Jet as a probe to nucleon tomography (at small-x)

Feng Yuan Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory





Big questions for EIC

- How are the sea quarks and gluons, and their spins, distributed in space and momentum inside the nucleon? How are these quark and gluon distributions correlated with overall nucleon properties, such as spin direction? What is the pole of the orbital motion of sea quarks and gluons in building the nucleon spin?
- Where does the saturation of gluon densities set in? Is there a simple boundary and a that separates this region from that of more dilute quark-gluon matter? do the distributions of quarks and gluons change as one crosses the bound this saturation produce matter of universal properties in the nucleon and altitude viewed at nearly the speed of light?
- How does the nuclear environment affect the distribution of quarks and • gluons and their interactions in nuclei? How does the transverse spatial distribution of gluons compare to that in the nucleon? How does nuclear to a fast moving color charge passing through it? Is this response different for light and heavy quarks? 5/25/22

 $\ln O^2$

 $\alpha_{\rm S} \ll 1$

Unified view of the Nucleon

□ Wigner distributions (Belitsky, Ji, Yuan)



Zoo of TMDs & GPDs



- NOT directly accessible
- Their extractions require measurements of x-sections and asymmetries in a large kinematic domain of x_B, t, Q² (GPD) and x_B,
 P_a, Q², z (TMD)

What do we learn

- 3D Imaging of partons inside the nucleon (non-trivial correlations)
 - Try to answer more detailed questions as Rutherford was doing for atomic matter more than 100 years ago
- QCD dynamics involved in these processes
 - Transverse momentum distributions: universality, factorization, evolutions,...
 - Small-x resummation: BFKL and Sudakov



5/25/22

Jet @EIC has been very active in recent years

- Contribute to explore
 - Spin/tomography of nucleon
 - □ Small-x gluon saturation
 - □ Hard probe interaction with cold nuclei matter
- QCD dynamics in precision study
 - \Box E.g., jet substructure to measure α_s , jet algorithms, jet angularity, hadronization, energy-energy correlators, etc.
- Observables:

Leading jet/hadron, dijet/dihadron, jet substructure, ...



This talk will focus: small-x gluon tomography



Hatta-Xiao-Yuan,1601.01585 earlier: Mueller, NPB 1999



Semi-inclusive process: DIS dijet probes gluon TMDs



qt-dependence measure the gluon distribution

□ Weizsacker-Williams gluon distribution in nucleus (CGC predictions)

Various channels at the EIC: heavy flavor production, real and virtual photon

Dominguez-Marquet-Xiao-Yuan 2011

5/25/22

Example: Gluon Sivers function at EIC





5/25/22

Nucleon/Nucleus Tomography at Small-x





⇒ Anisotropy ~ few %

Hatta-Xiao-Yuan,1601.01585

cos(2¢) anisotropy

- In the Breit frame, by measuring the recoil of final state proton, one can access Δ_T. By measuring jets momenta, one can approximately access q_T.
- The diffractive dijet cross section is proportional to the square of the Wigner distribution → nucleon/nucleus tomography

$$x\mathcal{W}_g^T(x, |\vec{q}_\perp|, |\vec{b}_\perp|) + 2\cos(2\phi)x\mathcal{W}_g^\epsilon(x, |\vec{q}_\perp|, |\vec{b}_\perp|)$$



This has generated a lot of interests...



1912.05586, 1902.05087; Mäntysaari-Roy-Salazar-Schenke 2011.02464 11

BERKELEY LAB

However, life is more complicated, 😕

Gluon radiation adds additional complications

- Because final state jet carries color, soft gluon contribution will modify the intuitive and simple picture
- Nontrivial azimuthal angular correlations can come from soft gluon radiation, Hatta-Xiao-Yuan-Zhou 2021
- On the other hand, gluon radiation offers a unique opportunity to study different perspective of gluon saturation, lancu-Mueller-Triantafyllopoulos, 2112.06353
 We have a direct way to compute the so-called diffractive parton distributions



Hard diffractive processes





HERA Legacy: Newman-Wing, Rev. Mod. Phys. 86, 1037(2014)

5/25/22

Semi-inclusive Diffractive DIS

Hatta, Xiao, Yuan, 2205.08060



Study the flavor dependence in the diffractive PDFs

TMD dependence can be measured

Similar to TMDs in SIDIS (Piet et al 96 and 98), with additional correlation between k and Δ.

