Prospects for high-luminosity fixed-target physics at the LHC

L. Massacrier Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay





Second LHCb Heavy Ion Workshop, 3-6 Sep. 2019, Chia, Italy

Outline

Context and framework

- Advantages of the fixed target mode versus the collider mode
- □ Physics motivations for a high-luminosity fixed-target programme at the LHC
 - \succ Explore the high-momentum fraction x frontier in nucleons and nuclei
 - Spin and 3D nucleon structure
 - Heavy ion physics at large rapidity
- Technological solutions and detector contraints
- A selection of physics projections for a high-luminosity fixed-target programme

Context and framework

□ First expressions of interest from individuals towards a fixed-target programme at the LHC started in ~ 2011 :

- > A. Kurepin et al., «<u>Charmonium production in fixed-target experiments with SPS and LHC beams at CERN</u>», M.B. Phys. Atom. Nuclei (2011) 74: 446
- S.J. Brodsky et al., "Physics opportunities of a fixed target experiment using LHC beams", Phys. Rep. 522 (2013) 239-255

- Creation of a study group <u>AFTER@LHC</u> in 2013 with ~ 30 colleagues (theorists, members of experimental collaborations : ALICE, LHCb, STAR, COMPASS)
- □ First pilot runs (2012/2013) of fixed-target collisions in LHCb with the SMOG system allowing to inject noble gas inside the Vertex Locator (VELO) of LHCb
- Publication of a special issue on «<u>Physics at a fixed-target experiment using the LHC beams</u>» by AFTER@LHC members (Adv. High Energy Phys., Vol 2015)
- Review from the AFTER@LHC study group (2018) covering physics motivation, possible technical implementation, detector considerations (LHCb and ALICE), simulation/projections for several observables: <u>arXiv: 807.00603</u>

A Fixed-Target Programme at the LHC: Physics Case and Projected Performances for Heavy-Ion, Hadron, Spin and Astroparticle Studies

C. Hadjidakis^{4,1}, D. Kikola^{3,1}, J.P. Lansberg^{4,1,*}, L. Massacrier^{4,1}, M.G. Echevarrie^{1,2}, A. Kusina^{4,2}, I. Schienbein^{4,2}, J. Seixa^{4,6,2}, H.S. Shao^{6,2}, A. Signori^{1,2}, B. Traceiak^{1,2}, S.J. Brodsdy², G. Cavoto¹, C. Da Silva⁴¹, F. Donato⁴, E.G. Ferreiro⁴⁹, I. Hřivnáčová⁴, A. Klein⁶¹, A. Kurpin⁴, C. Loreć⁴, F. Lyonnet⁴, Y. Makdis¹, S. Forteboeuf⁴, C. Quintans⁴, A. Rakotozafindrab^{5,4}, P. Robbe⁴, W. Scandale⁴, N. Topliskay^{3,4}, A. Uras¹, J. Wagne⁴, N. Yamana^{4,4}, Z. Yang⁴¹, Z. Zelensk⁴

*IPNO, CNRS-IN2P3, Univ. Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91406 Orsay Cedex, France ^bFaculty of Physics, Warsaw University of Technology, ul. Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Pavia, via Bassi 6, 27100 Pavia, Italy ⁴Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, PL-31342 Krakow, Poland ⁴Laboratoire de Physiaue Subatomiaue et de Cosmolopie. Université Grenoble Alnes, CNRS/IN2P3, 53 Avenue des Martyrs. F-38026 Grenoble, France Dep. Fisica, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa. Portugal LIP, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto, 2, 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal LPTHE, UMR 7589, Sorbonne Universityé et CNRS, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France Theory Center Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, 12000 Jefferson Avenue, Newnart News, VA 23606, USA Institute for Subatomic Physics, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands *SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA "Sapienza" Università di Roma, Dipartimento di Fisica & INFN, Sez. di Roma, P.le A. Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy "P-25, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA *Turin University, Department of Physics, and INFN, Sezione of Turin, Turin, Italy Dept. de Física de Partículas & IGFAE, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain *Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Palaiseau, France *Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia 'CPHT, École Polytechnique, CNRS, 91128 Palaiseau, France Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX 75275, USA Brookhaven National Laboratory, Collider Accelerator Department *Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS/IN2P3, LPC, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France 'IRFU/DPhN, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France *LAL, Université Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Orsay, Franco *CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, 1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland ⁹IPNL, Université Claude Bernard Lyon-I and CNRS-IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France National Centre for Nuclear Research (NCBJ), Hota 69, 00-681, Warsaw, Poland "Center for High Energy Physics, Department of Engineering Physics, Tringhua University, Beijing, Chin

