

Decay of quasi-free neutrons as possible window to observe a dark decay branch

and more ...

W.Mittig





The neutron lifetime puzzle



W. Pattie Jr. et al, Science Science 11 May 2018 vol. 360 no. 6389 627-632 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1802.06277.pdf, A.P.Serebrov et al.,



Facility for Rare Isotope Beams U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Michigan State University

The neutron live-time puzzle

 t_{bottle} = 879.6 +- 0.6 s counting remaining neutrons

t_{beam} = 888.0 +- 2.0 s counting emitted protons Difference 4s !!

Dark Matter Interpretation of the Neutron Decay Anomaly

Bartosz Fornal and Benjamín Grinstein PRL120(2018)191801

1% branch n \rightarrow invisible + visible; n \rightarrow invisible



Neutron decay to invisible matter: quasifree neutron decay versus β - p decay



NSC

The quasi-free neutron dark decay: detectable reaction product



When the decaying neutron is bound in the nucleus, the dark decay may occur only if m_X value happens to be in the reduced energy range:

937.993 MeV < $m_X < m_n - S_n$

with $S_n < 1.572 \text{ MeV}$ In ¹¹Be $S_n=501 \text{keV}$

Rough estimation of dark decay of the quasifree neutron of ¹¹Be by M. Pfützner and K. Riisager $T_{\frac{1}{2} neutron} = 880s$ Life time anomaly $\sim 8s \sim 1\% \rightarrow$ partial lifetime= 880s*100=88000sLifetime of ¹¹Be:13.8s \rightarrow $B\chi \sim 13/88000 \sim 1.5*10^{-4}$

M. Pfützner and K. Riisager PHYSICAL REVIEW C **97**, 042501(R) (2018)



Neutron dark decay in (halo) nuclei



 If the neutron separation energy of a nuclei is Sn<1.572 MeV, the dark neutron decay could happen.



U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science National Science Foundation Michigan State University



W.Mittig LMDA 2019 6

¹¹Be β⁻-delayed proton emission

MICHIGAN STATE

R S I

UN

IV

- Riisager et al. implanted ¹¹Be in a catcher and let it decay
- Then analyzed the ratio of ¹⁰Be/ ¹¹B in the catcher with the accelerator mass spectrometry technique
- Deduced that the ¹¹Be -> ¹⁰Be branching ratio was 8.3(9)·10⁻⁶
- This value is orders of magnitude higher than theoretical predictions
- The neutron β–decays to a proton in a resonance in ¹¹B, and it is emitted, or it directly decays into the continuum
- An unobserved resonance in ¹¹B could explain it.





U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science National Science Foundation Michigan State University



Riisager, PLB **732** 305 (2014) Riisager, Phys. Scr. **T152**, 014001 (2013)

W.Mittig LMDA 2019 7

Experimental method: Experiment at ISAC (TRIUMF)

- Implant-decay on the pAT-TPC: High detection efficiency (80%) and resolution ($\sigma(E)$ ~5%, ($\sigma(\theta)$ =1 deg)
- Full reconstruction and identification of p+ and α .
- He(+10% CO₂) as thin tracking medium: low straggling and β -blind.
- The pAT-TPC was filled with 60 torr of He(+10% CO₂)
- Beam energy of 390 keV/u deposited 11Be at the center
- ¹¹Be ions drifted to the cathode
- Protons of ~180 keV stopped in 10 cm tracks
- Normalization ¹¹Be -> $^{7}Li + \alpha$, 3.47(1)%
- Experiment run in pulsed mode 1 sec implantation, 0.5 sec relaxation, 6.88 sec decay
- It was optimized for a peak activity of 200 α pps, to minimize dead time
- We run for 4.33 days or 13 8-hour shift





W.Mittig LMDA 2019₈



U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science National Science Foundation Michigan State University





prototype Active Target Time Projection Chamber (pAT-TPC)





U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science National Science Foundation Michigan State University

MICHIGAN STATE

Cortesi et al., Rev. Sci. Ins. 88, 013303 (2017) W.Mittig LMDA 2019 9

Experimental method: Calorimetry





 $\frac{\text{MICHIGAN STATE}}{\text{U N I V E R S I T Y}}$

Proton beam ~180keV calibration





U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science National Science Foundation Michigan State University

MICHIGAN STATE

W.Mittig LMDA 2019 11

The quasi-free neutron dark decay



How much ¹⁰Be is produced ?
 Produced in the ¹¹Be decay (AMS)

AMS at Cern Isolde: $B_{10Be} = 8.3(9)^*10^{-6}$ K. Riisager *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **732**, 305 (2014).

