Lattice calculation of the hadronic leading order contribution to the muon g - 2

Hartmut Wittig

PRISMA⁺ Cluster of Excellence, Institute for Nuclear Physics and Helmholtz Institute Mainz

Workshop on "Flavour changing and conserving processes" 2019 (FCCP2019) Anacapri, Capri Island 29 – 31 August 2019







The muon anomalous magnetic moment

 $a_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(g-2)_{\mu} = \begin{cases} 116592080(54)(33) \cdot 10^{-11} & \text{E821} @ \text{BNL} \\ 116591825(34)(26)(1) \cdot 10^{-11} & \text{SM prediction} \end{cases}$

* SM estimate dominated by QED; error dominated by QCD

 $a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{weak}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{strong}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{NP?}}$



Theory confronts experiment



Theory confronts experiment



* Experimental sensitivity of E989 exceeds total theory uncertainty by far!

The muon g – 2 in lattice QCD

Motivation for first-principles approach:

- No reliance on experimental data
 - except for simple hadronic quantities to fix bare parameters
- No model dependence
 - except for chiral extrapolation and constraining the IR regime
- * Can lattice QCD deliver estimates with sufficient accuracy in the coming years?

 $\delta a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}/a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}} < 0.5\%, \qquad \delta a_{\mu}^{\text{hlbl}}/a_{\mu}^{\text{hlbl}} \lesssim 10\%$

The muon g – 2 in lattice QCD



Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

Volume 104, January 2019, Pages 46-96



Review

Lattice QCD and the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon

Harvey B. Meyer, Hartmut Wittig ^A ⊠

B Show more

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2018.09.001

Get rights and content

arXiv:1807.09370

The Mainz (g – 2) $_{\mu}$ Lattice QCD project

Collaborators:

M. Cè, E.-H. Chao, G. von Hippel, R.J. Hudspith, H.B. Meyer, K. Miura, D. Mohler, A. Nyffeler, K. Ottnad, A. Risch, T. San José Perez, J. Wilhelm, HW

N. Asmussen, A. Gérardin, J. Green, G. Herdoíza, B. Hörz



- Direct determinations of LO a_{μ}^{hvp}
- Running of α and $\sin^2 \theta_W$



- Exact QED kernel
- Forward scattering amplitude



• Transition form factor for $\pi^0 \to \gamma^* \gamma^*$

Lattice QCD approach to HVP

Vacuum polarisation tensor:

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(Q) = i \int d^4x \,\mathrm{e}^{iQ\cdot(x-y)} \left\langle J_{\mu}(x)J_{\nu}(y) \right\rangle \equiv (Q_{\mu}Q_{\nu} - \delta_{\mu\nu}Q^2) \Pi(Q^2)$$

* Electromagnetic current:

$$J_{\mu} = \frac{2}{3}\overline{u}\gamma_{\mu}u - \frac{1}{3}\overline{d}\gamma_{\mu}d - \frac{1}{3}\overline{s}\gamma_{\mu}s + \dots$$

* Convolution integral over Euclidean momenta: [Lautrup & de Rafael; Blum]

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty dQ^2 f(Q^2) \hat{\Pi}(Q^2), \quad \hat{\Pi}(Q^2) = 4\pi^2 \left(\Pi(Q^2) - \Pi(0)\right)$$

* Weight function $f(Q^2)$ strongly peaked near muon mass

Lattice QCD approach to HVP

* Time-momentum representation (TMR):

[Bernecker & Meyer, EPJC (2011)]

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx_{0} \,\tilde{K}(x_{0}) \,G(x_{0}), \quad G(x_{0}) = -a^{3} \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle J_{k}(x) J_{k}(0) \rangle$$
$$\tilde{K}(x_{0}) = 4\pi^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} dQ^{2} \,f(Q^{2}) \left[x_{0}^{2} - \frac{4}{Q^{2}} \sin^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}Qx_{0}\right)\right]$$
• Significant contr



- Significant contribution from tail of G(x₀)
- Exponentially increasing noise-to-signal ratio:

 $R_{\rm NS} \propto \exp\{(m_{\rm V}-m_{\pi})x_0\}$

Lattice QCD approach to HVP

Challenges:

- * Statistical accuracy at the sub-percent level required
- * Control infrared regime of vector correlator: $G(x_0)$ at large x_0
- Perform comprehensive study of finite-volume effects
- Include quark-disconnected diagrams



* Include isospin breaking: $m_u \neq m_d$, QED corrections

Features of our calculation

Gérardin et al., Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) 034513, arXiv:1904.03120

- * $N_f = 2 + 1$ flavours of O(a) improved Wilson quarks
- * Four values of the lattice spacing: a = 0.085, 0.077, 0.065, 0.050 fm



Controlling the infrared regime

* TMR integrand and its long-distance behaviour:



* Large- x_0 regime still statistics-limited for $x_0 \ge 2.5$ fm

[Gérardin et al., arXiv:1904.03120]

