IFAE 2019 | Napoli | 9 Aprile 2019







PIETRO DI GANGI

Università di Bologna INFN Bologna XENON Dark Matter Project

RICERCA DI MATERIA OSCURA CON XENON1T

digangi@bo.infn.it





15th floor of Wilson Hall at the U.S. Department of Energy's Fermilab America's national laboratory for particle physics research

.'UNIVERSO



5% MATERIA BARIONICA

26.5% MATERIA OSCURA

68.5% ENERGIA OSCURA



INFŃ

RICERCA DI WIMP CON XENON

UNDERGROUND LNGS (ITALY) 3600 m.w.e. rock shielding

MUON VETO CHERENKOV DETECTOR

700 tonnes active ultra-pure water shield instrumented with 84 PMTs









LA COLLABORAZIONE XENON











TPC A DOPPIA FASE



PRINCIPIO DI RIVELAZIONE







L'ESPERIMENTO XENON1T



AI LABORATORI NAZIONALI DEL GRAN SASSO

Eur. Phys. J. C. (2017) 77:881







INFŇ



Eur. Phys. J. C. (2017) 77:881





April 2019 σ FAE 2019 Pietro Di Gangi

 ∞





σ







XENON

RISULTATI DI XENON1T in 1 tonnellata-anno



NFN

BACKGROUND





-100

1000

1500

 R^2 [cm²]

2000

2500

500

REGIONE DI REFERENZA NR

Fra mediana NR e quantile -2σ I numeri in tabella sono rappresentativi; Risultato finale da inferenza PLR sull'intero spazio di analisi



UNBLINDING



XENON1T DATASET



BLINDING E SALTING

I dati sono stati tenuti segreti nella regione NR di segnale e "salted" con un numero sconosciuto di eventi fake





INFN

THE STORE

XENON1T DATASET

UNBLINDING

PIE CHARTS

Gli eventi che superano tutti i tagli di selezione sono mostrati come pie charts che rappresentano la PDF relativa da ciascun componente per il modello di best-fit per WIMP da 200 GeV/c² (σ_{SI} =4.7•10⁻⁴⁷ cm²).

INTERPRETAZIONE STATISTICA

Profile likelihood non binnata con le incertezze dei modelli incluse come nuisance parameters.





RISULTATO FINALE





ÍNFŃ

SEZIONE D'URTO SPIN-INDEPENDENT WIMP-NUCLEONE

Limiti di esclusione più stringenti (al 90% CL) per WIMP > 6 GeV/ c^2











XENONnT

PROSSIMO STEP



NEW TPC ▶ 248 → 476 PMTs



BACKGROUNDER

Radon distillation
 Improved LXe purification



BACKGROUND NR Neutron Veto





SENSIBILITÀ MIGLIORE DI 1 ORDINE DI GRANDEZZA IN 5 ANN



BACKUP

XENON



COME RIVELARE LA MATERIA OSCURA



RIVELAZIONE DIRETTA RIVELAZIONE INDIRETTA PRODUZIONE AI COLLIDER







XENON1T Time Projection Chamber



GALAXY AND CLUSTERS SCALE

EVIDENCES OF DARK MATTER

Galaxy

M33



ROTATION CURVES





LENSING



STRUCTURE FORMATION



COSMOLOGICAL SCALE



PARTICLE DARK MATTER

WIMP "MIRACLE"

- **STABLE**
- NON-RELATIVISTIC
- NEUTRAL
- NO EM INTERACTION
- **NO STRONG INTERACTION**
- **NON-BARYONIC**

NO SM CANDIDATE



The measured dark matter **relic density***

 $\Omega_{DM}h^{2} = \frac{3 \times 10^{-27} \text{cm}^{3} \text{s}^{-1}}{\langle \sigma_{ann} v \rangle} = 0.120 \pm 0.001$

is obtained with mass (~100 GeV/c²) and annihilation cross section (~10⁻²⁵ cm³s⁻¹) typical of the weak scale

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles

Most investigated class of DM candidates
 Naturally arise in SUSY models
 (e.g. neutralino)

Other candidates

- Axions or ALPs
- 🕨 Kaluza-Klein
- Wimpzillas
- and many others...