2) How much ¹⁰Be is produced by proton decay?:Measure the proton decay branch:

Triumf pAT-TPC (Y.Ayyad and B. Olaizola spokespersons)

 $\Gamma_{BW} = 15({}^{-5}_{+10}) \text{ keV}$ E_{p res}=180(+-20)keV

 $B_p = 1.3(3) \times 10^{-5}$

Y.Ayyad et al P R L 123, 082501 (2019)



The quasi-free neutron dark decay

- If a dark decay is responsible for the 1% neutron life time problem, a simple estimate for a dark decay of the quasifree neutron in ¹¹Be gave ~10⁻⁴
- Our measurement of 1.3(3)*10⁻⁵, compared to the AMS yield of 0.83(9)*10⁻⁵, sets an upper limit of ~10⁻⁶ for a dark decay, 2 orders of magnitude smaller than the estimation.
- We consider 2 strategies to improve the exclusion of a dark decay to better than corresponding to 1% for the free neutron: Decrease errors on B_p from presently ~30% to ~5%
- ⁶He \rightarrow ⁴He+n+ χ <u>H.Savajols@Ganil</u> : $B\chi$ estimated 1.2*10⁻⁵



FRIB p to U 200MeV/n 400kW 2022







Facility for Rare Isotope Beams U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Michigan State University

W.Mittig LMDA 2019 , Slide 14

Other (im)possibilites

Accelerator based creation and detection of dark matter:

FRIB will be the highest intensity HI 200-400MeV/n 400kW accelerator in the world: What about Beam dump experiments ?

battaglieri@ge.infn.it:

On the other hand, if the DM is hadro-phillic, no way to produce it and detect it with an electron beam. At this stage, since no experimental evidences prefer one or the other hypothesis (actually we do not have any evidences at all that the DM has a particle nature!) I think we should try different options using all possible probe/techniques. I do not see any problems in trying with ion beams...



Beam dump experiments: very schematic



Example: BDX at Jefferson Lab

- Creation of χ
- Shielding from /determination of background
- Detection of χ



Could we use the periodic time structure of the HI (or other) beam: MHz-GHz resonances



PRL 116, 031102 (2016) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 22 JANUARY 2016

Sound of Dark Matter: Searching for Light Scalars with Resonant-Mass Detectors

Asimina Arvanitaki,^{1,*} Savas Dimopoulos,^{2,†} and Ken Van Tilburg^{2,‡}

FIG. 2. Schematic of the proposed setup: a Cu-Si sphere whose surface displacement is monitored by a Fabry-Pérot (FP) interferometer. Elements encircled by the dotted lines are independently suspended and isolated from vibrations.





Facility for Rare Isotope Beams U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science

Michigan State University

W.Mittig LMDA 2019 , Slide 17

Could we use the periodic time structure of the HI (or other) beam: MHz-GHz resonances



FIG. 6 (color online). (a) Experimental setup. (b) Equivalent electrical model.



Facility for Rare Isotope Beams U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Michigan State University

W.Mittig LMDA 2019 , Slide 18

Things to do:

- Estimate the production mechanism/rate with HI
- what will be the time-structure at the detector?
 Will it conserve the frequency of the beam?
- What will be the periodic interaction with the detector tuned to beam frequency
- What would be the best frequency
- What would be the best distance



The street light effect



The ¹¹Be decay team:

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 123, 082501 (2019)

Editors' Suggestion

Direct Observation of Proton Emission in ¹¹Be

Y. Ayyad, ^{1,2,*} B. Olaizola,³ W. Mittig,^{2,4} G. Potel,¹ V. Zelevinsky,^{1,2,4} M. Horoi,⁵ S. Beceiro-Novo,⁴ M. Alcorta,³ C. Andreoiu,⁶ T. Ahn,⁷ M. Anholm,^{3,8} L. Atar,⁹ A. Babu,³ D. Bazin,^{2,4} N. Bernier,^{3,10} S. S. Bhattacharjee,³ M. Bowry,³ R. Caballero-Folch,³ M. Cortesi,² C. Dalitz,¹¹ E. Dunling,^{3,12} A. B. Garnsworthy,³ M. Holl,^{3,13} B. Kootte,^{3,8} K. G. Leach,¹⁴ J. S. Randhawa,² Y. Saito,^{3,10} C. Santamaria,¹⁵ P. Šiurytė,^{3,16} C. E. Svensson,⁹ R. Umashankar,³ N. Watwood,² and D. Yates^{3,10} ¹Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ²National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ³TRIUMF, 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 2A3, Canada ⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA ⁵Department of Physics, Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, Michigan 48859, USA ⁶Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada ⁷Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556, USA ⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, Canada ⁹Department of Physics, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario NIG 2W1, Canada ¹⁰Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z4, Canada ¹¹Niederrhein University of Applied Sciences, Institute for Pattern Recognition Reinarzstrasse 49, 47805 Krefeld, Germany ¹²Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom ¹³Department of Astronomy and Physics, Saint Marys University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 3C3, Canada ¹⁴Department of Physics, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, Colorado 80401, USA ¹⁵Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA ¹⁶Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, United Kingdom



Facility for Rare Isotope Beams

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science Michigan State University