Abstract

20

ž

6

ex]

Xiv:1807.00603v2

We review the context, the motivations and the expected performances of a comprehensive and ambitious fixed-target program using the multi-TeV proton and ion LHC beams. We also provide a deallated account of the different possible technical implementations ranging from an internal wire target to a full dedicated beam line extracted with a bent crystal. The possibilities offered by the use of the ALICE and LHCb detectors in the fixed-target mode are also reviewed.

'Corresponding author	
Editor	
² Section editor	
enciet submitted to Physics Renorts	November 12 2018

Context and framework

The high-luminosity fixed-target projects are discussed within the Physics Beyond Collider (PBC) initiative from CERN since 2016

Deliverable of the PBC also served as input to the next update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics

- Physics Beyond Collider: QCD Working Group Report (A. Dainese et al., <u>arXiv:1901.04482</u>)
- Summary Report of Physics Beyond Colliders at CERN (R.Alemany et al., <u>arXiv:1902.00260</u>)
- CERN-PBC-Notes: e.g. 2019-003, 2019-002, 2019-001, 2018-008, 2018-007, 2018-003, 2018-001
- Summary by the PBC LHC FT Working Group: <u>2019-001</u> (yet to appear)
- □ 3 contributions in favor of a high-luminosity fixed-target programme submitted to ESPP :
 - Physics opportunities for a fixed-target programme in the ALICE experiment (F. Gallucio et al., ID47) -> I I authors
 - Community support for a fixed-target programme for the LHC (J. D. Bjorken et al., ID66) -> endorsed by 148 physicists
 - \blacktriangleright The LHCSpin project (C. Aidal et al., ID [[]) \rightarrow 3 [authors

Advantages of the fixed-target mode versus the collider mode

□ Several unique assets of the fixed-target mode w.r.t to the collider mode:

> Accessing the high Feynman- x_F domain ($x_F = p_z/p_{zmax} = x_1-x_2$)



→ Entire CM forward hemisphere ($y_{CM} > 0$) within $0^{\circ} < \theta_{lab} < 1^{\circ}$ (high multiplicities → large detector occupancy) → Backward physics ($y_{CM} < 0$) : larger angle in the laboratory frame (lower detector occupancy) : access to parton with momentum fraction $x_2 \rightarrow 1$ in the target (ie. $x_F \rightarrow -1$)

Advantages of the fixed target mode versus the collider mode

> Target-species versatility :

- Change the target type in a reduced amount of time
- Study the atomic-number dependence of nuclear effects
- Isospin studies with deuteron and ³He targets

> Target polarisation (depending on the technology used) :

- The only way to make spin physics at the LHC complex
- Access to single-spin asymmetries for several probes at large-x, where they are the largest
- Polarised neutron studies with ${}^{3}\text{He}^{\uparrow}$

> Outstanding luminosities :

- Thanks to high target density and large LHC beam luminosity
- Without affecting the LHC performances (parasitic mode with respect to the collider mode)

Advantages of the fixed target mode versus the collider mode

> Probe a different energy range with the same accelerator :

- Same $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ for pH, pd, pA systems (115 GeV) \rightarrow interesting for R_{pA} measurements
- Same $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ for PbH, Pbd, PbA systems (72 GeV) \rightarrow in between top SPS and RHIC energies



Physics motivations : Explore the high-momentum fraction x frontier in nucleons and nuclei