UNIVERSE ENERGY: BARYONIC MATTER 5% DARK MATTER 26.5% * DARK ENERGY 68.5%



WHAT IS DARK MATTER

- THE STORE
- tens of DM models, each with its own phenomenology
- models span 90 orders of magnitude in DM candidate mass
- WIMPs by far the most studied class of DM candidates





INFŃ

WHY DO WE CHOOSE XENON?

High A=131

 $\stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} \sigma_{\text{WIMP-N}} \sim A^2 \rightarrow \text{Larger probability of SI}$ WIMP-nucleon interactions

Self shielding

High Z=54 and high density ρ =2.8 g/cm³

Scalability

de Compact detectors scalable to larger dimensions

High purity

¹³⁶Xe decay rate negligible; ⁸⁵Kr removed toPot level

Light and charge yields

👍 Highest among noble liquids

"Easy" cryogenics Xenon is liquid at -95° C

VUV scintillation light

 \downarrow 178 nm \rightarrow no need for wavelenght shifters

Odd-nucleon isotopes

¹³¹Xe and ¹²⁹Xe allow to study also the SD interaction





FROM THE PRINCIPLE TO REALITY A TYPICAL LOW ENERGY EVENT PAX A

Analyzing

L'AND RUS





MUON VETO WATER CHERENKOV SUB-DETECTOR









- 700 ton pure water instrumented with 84 high-QE 8" PMTs
- Active shield against muons
- Trigger efficiency > 99.5% for muons in water tank
- Cosmogenic neutron background suppressed to < 0.01 events/ton/yr



JINST 9, 11007 (2014)





XENON PURIFICATION ELECTRON LIFETIME





- electron lifetime is monitored regularly with ERs calibration sources.
- Current value, following increase in gas flow, approaches 1 msec

- Electronegative impurities in the Xe gas and from materials outgassing reduce charge (and light) signal.
- To drift electrons over 1 meter requires < 1ppb (O2 equivalent)
- Solution: continuous gas circulation at high flow through heated getter material





 83m Kr source injected in LXe which uniformly distributes in the whole TPC volume \rightarrow Ideal to understand spatial dependence of the light (S1) and charge (S2) signals.

-40

-20

0

20

40

x [cm]

-40

0.78

0.72

-40

-40

-20

0

0.78

0.72

20

40

x [cm]



5000

500 600 700

400

cS1 [PE]



LIGHT DETECTION SYSTEM







LIGHT AND CHARGE SIGNALS TIME STABILITY



Position dependence of light (solid angle) and charge (attenuation length) signals very well understood through measurement with ^{83m}Kr, ²²²Rn alphas. Excellent agreement with optical Monte Carlo simulations and with model of purity evolution





Light and charge yield stability monitored with several sources:

- ²²²Rn daughters
- Activated Xe after neutron calibrations
- ^{83m}Kr calibrations
- Stability is within a few %

POSITION RECONSTRUCTION



INFŃ



X-Y reconstruction via **neural network**:

- Input: charge/channel top array
- Training: Monte Carlo simulation

Position resolution using ^{83m}Kr

- Two interactions (9, 31 keV), same x-y
- Position resolution (1-2 cm)
- PMT diameter (7.62 cm)

Position corrections using ^{83m}Kr

- Drift field distortion
 - Localized inhomogeneities from inactive PMTs
- Data-derived correction verified by comparison to MC with several event sources





CALIBRATIONS



²²⁰Rn: Low Energy ER



conditions after a couple days (10.6h longest $T_{1/2}$)



9.4 keV and 32.1 keV lines (~150 ns delay) homogeneous in volume

Neutrons: Signal



Type:ExternalFreq:As neededLength:6 weeks (AmBe)2 days (generator)



ER AND NR MODELING REAL DATA AND MC SIMULATIONS







BACKGROUND SPECTRUM ENERGY RESOLUTION AND MC MATCHING





- Good agreement between predicted and measured background spectrum
- Kr: ~0.45 ppt; Pb214: ~ 10 uBq/kg
- · Gammas based on screening measurements

- Energy reconstructed from anti correlated S1 and S2. Excellent linearity from keV to MeV
- Best energy resolution measured with this large LXeTPC ~1.6% resolution (sigma) at 2.5 MeV





XENONIT TIMESCALE SCIENCE AND CALIBRATION DATA

- 279 days high quality data (livetime-corrected) spanning more than 1 year of stable detector's operation. The LXeTPC has been "cold" since Summer 2016
- 1 tonne x year exposure given 1.3 tonne fiducial volume- the largest reported to-date with this type of detector
- Experiment still running smoothly and collecting more data





RECOIL TYPE DISCRIMINATION

WIMP Neutron Neutrino (CNNS)







RECOIL TYPE DISCRIMINATION ELECTRONIC RECOILS





BACKGROUNDS NUCLEAR RECOIL BACKGROUND



Cosmogenic neutrons

Induced by cosmic muons.

