g_{\mu
  u}(x^{lpha})$
- Field equations are not necessary as we are exploiting equivalence principle: test-particle motion
- •Testing theory of gravity not trivial if hundreds available!
- Opted for agnostic approach and built a description able to describe all theories:  $g_{\mu\nu}(x^{\alpha}) \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}(x^{\alpha}, a_i, b_i)$
- Derive generic expansion exploiting conformal mapping and rapidly converging Pade' expansion
- GR seen as a possible, reference case:  $g_{\mu\nu}(x^{\alpha}, a_i = 0 = b_i)$

LR, Zhidenko, 2014; Konoplya, LR, Zhidenko, 2016





Müller, Pössel, Weih, LR

#### shadow's size depends also on the inclination



In reality, the disk is not geometrically thin but geometrically thick, optically thin...



# Plasma dynamics: a typical GRMHD simulation...

A three-dimensional simulation of a Kerr black hole (a=0.9375) in Kerr-Schild coordinates and an MRI unstable torus would produce results of this type...



L. R. Weih & L. Rezzolla (Goethe University Frankfurt)



# Space of parameters

#### \*Plasma dynamics and properties

- black-hole spin (plasma dynamics depends on it):  $-1 < a_* < 1$
- accretion type as regulated by magnetic field (SANE o MAD)

#### \*Light dynamics and properties

- black-hole mass (sets size of the shadow)
- accretion rate
- microphysics of emission (synchrotron emission, disk/jet component)
- orientation wrt to observer (two free angles)

#### \*Information from previous observations

- black-hole mass:  $6.2 imes 10^9 \, M_\odot$  (stars) or  $3.5 imes 10^9 \, M_\odot$  (gas)
- inclination: I7° or I63°, with "position angle" 288°
- X-ray luminosity:  $4.4 \times 10^{40} \, \mathrm{erg/s}$
- jet power:  $1.0 \times 10^{42} \, \mathrm{erg/s}$

# Electron thermodynamics

- Emission of mm-long radiation is expected to be produced from synchrotron radiation processes.
- Simulations evolve temperature of bulk of fluid (ions); electron temperature undetermined.
- Thermal temperature distribution is reasonable approximation.
- $T_e$  deduced from  $T_i$  via "plasma parameter":  $\beta_p := p_{\text{gas}}/p_{\text{mag}}$

$$\frac{T_i}{T_e} = R_{\text{high}} \frac{\beta_p^2}{1 + \beta_p^2} + \frac{1}{1 + \beta_p^2}$$

Mościbrodzka+ 2016

- Electrons colder at high plasma beta (i.e., disk), warmer at low plasma beta (i.e., jet).
- $R_{\text{high}} = [1, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160]$ ; free parameter

- Given physical assumptions (spin, magnetisation), 3D
   GRMHD simulations were made: ~ 50 high-res simulations.
- From each simulation several scenarios are constructed by changing the thermodynamics of the electrons: ~ 400 scenarios.

#### Simulation library (an example...)

0 0 0  $\mathbf{O}$ C C C C C O C Ĉ  $\bigcirc$ Ō Ó C C  $\bigcirc$ Ō 

#### Simulation library (an example...)

0 0 0  $\mathbf{O}$ C C C C C O C Ĉ  $\bigcirc$ Ō Ó C C  $\bigcirc$ Ō 

- Given physical assumptions (spin, magnetisation), 3D
   GRMHD simulations were made: ~ 50 high-res simulations.
- From each simulation several scenarios are constructed by changing the thermodynamics of the electrons: ~ 400 scenarios.



#### SANE models

MAD models

 $R_{\rm high} = 10$ 

 $[GM/c^2]$ 

 $[GM/c^2]$ 

 $R_{\rm high} = 160$ 

Where do mm-long photons originate?

Kerr black hole,  $a_* = 0.94$ 

MAD: mostly from the equatorial plane

SANE: can switch from equatorial plane to funnel wall



 $R_{\rm high} = 10$ 

#### $R_{\rm high} = 160$

Where do mm-long photons originate?

Kerr black hole,  $a_* = -0.94$ 

MAD: mostly but not only from the equatorial plane

SANE: equatorial plane is essentially depleted



# Image is combination of emissions...

- Image decomposed in: midplane, nearside, and farside
- •MAD: midplane emission always dominates
- SANE with low R<sub>high</sub>: midplane emission dominates
- SANE with high Rhigh: farside emission dominates



- Given physical assumptions (spin, magnetisation), 3D
   GRMHD simulations were made: ~ 50 high-res simulations.
- From each simulation several scenarios are constructed by changing the thermodynamics of the electrons: ~ 400 scenarios.



#### SANE models

#### MAD models

From each scenario synthetic images are constructed after radiative transfer and light bending: ~ 60,000 images.
Genetic algorithms and MCMC pipelines find best match.