However, they differ from the GTMD introduced by Metz and friends

Compute the diffractive PDFs

- Definition is similar to TMDs for non-diffractive processes
- Requires large rapidity gap/color-singlet exchange



$$2E_{P'}\frac{df_q^D(x,k_{\perp};x_{I\!\!P},t)}{d^3P'} = \int \frac{d\xi^- d^2\xi_{\perp}}{2(2\pi)^6} e^{-ix\xi^- P^+ + i\vec{\xi}_{\perp}\cdot\vec{k}_{\perp}}$$

$$\times \langle PS|\overline{\psi}(\xi)\mathcal{L}_n^{\dagger}(\xi)\gamma^+|P'X\rangle\langle P'X|\mathcal{L}_n(0)\psi(0)|PS\rangle , \quad (1)$$

$$5/25/22 \qquad 15$$

$$\frac{d\left[xf_{q}^{D}(\beta,k_{\perp};x_{I\!\!P})\right]}{dY_{I\!\!P}dt} = \int d^{2}k_{1\perp}d^{2}k_{2\perp}\mathcal{F}_{x_{I\!\!P}}(k_{1\perp},\Delta_{\perp}) \\
\times \mathcal{F}_{x_{I\!\!P}}(k_{2\perp},\Delta_{\perp})\frac{N_{c}\beta}{2\pi}\mathcal{T}_{q}(k_{\perp},k_{1\perp},k_{2\perp}) .$$
(3)
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-1}} \int \frac{k'_{1\perp}\cdot k'_{2\perp}k_{\perp}^{2}}{[\beta k_{\perp}^{2}+(1-\beta)k'_{2\perp}]} \int \frac{k'_{1\perp}\cdot k'_{2\perp}k_{\perp}^{2}}{[\beta k_{\perp}^{2}+(1-\beta)k'_{2\perp}]} \\
+ \mathcal{F}_{x}(q_{\perp},\Delta_{\perp}) = \int \frac{d^{2}b_{\perp}d^{2}r_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^{4}}e^{iq_{\perp}\cdot r_{\perp}+i\Delta_{\perp}\cdot b_{\perp}} \\
\times \frac{1}{N_{c}} \left\langle \operatorname{Tr}\left[U\left(b_{\perp}+\frac{r_{\perp}}{2}\right)U^{\dagger}\left(b_{\perp}-\frac{r_{\perp}}{2}\right)\right]\right\rangle_{x}$$



5/25/22

$$\frac{d\left[xf_{g}^{D}(\beta,k_{\perp};x_{I\!\!P})\right]}{dY_{I\!\!P}dt} = \int d^{2}k_{1\perp}d^{2}k_{2\perp}\mathcal{G}_{x_{I\!\!P}}(k_{1\perp},\Delta_{\perp}) \\ \times \mathcal{G}_{x_{I\!\!P}}(k_{2\perp},\Delta_{\perp})\frac{N_{c}^{2}-1}{\pi(1-\beta)}\mathcal{T}_{g}(k_{\perp},k_{1\perp},k_{2\perp}) , \qquad (6)$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}_{x_{I\!\!P}}(k_{2\perp},\lambda_{\perp},k_{2\perp})} = \frac{1}{[\beta k_{\perp}^{2}+(1-\beta)k_{1\perp}^{\prime 2}]} \\ \times \frac{1}{[\beta k_{\perp}^{2}+(1-\beta)k_{2\perp}^{\prime 2}]} \left[\beta(1-\beta)\frac{k_{1\perp}^{\prime 2}+k_{2\perp}^{\prime 2}}{2} + (1-\beta)^{2}(k_{1\perp}^{\prime}\cdot k_{2\perp}^{\prime})^{2} + \beta^{2}\frac{(k_{\perp}^{2})^{2}}{2}\right] .$$



5/25/22

Transverse momentum dependence



Integral over transverse momentum

 $\mathcal{D}_q(\beta) = \beta \left(b_1 (1-\beta) + b_2 (1-\beta)^2 \right) \quad \mathcal{D}_q(\beta) = (a_0 + a_1 \beta) (1-\beta)^2$



19

Compare to the Pomeron PDFs extracted from the HERA measurements



reee

Momentum sum rule





5/25/22

An interesting observation

- Integrating over transverse momentum change the power behavior at large-x
 - $D_q \to 4\beta^3 (1-\beta)^2 \left(Q_s^2/k_\perp^2\right)^2$ $\longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_q(\beta) = \beta \left(b_1(1-\beta) + b_2(1-\beta)^2\right)$
- Kt integral is dominated by lower kt region around Qs