With an emphasis on the high-x gluon and heavy-quark content of the nucleon and nuclei

- > Improve the knowledge of PDF for the gluon at large-x [also for strange, charm and bottom quark PDFs]
 - \rightarrow Precise high-x PDFs crucial theoretical inputs for predictions of processes involving heavy new states in BSM
- > Study a possible non-perturbative source of c/b quarks in the proton carrying a relevant fraction of its momentum
 - \rightarrow Connexion with CR physics : input to reduce the uncertainties on the prompt atmospheric neutrino flux
- > Study the origin of the nuclear EMC effect in nuclei (with gluon and sea quarks)



Uncertainty on the gluon-gluon luminosity at \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV



Gluon density in Pb nuclei

Physics motivations : Spin and 3D nucleon structure

Advance our understanding of the dynamics and spin of quarks and gluons inside (un)polarised nucleons



- First hint by COMPASS that $\ell_g \neq 0$
- Access information on the orbital motion of the partons inside bound hadrons via Single Spin Asymmetries (Sivers effect)
 - Sivers effects : correlation between the parton transverse momentum k_T and the proton spin
 - Gluon Sivers effect at large x_F with gluon sensitive probes
 - Quark Sivers effect at large x_F with Drell-Yan
- → Test TMD factorization formalism → sign change of A_N between SIDIS and DY



1~M~9 GeV

0.2 0.4 0.6

AFTER pp[†] 115 GeV

0.05

(⁴⁴⁻⁵⁴) 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10

-0.1

- Advance the understanding of hadronic matter properties under extreme conditions (QGP) and explore the phase diagramme of nuclear matter thanks to a rapidity scan down to the target rapidity
- Systematic studies of the medium properties with three experimental degrees of freedom : rapidity scan, different colliding systems, centrality dependence
- Rapidity scan at 72 GeV with FT@LHC can complement the RHIC beam energy scan from 62.4 GeV down to 7.7 GeV (at y_{cms} ~ 0)
- A novel way to search for the QCD critical point and probe the nature of the phase transition to confined partons



V. Begun, D. Kikola, V. Vovchenko, D. Wielanek, PRC 98 (2018) 034905

Advance our understanding of QGP macroscopic properties by probing the temperature dependence of the shear viscosity to entropy density ratio (η/s) of the created matter

- \succ Thanks to particle yields and flow (v_N) coefficients
- Compare to hydrodanymic models which include the medium longitudinal expansion (ie. not only the transverse dynamics of the QGP at mid-y)
- Clarification of collective effects between SPS and LHC energies



Test the factorization of Cold Nuclear Matter effects with the Drell-Yan process

- > Probe insensitive to Quark gluon Plasma formation, better accessible w.r.t to collider mode due to lower background
- Cannot be done at an EIC

 \Box Search for the phase transition by looking at $\Upsilon(nS)$ suppression as a function of rapidity and system size

In PbA collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} \sim 72$ GeV, $\Upsilon(3S)$ and $\Upsilon(2S)$ are expected to be suppressed : calibration of the QGP thermometer in AA collisions Clarify the charmonium suppression pattern between top SPS and RHIC energies (no recombination, high statistics for hard probes) Probe large gluon x_2 in the target, in particular with $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow \text{constrain gluon anti-shadowing and EMC effects in pA}$





Dissociation temperature from lattice QCD (+hydro)



Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 222301

□ Study of the heavy-quark energy loss and their interaction with the surrounding nuclear matter

- > Produced in hard scattering at early stages of collision, loose energy while crossing the hot and dense medium
- > Distinguish between collisional and radiative energy loss mechanisms with high precision D⁰ yield versus p_T and rapidity
- > R_{AA} and v_2 of B and D mesons \rightarrow transport properties of the QGP, degree of thermalization
- Baseline for quarkonium suppression studies

Search for a collective dynamics of partons in small systems at low energy

- Benefit from luminosity larger than at RHIC by several orders of magnitude (differential studies of J/ψ, D versus multiplicity, also v₂)
- Can probe rare events with ~15 times the average multiplicity