Controlling the infrared regime

- * Long-distance regime of $G(x_0)$ dominated by the iso-vector channel: $G(x_0) = G^{\rho\rho}(x_0) + G^{I=0}(x_0)$
- * Three methods to constrain long-distance regime:
 - **1**. Dedicated calculation of the iso-vector correlator:

$$G^{\rho\rho}(x_0,L) \stackrel{x_0 \to \infty}{=} \sum_n |A_n|^2 e^{-\omega_n x_0}, \quad \omega_n = 2\sqrt{m_\pi^2 + k^2}$$

- 2. "Bounding method": $0 \le G(x_0) \le G^{\rho\rho}(x_0^{\text{cut}}) e^{-\omega_1(x_0 x_0^{\text{cut}})}$ [Lehner 2016, Borsanyi et al., PRL 121 (2018) 022002]
- **3.** Determination of the timelike pion form factor [Meyer, PRL 107 (2011) 072002]

$$\delta_1(k) + \phi\Big(\frac{kL}{2\pi}\Big) = 0 \mod \pi, \qquad |A_n|^2 = \frac{2k^5}{3\pi\omega^2} \frac{|F_\pi(\omega)|^2}{\{k\phi'(k) + k\delta'_1(k)\}}$$

Method 1: Iso-vector vector correlator

Solve GEVP to determine iso-vector correlator at large x₀



3.5

3

 $m_{\pi} = 200 \,\mathrm{MeV}$

0

0.5

1.5

 $t \, [\mathrm{fm}]$

1

2.5

2

Method 1: Iso-vector vector correlator

Solve GEVP to determine iso-vector correlator at large x₀





* Optimise choice of x_0^{cut}

 $m_{\pi} = 200 \,\mathrm{MeV}$

Method 2: Bounding method

- * Positivity of spectral sum for $G^{\rho\rho}(x_0)$ implies:
- $\Rightarrow \quad 0 \le G(x_0) \le G^{\rho\rho}(x_0^{\text{cut}}) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\omega_1(x_0 x_0^{\text{cut}})}$

 ω_1 : ground state energy

Improved bounding method

$$\tilde{G}(x_0) \equiv G(x_0) - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |A_n|^2 e^{-\omega_n x_0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad 0 \le \tilde{G}(x_0) \le \tilde{G}(x_0^{\text{cut}}) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\omega_N(x_0 - x_0^{\text{cut}})}$$

 $\omega_{\rm N}$: energy of $N^{\rm th}$ state



Finite-volume effects

Finite-volume correction

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}(\infty) - a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}(L) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty dx_0 \,\tilde{K}(x_0) \left[G(x_0,\infty) - G(x_0,L)\right]$$

Iso-vector correlator in infinite volume

$$G^{\rho\rho}(x_0,\infty) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \,\omega^2 \rho(\omega^2) \mathrm{e}^{-\omega|x_0|}, \quad \rho(\omega^2) = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{\omega^2}\right)^{3/2} |F_\pi(\omega)|^2$$

Finite volume:

$$G^{\rho\rho}(x_0,L) \stackrel{x_0 \to \infty}{=} \sum_{n} |A_n|^2 e^{-\omega_n x_0}, \qquad |A_n|^2 = \frac{2k^5}{3\pi\omega^2} \frac{|F_{\pi}(\omega)|^2}{\left\{k\phi'(k) + k\delta'_1(k)\right\}}$$

- * Direct calculation of $F_{\pi}(\omega)$
- * Use Gounaris-Sakurai parameterisation of $F_{\pi}(\omega)$ in terms of $(m_{\rho}, \Gamma_{\rho})$

Finite-volume effects

* Cross check at $m_{\pi} = 280 \text{ MeV}$ (H105, N101: $m_{\pi}L = 3.8, 5.8$)



* Finite-size effects well described by GS parameterisation of $F_{\pi}(\omega)$

Scale setting

* Lattice scale enters determination of a_{μ}^{hvp}

 $a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}} = a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}(M_{\mu}; M_u, M_d, M_s, \ldots), \quad M_{\mu} = m_{\mu}/\Lambda, \quad M_u = m_u/\Lambda, \ldots$

 Λ : sets the lattice scale

$$M_{\mu} \frac{\partial a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}}{\partial M_{\mu}} = -a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty dx_0 \, G(x_0) \, J(x_0), \quad J(x_0) = x_0 \tilde{K}'(x_0) - \tilde{K}(x_0)$$

$$\frac{\Delta a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}}{a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}} = \left| \underbrace{\frac{M_{\mu}}{a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}} \frac{\partial a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}}{\partial M_{\mu}}}_{1.8} + \underbrace{\frac{M_{\pi}}{a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}} \frac{\partial a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}}{\partial M_{\pi}}}_{-0.18(6)} + \dots \right| \frac{\Delta \Lambda}{\Lambda}$$