# Fitting the images to the data

visibility amplitude (VA)

> Closure phase (CP)

GRMHD image (left) and convolved image (right)



Fromm, Younsi, LR

# Fitting the images to the data

visibility amplitude (VA)

> Closure phase (CP)

GRMHD image (left) and convolved image (right)



Fromm, Younsi, LR

# Fitting the images to the data

visibility amplitude (VA)

> Closure phase (CP)

GRMHD image (left) and convolved image (right)



Fromm, Younsi, LR

Note that the match is found in the visibility space.

In the image space this would correspond to searching a face in a stadium full of people...

# original image

test image 0



Top-10 best matches

The match is found in the visibility space, but can also be found in image space.

In the image space this would correspond to searching a face in a stadium full of people...



#### OBSERVATIONS

#### THEORETICAL MODEL



Degeneracies present in physical conditions and scenarios.
 Good: robustness of conclusions (BHs produce ring)
 Bad: more accurate observations to determine BH spin

#### Ring Asymmetry and Black Hole Spin Conclusions on the spin can still be drawn if one combines "other" information on jet power and orientation



#### Ring Asymmetry and Black Hole Spin





# What we measured...

Estimate
$42\pm3~\mu{ m as}$
${<}20~\mu{ m as}$
>10:1
<4:3
$150^{\circ}-200^{\circ}$ east of north
$3.8\pm0.4~\mu{ m as}$
$11^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$
$(6.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^9  M_{\odot}$
Prior Estimate
$(16.8 \pm 0.8) \text{ Mpc}$
$6.2^{+1.1}_{-0.6} imes 10^9M_{\odot}$
$3.5^{+0.9}_{-0.3} imes10^9M_{\odot}$

# Moving away from Kerr black holes: accretion onto a dilaton black hole

nature astronomy

The shadow of a black hole

Mizuno+ 2018

# Dilaton vs Kerr black hole

- Fair comparison requires that basic features of the flow are matched.
- •Three most important are: horizon radius, photon orbit, ISCO
- In general, larger dilaton parameter reduces horizon radius, photon orbit, and ISCO (cf. spin in Kerr).



 Different matches possible but ISCO is most critical since most of the emission comes from around ISCO.

# GRMHD simulations



Dilaton



3D GRMHD simulations of magnetized torus with a weak poloidal magnetic field loop accreting onto Kerr BH (a=0.6) and ISCO-matched dilaton BH (b=0.5)



#### magnetization



• Overall plasma behaviour is very similar.

 Main difference is in the high magnetization region (funnel) but not easy to deduce from observations

ct. Sgr A\*

convolved GRRT images; emission features smeared by beam; crescent reveals presence of BH.

BSMEM reconstructed image with scattering; again, presence of a crescent reveals BH.



Overall, at present not possible to distinguish the two BHs

# Moving away from Kerr black holes: accretion onto a **boson star**



Olivares+ 2019

#### Accretion onto a boson star

Self-gravitating horizonless compact objects composed of scalar field (boson stars) have long since been considered potential candidates for Sgr A\* (dark-matter cusp).

Previous work has considered whether emission from boson stars can be distinguished from that of a black hole.

- \*Using spectral features: **not possible to distinguish** (Guzman+ 2010)
- \*Using shadow image of a boson star surrounded by torus: **not possible to distinguish** (Vincent+ 2016).

These works did not consider effects of accretion.

• We performed first GRMHD simulations of accreting nonrotating boson stars.



L.Weih, H. Olivares, LR

Simulations show considerable differences in the dynamics of the accretion flow.

In the case of the boson star, matter reaches very close to the origin, forming a stalled accretion torus (MRI is quenched).

#### density (x,z)

density (x,y)



#### \*compactness is quite high: $C_{95} := M_{95}/R_{95} = 0.11$



\*Mass-accretion rate: positive for black hole but oscillating for boson star.
\*Oscillations produced by stalled torus; correspond to epicyclic frequency.
\*No evacuated funnel in polar region in the case of boson star.
\*Slow wind flowing from hot and dense interior: no jet from boson star.

• Left: GRRT images; sharp emission from photon ring visible for BH.

 Right: reconstructed image with scattering and conditions of EHT 2017 campaign.



Reconstructed images shows differences, both in size and structure BH image exhibits crescent; boson star emission from inner regions. **Overall, from images alone it is possible to distinguish them** 

## Conclusions

**\*BlackHoleCam** covers all aspects of these observations, has played a major role in the EHT campaign and analysis.

\*Accretion onto Kerr black holes has been explored extensively in various physical and thermodynamical regimes.

\*Exploration of accretion onto alternatives to Kerr BHs has started: boson stars can be distinguished, other BHs cannot.

\*EHT results have provided first evidence existence of SMBHs and boosted our understanding of accretion in strong gravity.

The ability to perform VLBI observations of SMBHs has opened new era of astrophysics. Much more to come!