Possible technical implementations of a fixed target setup

□ Various ways of making fixed target collisions at the LHC with an **existing detector (focus on ALICE/LHCb)** :

> Internal Gas target :

- Already in use at the LHC with the SMOG system inside LHCb
 - \rightarrow already providing interesting physics results although the gas density is limited
- Other technologies exist: storage cell inspired by HERMES experiment at HERA, gas-jet (RHIC polarimeter)
- Benefit from the full p and Pb fluxes: 3.4x10¹⁸ p/s, 3.6x10¹⁴ Pb/s
- > Internal Wire/Foil target (directly in the beam halo) :
 - Used by HERA-B on the 920 GeV p beam and by STAR at RHIC
 - Recycling the beam halo onto a solid target

> Beam splitted via bent crystal coupled to a solid target :

- LHC beam halo is recycled :
 - \rightarrow Proton flux considered for the projections: ~ 5 x 10⁸ p/s^{*}, Lead flux : ~ 2 x 10⁵ Pb/s^{*}
- Halo particles deflected by a bent crystal onto a solid target inside an experiment
- Need to absorb the particles not interacting with the target

Luminosities with internal gas target or crystal-based solutions are not very different

* See caveats in slide 20

The landscape of the proposals in ALICE/LHCb beyond Run 3



ALICE-FT with solid target?

Luminosity projections (SMOG2, LHCSpin)

Maximum achievable luminosities with the LHCb detector*

CERN-PBC-Notes-2018-007

SMOG2 (up to ~ 100 x SMOG)

Reaction	DAQ time	Non coll. bunches	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Lumi} \\ (\text{nb}^{-1}) \end{array}$	Decays	SMOG yields	Scale factor	SMOG2 proj. yields
pAr	18 h	684	~ 2	$\begin{array}{l} D^{0} \to K^{-}\pi^{+} \\ D^{+} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+} \\ D^{+}_{s} \to K^{-}K^{+}\pi^{+} \\ D^{*+} \to D^{0}\pi^{+} \\ \Lambda^{+}_{c} \to pK^{-}\pi^{+} \\ J/\psi^{+} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-} \\ \psi' \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-} \end{array}$	6450 975 131 2300 50 500 20	62	$\begin{array}{c} 400 \ k \\ 60 \ k \\ 8 \ k \\ 140 \ k \\ 3 \ k \\ 30 \ k \\ 1.2 \ k \end{array}$
pHe	84 h	648	7.6	$egin{array}{ccc} J/\psi^+ & ightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \ \psi' & ightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \end{array}$	500 20	19.6	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \ k \\ 0.4 \ k \end{array}$

LHCSpin

Projections for Run4 \rightarrow L_{int} ~ 5 fb⁻¹ for pH[↑] collisions (Φ ~ 3.8 x 10⁸ p/s, L_{inst} ~ 4.7 x 10³² cm⁻².s⁻¹, t~10⁷ s)

			LHCb								
		proton	Pb beam ($\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 72 \text{ GeV}$)								
Target			L	σ_{inel}	Inel	∫L	L	σ_{inel}	Inel	$\int \mathcal{L}$	
					rate				rate		
			$[cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$		kHz		$[cm^{-2} s^{-1}]$		kHz		
		H^{\uparrow}	4.3 ×10 ³⁰	39 mb	168	43 pb ⁻¹	5.6 ×10 ²⁶	1.8 b	1	0.56 nb ⁻¹	
	Gas-Jet	H_2	1.0×10^{33}	39 mb	40000	$10 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}$	1.18×10^{29}	1.8 b	212	118 nb ⁻¹	
		\mathbf{D}^{\uparrow}	4.3×10^{30}	72 mb	309	43 pb^{-1}	5.6×10^{26}	2.2 b	1.2	0.56 nb^{-1}	
		³ He [↑]	3.4×10^{32}	117 mb	40000	3.4 fb^{-1}	4.7×10^{28}	2.5 b	118	47 nb^{-1}	
Internal gas		Xe	3.1×10^{31}	1.3 b	40000	0.31 fb^{-1}	2.3×10^{28}	6.2 b	186	23 nb ⁻¹	
target		H↑	0.92×10^{33}	39 mb	35880	9.2 fb^{-1}	1.18×10^{29}	1.8 b	212	118 nb ⁻¹	
		H ₂	1.0×10^{33}	39 mb	40000	$10 { m fb}^{-1}$	1.18×10^{29}	1.8 b	212	118 nb ⁻¹	
	Cell	D↑	5.6 ×10 ³²	72 mb	40000	5.6 fb ⁻¹	8.82×10^{28}	2.2 b	194	88 nb ⁻¹	
	Cen	³ He [↑]	1.3×10^{33}	117 mb	40000	13 fb ⁻¹	8.25×10^{28}	2.5 b	206	83 nb ⁻¹	
		Xe	3.1×10^{31}	1.3 b	40000	$0.31 \ fb^{-1}$	3.0×10^{28}	6.2 b	186	30 nb^{-1}	