* Our calculation: $\Lambda^{-1} = \sqrt{8t_0} = 0.415(4)(2) \, \text{fm}$

[Bruno et al., PRD 95 (2017) 074504]

Connected light quark contribution

* Chiral and continuum extrapolation:

 $a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}(a, m_{\pi}) = a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}\Big|_{\text{phys}} + Ba^{2} + Cm_{\pi}^{2} + D\ln m_{\pi}^{2}$

 $a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}}(a, m_{\pi}) = \left. a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}} \right|_{\text{phys}} + Ba^2 + Cm_{\pi}^2 + Dm_{\pi}^2 \ln m_{\pi}^2$



 $(m_{\pi} \rightarrow 0 \text{ at fixed } m_{\mu})$

 $(m_{\mu} \leq m_{\pi} \leq m_{\rho})$

 Dashed / solid lines: different discretisations of the vector current

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm conn}^{ud} = (674 \pm 12 \pm 5) \cdot 10^{-10}$

- Systematic error: different ansätze in chiral extrapolation
- Statistical error dominated by scale setting uncertainty

Connected light quark contribution

Chiral and continuum extrapolation:



 $(m_{\pi} \rightarrow 0 \text{ at fixed } m_{\mu})$

 $(m_{\mu} \leq m_{\pi} \leq m_{\rho})$

 Dashed / solid lines: different discretisations of the vector current

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm conn}^{ud} = (674 \pm 12 \pm 5) \cdot 10^{-10}$

- Systematic error: different ansätze in chiral extrapolation
- Statistical error dominated by scale setting uncertainty

Connected strange and charm contributions



 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})^s = (54.5 \pm 2.4 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-10}$

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})^c = (14.66 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.06) \cdot 10^{-10}$

- Error dominated by scale setting uncertainty
- Larger discretisation effects observed for charm quark

Disconnected diagrams

Iso-scalar contribution...

...admits a positive spectral representation ...contains disconnected contributions

- \Rightarrow Apply bounding method to iso-scalar correlator (*uds*) quarks:
- $0 \le G^{I=0}(x_0) \le G^{I=0}(x_0^{\text{cut}}) e^{-m_{\rho}(x_0 x_0^{\text{cut}})}$ $(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})_{\text{disc}} = (a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})^{I=0} \frac{1}{10}(a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})_{\text{con}}^{ud} (a_{\mu}^{\text{hvp}})_{\text{con}}^{s} \frac{5}{-10}$
- Physical point:

$$(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm disc} = (-23.2 \pm 2.2 \pm 4.5) \cdot 10^{-10}$$



Isospin breaking effects

- * Stochastic vs. perturbative method
- * Expand correlator in powers of $(m_d m_u)$ and $\alpha = e^2/4\pi$



[Divitiis et al., arXiv:1110.6294, arXiv:1303.4896; Giusti et al., arXiv:1704.06561; Risch, HW, arXiv:1811.00895]

Isospin breaking corrections:



Final result at the physical point

[Gérardin et al., arXiv:1904.03120]

$$a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp} = (720 \pm 12.4_{\rm stat} \pm 9.9_{\rm syst}) \times 10^{-10}$$

(total uncertainty: 2.2%)

Individual contributions:





* Lattice QCD vs. dispersion theory:



Our result:

$$a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp} = (720.0 \pm 15.8) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

Dispersion theory (KNT 18):

$$(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm disp} = (693.3 \pm 2.5) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

* Lattice QCD average vs. dispersion theory:



Our result:

$$a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp} = (720.0 \pm 15.8) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

Dispersion theory (KNT 18):

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm disp} = (693.3 \pm 2.5) \cdot 10^{-10}$

Global lattice average:

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm lat} = (703.9 \pm 7.7) \cdot 10^{-10}$

* Lattice QCD average vs. dispersion theory:



Our result:

$$a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp} = (720.0 \pm 15.8) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

Dispersion theory (KNT 18):

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm disp} = (693.3 \pm 2.5) \cdot 10^{-10}$

Global lattice average:

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm lat} = (703.9 \pm 7.7) \cdot 10^{-10}$

"No new physics":

 $(a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm NNP} = (a_{\mu}^{\rm hvp})_{\rm disp} + (a_{\mu}^{\rm exp} - a_{\mu}^{\rm SM})$

Summary & Outlook

- * Individual calculations achieve overall precision of $\approx 2\%$ in a_{μ}^{hvp}
- * Light quark contribution dominant magnitude and uncertainty
 - → increase overall statistics
 - → improve determination of long-distance contribution
 - → improve precision of scale setting
- Quark-disconnected and isospin-breaking contributions crucial for credible and competitive overall precision

Mainz/CLS effort

- Add ensembles at smaller lattice spacing and (near-)physical m_{π}
- Improve precision of quark-disconnected contribution
- Include isospin breaking; improve scale setting uncertainty