5/25/22

Azimuthal Angular Asymmetries from the Soft Gluon Radiation Associated with Jet

Hatta, Xiao, Yuan, Zhou, arXiv: 2010.10774; arXiv: 2106.05307



5/25/22

Soft gluon radiations can generate an
azimuthal asymmetryCatani-Grazzini-Sargsyan 2017

- Azimuthal angular asymmetries arise from soft gluon radiations
 - φ is defined as angle between total and different transverse momenta of the two final state particles
- Infrared safe but divergent
 - <cos(φ)>, <cos(2φ)>, ... divergent, ~1/q_T²
 Examples discussed include Vj, top quark pair production





Diffractive dijet production

Gluon radiation tends to be aligned with the jet direction

$$S_{J}(q_{\perp}) = \delta(q_{\perp}) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi^{2}} \int dy_{g} \left(\frac{k_{1} \cdot k_{2}}{k_{1} \cdot k_{g}k_{2} \cdot k_{g}}\right)_{\vec{q}_{\perp} = -\vec{k}_{g_{\perp}}}$$

$$S_{J0}(|q_{\perp}|) + 2\cos(2\phi)S_{J2}(|q_{\perp}|) + \cdots$$

Hatta-Xiao-Yuan-Zhou, 2010.10774, 2106.05307 anisotropy was neglected in an earlier paper: Hatta-Mueller-Ueda-Yuan, 1907.09491



5/25/22

Leading power contributions, explicit result at α_s

$$egin{aligned} S_J(q_\perp) &= S_{J0}(|q_\perp|) + 2\cos(2\phi)S_{J2}(|q_\perp|) \ &S_{J0}(q_\perp) &= \delta(q_\perp) + rac{lpha_0}{\pi}rac{1}{q_\perp^2} \;, \;\;\; S_{J2}(q_\perp) = rac{lpha_2}{\pi}rac{1}{q_\perp^2} \;, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$lpha_0 = rac{lpha_s C_F}{2\pi} 2 \ln rac{a_0}{R^2} , \quad lpha_2 = rac{lpha_s C_F}{2\pi} 2 \ln rac{a_2}{R^2}$$

 a_0, a_2 are order 1 constants, so,



in the small-R limit, $\langle \cos(2\phi) \rangle$ goes to 1

All order resummation, in Fourier-b space





Compare to recent CMS measurement



Michael Murray DIS 2022



5/25/22

Comments

To avoid the soft gluon radiation contribution, we need to reconstruct nucleon/nucleus recoil momentum to study the tomography





5/25/22

Lepton-jet correlation in DIS



Quark distribution⊗soft factor

 $\begin{aligned} \frac{d^5 \sigma(\ell p \to \ell' J)}{dy_\ell d^2 k_{\ell\perp} d^2 q_\perp} &= \sigma_0 \int d^2 k_\perp d^2 \lambda_\perp x f_q(x, k_\perp, \zeta_c, \mu_F) \\ \times H_{\text{TMD}}(Q, \mu_F) S_J(\lambda_\perp, \mu_F) \,\delta^{(2)}(q_\perp - k_\perp - \lambda_\perp) \;. \end{aligned}$

Liu-Ringer-Vogelsang-Yuan 1812.08077, 2007.12866

(Lab frame)

Total transverse momentum of the lepton+jet probes the TMD quark distribution



Soft gluon radiation

$$g^{2} \int \frac{d^{3}k_{g}}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{k_{g}}} \delta^{(2)}(q_{\perp} + k_{g\perp})C_{F}S_{g}(k_{J}, p_{1})$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi^{2}} \frac{1}{q_{\perp}^{2}} \left[\ln \frac{Q^{2}}{q_{\perp}^{2}} + \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{k_{\ell\perp}^{2}} + c_{0} + 2c_{1}\cos(\phi) + 2c_{2}\cos(2\phi) + \cdots \right],$$

$$\frac{k}{p_1} \frac{k_J}{k_g} \frac{k_J}{k_g}$$

$$S_g(k_J, p_1) = \frac{2k_J \cdot p_1}{k_J \cdot k_g p_1 \cdot k_g}$$

н.