* Assuming LHCb runs the full year in parallel in fixed target and collider mode. The storage cell is considered to be 1m long.

Maximum readout rate considered (40MHz in pp and 5MHz in AA). In PbA collisions, the rate is also limited by the beam lifetime (no more than 15% of the Pb beam flux used)

Toward a fixed-target setup for ALICE* : bent crystal + solid target

- Advantages of the bent crystal solution with respect to an internal solid target intercepting directly the beam halo:
 - > Minimise impedance issue
 - > Target system can be compact since the positionning of the target doesn't require to be controlled at the micron level
 - > Better knowledge of the luminosity and control of the particle flux sent onto the target





Figure 1: Left: A possible layout for an internal fixed-target experiment at the LHC: a bent crystal is used to deflect the beam halo onto an internal solid target. Right: Particle trajectories for an internal fixed-target experiment: a bent crystal splits and deflects the halo (green) from the circulating beam (red), and sends it on an internal target (orange) placed in front of the ALICE detectors; the non-interacting channeled particles are caught by an absorber downstream; a safe distance is maintained between the channeled beam (green) and the machine aperture (black). *Simulation of the deflected beam at ALICE IP, F. Galluccio, UA9*

* Possibility to use a (polarised) gas target also considered (Varsaw group)

Exploring possible target locations in ALICE

4 locations of target explored so far in simulations to evaluate the physics reach

Position 1 is now favored from integration studies

Space available outside L3 magnet but far from ALICE IP Probably only possible location for a **polarised solid target New additional detectors mandatory**



ALICE detector acceptance vs z_{target}



If target at Z << 0, new vertex detector (and probably other detectors) needed

The tracking performances of the TPC and the effect of material budget for large negative Z have still to be studied At large Z << 0, no acceptance shared anymore between MFT and muon spectrometer

Achievable luminosities considering ALICE detector rate limitations*

				ALICE					
		proton bea	$m (\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} =$	115 GeV)	Pb beam ($\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}}$ = 72 GeV)				
Target		L	Inel. rate	$\int \mathcal{L}$	L	Inel. rate	$\int \mathcal{L}$		
		$[\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}]$	[kHz]		$[\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}]$	[kHz]			
	H^\uparrow	4.3×10^{30}	168	43 pb ⁻¹	5.6×10^{26}	1	0.56 nb^{-1}		
Internal gas	H_2	2.6×10^{31}	1000	0.26 fb^{-1}	2.8×10^{28}	50	28 nb^{-1}		
target (gas-	D^{\uparrow}	4.3×10^{30}	309	43 pb^{-1}	5.6×10^{26}	1.2	0.56 nb^{-1}		
jet option)	³ He [↑]	8.5×10^{30}	1000	85 pb ⁻¹	2.0×10^{28}	50	20 nb^{-1}		
	Xe	7.7×10^{29}	1000	7.7 pb^{-1}	8.1×10^{27}	50	8.1 nb^{-1}		
Beam split- ting	С	3.7×10^{30}	1000	37 pb ⁻¹	5.6×10^{27}	18	5.6 nb^{-1}		
	Ti	1.4×10^{30}	1000	14 pb ⁻¹	2.8×10^{27}	13	2.8 nb^{-1}		
	W	5.9×10^{29}	1000	5.9 pb^{-1}	3.1×10^{27}	21	3.1 nb^{-1}		

Table 1: Summary table of the achievable integrated luminosities with the ALICE detector accounting for the data-taking-rate capabilities in the collider mode. The solid target lengths are 658 μ m (5 mm) for C, 515 μ m (5 mm) for Ti, 184 μ m (5 mm) for W, with the proton (lead) beam respectively.

* Assuming ALICE runs the full year in fixed target mode

Proton flux considered : ~ 5×10^8 p/s, Lead flux : ~ 2×10^5 Pb/s \rightarrow could be lower by 2 order of magnitude from recent studies, but can be compensated by increasing the target thickness. Extraction of Pb beam with bent crystal needs further studies. Maximum readout rate considered 1MHz in pp/pA collisions and below 50kHz in PbA

Detector considerations : ALICE versus LHCb



ALICE : Access to most central fixed-target AA collisions still unique with good tracking performances (until LHCb upgrade Phase II (?)).
 Could potentially devote a significant proton beam time to fixed-target physics, unique very backward coverage with the central barrel

LHCb : The only detector fully equipped in the forward region (PID, calorimeter) with already excellent M, p_T resolutions in pA collisions

A selection of physics projections for a high-luminosity fixed-target programme at the LHC

Most of the material from the AFTER review : <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.00603</u>, and ESPP document <u>ID47</u> : See also these dedicated papers on simulations from the AFTER@LHC study group: <u>Adv.High Energy Phys. 2015 (2015) 986348</u> <u>Few Body Syst. 58 (2017) no.4, 139</u> <u>Few Body Syst. 58 (2017) no.5, 148</u> <u>Phys.Lett. B793 (2019) 33-40</u>

Drell-Yan process to probe the nucleon structure at large-x

□ LHCb-like detector, pp collisions

Extension of the measurement to larger x₂ w.r.t existing Fermilab data (suffering from limited statistical precision at kinematic boundaries)



Impact on quark PDFs evaluated with Bayesian reweighting



Drell-Yan process to probe the nucleon structure at large-x

□ LHCb-like detector, pp collisions

Extension of the measurement to larger x₂ w.r.t existing Fermilab data (suffering from limited statistical precision at kinematic boundaries)



- Impact on quark PDFs evaluated with Bayesian reweighting
- Knowledge of valence quarks distribution considerably improved for x > 0.4 (esp. for u quark)



Drell-Yan process to probe the nuclear structure at large-x

- LHCb-like detector, pXe collisions
- Unique acceptance compared to existing DY pA data (E866 & E772 @Fermilab)
- $\hfill\square$ Same coverage in pp and pA
- $\hfill\square$ Large yields up to $x_2 \rightarrow 1$



- Decrease of the nuclear PDF uncertainties
- Significant impact for u and d quark nPDFs at large x



Open-HF at large-x to study intrinsic charm in the proton

□ LHCb-like detector, pp colisions



Experimental precision in this p_T/y range should provide strong constraints on intrinsic charm model

Second LHCb Heavy Ion Workshop, 4-6 Sep 2019, Chia 26

Antiproton production for CR physics

- Antiproton production in pH, pHe collisions : important input for astrophysics (Dark Matter searches)
- Constrain models for secondary antiproton production in interstellar medium and be able to confirm excess in data (AMS)
 - p/⁴He/¹²C/¹⁴N/¹⁶O/... (cosmic ray) + H (at rest) → antiproton of large E
 - > Equivalent to: p (7 TeV beam) + p/⁴He/¹²C/¹⁴N/¹⁶O/... (at rest) \rightarrow antiproton of small E
 - Complementary measurement with respect to LHCb