Small-R limit,

rerer

BERKELEY LAD

$$\ln\frac{1}{R^2} + 2\cos(\phi)\left(\ln\frac{1}{R^2} + 2\ln(4) - 2\right) + 2\cos(2\phi)\left(\ln\frac{1}{R^2} - 1\right)$$
5/25/22

Final result depends on the quark TMD

$$\frac{d^{5}\sigma}{dy_{\ell}d^{2}k_{\ell\perp}d^{2}q_{\perp}} = \sum_{n=1}^{2} 2\cos(n\phi) \int \frac{b_{\perp}db_{\perp}}{(2\pi)} J_{n}(|q_{\perp}||b_{\perp}|) \times e^{-\operatorname{Sud}} \sum_{q} \sigma_{0}x_{q}f_{q}(x_{q},\mu_{b})$$
(15)
$$\times \int d|q_{\perp}'|J_{n}(|b_{\perp}||q_{\perp}'|) \frac{C_{F}\alpha_{s}c_{n}(q_{\perp}'^{2})}{|q_{\perp}'|\pi}.$$
Sud $(\mu_{b}^{2}, P_{\perp}^{2}, R) = \int_{\mu_{b}}^{Q} \frac{d\mu}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu)C_{F}}{\pi} \left[\ln \frac{Q^{2}}{\mu^{2}} + \ln \frac{Q^{2}}{P_{\perp}^{2}} - \frac{3}{2} + c_{0}(R) \right] \right\},$ (14)

Estimate for EIC 0.6 0.4 kinematics 0.2 0.0 0.0

TMD quark follows SIYY parameterization 1406.3073 Sud(h_{+}) \rightarrow Sud(h_{-}) \pm Sud^q





Inclusive Dijet in DIS

Cos(2¢) anisotropy was proposed to study the linearly polarized gluon distribution



CGC calculation: Dumitru-Lappi-Skokov, 1508.04438

see also, Boer-Brodsky-Mulders-Pisano 1011.4225 Metz-Zhou, 1105.1991 Boer et al., 1702.08195, 1605.07934 Mantysaari et al., 1902.05087, 1912.05586

34

Three contributions to $cos(2\phi)$ asymmetry

$$\frac{d^4\sigma}{d\Omega} = \sigma_0 \int \frac{d^2 \vec{b}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\vec{q}_\perp \cdot \vec{b}_\perp} \left[\widetilde{W}_0^{\gamma^* p}(|b_\perp|) - 2\cos(2\phi_b) \widetilde{W}_2^{\gamma^* p}(|b_\perp|) \right]$$

$$\widetilde{W}_{0}^{\gamma^{*}p}(b_{\perp}) = x_{g} f_{g}(x_{g}, \mu_{b}) e^{-\operatorname{Sud}_{\operatorname{pert}}^{\gamma^{*}p}(b_{\star}) - \operatorname{Sud}_{\operatorname{NP}}^{\gamma^{*}p}(b_{\perp})}$$

$$\widetilde{W}_{2}^{\gamma^{*}p}(b_{\perp}) = e^{-\operatorname{Sud}_{\operatorname{pert}}^{\gamma^{*}p}(b_{\star}) - \operatorname{Sud}_{\operatorname{NP}}^{\gamma^{*}p}(b_{\perp})}$$

$$\times \left[x_{g} f_{g}(x_{g}, \mu_{b}) \left(\alpha_{2}^{\gamma g} + \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{0}} g_{h}(b_{\perp}) \right) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma_{2}}{\sigma_{0}} \int \frac{dx'}{x'} x_{g} f_{i}(x', \mu) C_{h/i}^{(1)} \left(\frac{x_{g}}{x'} \right)$$

$$= \text{Two loop calculation:}$$

$$Gutierrez-Reyes, et a$$

$$1907.03780$$

al.

- Numerically, contribution from soft gluon with jet is sizable
 - □ This can also be studied in real photon scattering process, where there is no linearly polarized gluon contribution
- The difference between the transverse and longitudinal photons purely comes from the linearly polarized gluon distribution

$$\frac{\sigma_2^L}{\sigma_0^L} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \qquad \frac{\sigma_2^T}{\sigma_0^T} = -\frac{\epsilon_f^2 P_\perp^2}{\epsilon_f^4 + P_\perp^4}$$



5/25/22

Conclusion

 Small-x physics provides a unique opportunity to explore nucleon tomography through parton Wigner distributions
 Unified description with dipole amplitude starts to emerge

- Further developments are needed to explore the full potential of the future electron-ion collider
 - More processes to probe the dipole amplitudes, including its spindependence
 - □ Soft gluon radiation can generate a sizable azimuthal asymmetry, offering challenges and opportunities



Numerically, contribution from soft gluon with jet is sizable, Q=10GeV, P_T =15GeV, R=0.4



The difference between the above two purely comes from the linearly polarized gluon distribution







BERKELEY



Re-analysis of HERA Data