Minimum bias pp collisions scaled to pC
 "ALICE-like" detector performances (central barrel)
 Z_{target} = - 4.7m

ALICE CB is accessing the very low energy domain for antiproton production

Spin and 3D nucleon structure

$$A_N = \frac{1}{\mathcal{P}_{\text{eff}}} \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow}}{\sigma^{\uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow}}$$



□ "LHCb-like" detector, pp collisions, polarised H target (P ~ 80%)

Quarkonium as a probe of the QGP in heavy ion collisions



After uncorrelated background subtraction (LS pairs)

Large yields for charmonium production both in ALICE/LHCb in PbXe. Access to most central collisions guarantied in ALICE. Upsilon(nS) studies more accessible in LHCb thanks to larger yields.

~ 450 Y(3S) in one data taking year. Statistical accuracy of ~7% on Y(1S) R_{AA}

Open-HF to study heavy quark energy loss in medium





Particle flow coefficient to constrain T dependence of η/s

- □ Pb-Pb, « ALICE-like and LHCB-like » detectors
- Identified charged particles in wide-rapidity range down to phase space limit
- \Box Percent level statistical accuracy for the v₂ measurement



Complementary coverage between ALICE and LHCb

Conclusion

□ Three main physics goals identified to motivate a high-luminosity fixed-target programme at the LHC

- > High momentum fraction x frontier in nucleon and nuclei
- Spin and 3D nucleon structure
- Heavy ion collisions at large rapidities

□ Two main ways to perform fixed-target collisions with the LHC beams

- > A slow extraction with a bent crystal coupled to a solid target
- > An internal gas target inspired from SMOG@LHCb/Hermes/H-jet

Fast simulation work has been performed to provide figures of merit for LHCb and ALICE for a selection of observables (Drell-Yan, Open-HF, quarkonia, charged and identified particles) to motivate a high-luminosity fixed-target programme at the LHC

□ 3 ESPP contributions submitted in December related to fixed target physics at the LHC

The physics reach of the LHC complex can greatly be extended at a very limited cost with the adjunction of an ambitious and long term research program using the LHC beam in the fixed target mode

BACKUP

Target requirements for ALICE

□ Some target requirements to conduct the full heavy-ion programme foreseen:

- Have a reference system, ie. a target with lowest possible atomic number (ideally pH):
 - Solid H probably not compatible with LHC vacuum
 - Lighter target that could be envisonned is probably Beryllium (Z = 4)
- Have good target versatily : take data with different target species / be able to change frequently the target
- Have target with large atomic numbers
 - W might be possible if cooled
 - Pb probably not usable because of too low melting temperature
- > Other possible target species: Ca, C, Os, Ir, Ti, Ni, Cu
- □ Target holder and other elements : stainless steel
- □ Retractable target : active position at 8mm from the beam axis, parking position out of the beam pipe



Target setup design and integration constraints (ALICE)

- □ Target Size :
 - > 5 mm diameter
 - > 0.2mm to 5mm thickness depending on the material and if p/Pb beam
- Target holder makes the interface between the target and the motion system (also heat drain)
- □ Pneumatic motion system (electro-magnetic compatibility)
- □ Electro-valve distribution away from the setup itself
 - ightarrow minimum shadow for existing detectors

□ Integration constraints :

- > Need to isolate the pipe region where the target will be located
- Avoid shadow to existing detector
- > Take into account ITS removal constraints during winter shutdown
- > Need pumping system because of outgassing and bake-out device
- RF shielding probably needed (need impedance studies)

Easiest location for installing the target is before the already existing valve ($\sim - 4.8$ m)



The ALICE detector after LS2 (RUN3)



New Silicon Tracker

- First layer closer to IP
- Beam pipe with reduced radius (~ 2cm)

□ A Muon Forward Tracker

- □ TPC readout via GEM
- □ Continous readout (50 kHz in Pb-Pb)

ALICE Lol, J. Phys. G 41 (2014) 087001

SMOG data taking



Figure 1: Dedicated SMOG runs collected since 2015. Beam-gas collisions have been recorded using different gas types (He, Ar, Ne) and beam energies.

The fixed-target setup of LHCb



□ Low density noble gas injected (He, Ne, Ar so far) into LHCb Vertex Locator with pressure P ~ 1.5 x 10⁻⁷ mbar

- □ Benefit from the full LHCb beam without decrease of the beam lifetime
- Limited luminosities (density, running time,..)
- □ No polarization of the target, only noble gases, no heavy nuclei and no pH baseline

Physics reach still limited by the statistics (~ few hundreds of J/Ψ and D⁰, <u>PRL 122 (2019) 132002</u>) Maximum luminosity collected so far : L_{int} ~ 100 nb⁻¹ (pNe @68 GeV)

New projects under investigation in LHCb

SMOG2 (approved) : addition of an unpolarised storage cell target

- \succ 20 cm long attached to the VELO
- > Injection of unpolarised gas via capillary
- Boost local gas density with same gas flow

Gas species	He	Ne	Ar	Kr	Xe	H_2	D_2	N_2	02
$\theta_{SMOG2}/\theta_{SMOG})$	10.9	24.4	34.5	25.0	31.3	7.7	10.9	28.6	30.3

ightarrow And probably up to a factor x 100 SMOG with an increased gas flow

- \succ Extended target choice : H₂, D₂
- > Better control over injected gas density (i.e over luminosity)
- Projections for SMOG2:

Reaction	DAQ time	Non coll. bunches	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Lumi} \\ (\text{nb}^{-1}) \end{array}$	Decays	SMOG yields	Scale factor	SMOG2 proj. yields
				$egin{array}{ccc} D^0 & o & K^-\pi^+ \ D^+ & o & K^-\pi^+\pi^+ \end{array}$	6450 975		$ 400 \ k $ $ 60 \ k $
pAr	18 h	684	~ 2	$egin{array}{ccc} D^+_s ightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+ \ D^{\star +} ightarrow D^0 \pi^+ \end{array}$	131 2300	62	8 k 140 k
				$egin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_c^+ & ightarrow p K^- \pi^+ \ J/\psi^+ & ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \end{array}$	50 500		3 k 30 k
				$\psi' \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$	20		$1.2 \ k$
рНе	84 h	648	7.6	$J/\psi^+ ightarrow \mu^+\mu^- \psi' ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	500 20	19.6	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \ k \\ 0.4 \ k \end{array}$



Figure 7: Sketch of the SMOG2 system. The gas is injected via capillary at the center of the storage cell.



CERN-PBC-Notes-2018-007

New projects under investigation in LHCb

LHCSpin (currently not approved) : polarised storage cell target for spin physics in front of LHCb

- > Setup with : Atomic beam source, target chamber, diagnostic system and additional tracking detector
- ▶ Integration constraints: target chamber located at least 1m upstream of the LHCb IP → consequences on the « large-x » reach
- ▶ Projections for Run4 \rightarrow L_{int} ~ 5 fb⁻¹ for pH[↑] collisions (Φ ~ 3.8 x 10⁸ p/s, L_{inst} ~ 4.7 x 10³² cm⁻².s⁻¹, t~10⁷ s)
- R&D needed for the coating (depolarisation)



New projects under investigation in LHCb

Beam splitting with double crystal setup for the measurement of EDM/MDM of charmed baryon (currently not approved)

- > Intense magnetic field between crystal atomic planes induces spin precession during the lifetime of the particle
- Measurement of MDM of heavy baryons never performed due to their short lifetime
 test of OCD calculations improve surrent understanding of internal structure of heavy
 - \rightarrow test of QCD calculations, improve current understanding of internal structure of hadrons
- > Measurement of EDM of heavy and strange baryons powerful to probe physics beyond the standard model



Open-HF and quarkonia to constrain high-x nuclear gluon distributions

- LHCb-like detector, pXe collisions
- Extremely promising first projections using Bayesian reweighting
- Assume that other nuclear effects are under control : different observables are thus needed
- Unique constraints on gluon nPDFs at high-x and low scales