Reduced to negligible contribution by rock overburden, water passive shield and active Cherenkov Muon Veto, JINST 9, P11006 (2014)

Radiogenic neutrons

From (α, n) and spontaneous fission in detector's materials. Reduced via radiopure material selection, scatter multiplicity and fiducialization. Eur. Phys. J. C. (2017) 77:890

Coherent Elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering(CNNS)

Mainly from ⁸B solar ν . Constraint by flux and cross section measurement. Irreducible background at very low energy (< 1 keV)



	<u>JCAP 04, 027 (2010)</u>		
	Rate [t ⁻¹ y ⁻¹]	Fraction [%]	
Cosmogenic neutrons	<0.01	<2.0	
Radiogenic neutrons	0.6 ± 0.1	96.5	
CNNS	0.012	2.0	

Expectations in 1t FV, in [4,50] keV_{nr}, single scatters



BACKGROUNDS ELECTRONIC RECOIL BACKGROUND



Predicted:

71 ± 7 events / (t•y•keV)

MC simulations assuming the average 0.66 ppt Kr concentration

Measured:

82⁺⁵-3 (sys) ± 3 (stat) events / (t•y•keV)

Data in 1300 kg FV and below 25 keV $_{\rm ee}$

Lowest ER background ever achieved in a DM detector

Eur. Phys. J. C (2017) 77: 358

222Rn: 10 µBq/kg

Careful surface emanation control and further reduction by online cryogenic distillation.

Eur. Phys. J. C. (2017) 77, 275

⁸⁵Kr: ~0.3 ppt (Kr/Xe)

More than 3 orders of magnitude reduction via online cryogenic distillation.

JCAP 04, 027 (2016)

	Rate Fraction [t ⁻¹ y ⁻¹] [%]		
²²² Rn	620 ± 60	85.4	
⁸⁵ Kr	31 ± 6	4.3	
Solar $ u$	36 ± 1	4.9	
Materials	30 ± 3	4.1	
¹³⁶ Xe	9 ± 1	1.4	

Expectations in1t FV, in [1,12] keV_{ee}, single scatters, **before ER/NR discrimination**



DETECTOR RESPONSE MODEL ER AND NR CALIBRATIONS

ER

NR





- Combined ER/NR fit
- Detailed MC simulations of LXe
 microphysics and detector processes
 99.7% ER rejection

in NR reference region [NR median,-2 σ]





BACKGROUNDS SURFACE BACKGROUND



Radioactivity on PTFE surface and charge loss

Events can fall in the NR energy region due to abnormally small S2. And due to position reconstruction resolution they can be reconstructed inwards. Reduced by volume fiducialization.

Data driven model

Derived from event surface control samples.



²¹⁰Po control sample





BACKGROUNDS ACCIDENTAL COINCIDENCES





WIMP SEARCH BLINDING AND SALTING

- Blinding: to avoid potential bias in event selection and the signal/background modeling the nuclear recoil ROI (S2 vs S1 only) was blinded from the start of SR1 analysis (and SR0 re-analysis).
- Salting: to protect against post-unblinding tuning of cuts and background models, an undisclosed number and type of event was added to data



INFŃ

WIMP SEARCH DATA SELECTION AND DETECTION EFFICIENCY



INFŃ



- Detection efficiency dominated by 3-fold coincidence requirement
 - Estimated via novel waveform simulation including systematic uncertainties
- Selection efficiencies estimated from control or MC data samples
- Search region defined within 3-70 PE in cS1
- 50 GeV (dotted) and 200 GeV (dashed and dotted) WIMP spectra shown



PREDICTED AND OBSERVED DATA



Reference and smaller fiducial masses are illustrative. Data analysis and statistical inference is performed on the full dataset with PLR approach and backgrounds/signal shape accounted.

$1.3 \mathrm{~t}$	$1.3 \mathrm{~t}$	0.9 t	0.65 t
Full	Reference	Reference	Reference
627 ± 18	$1.62{\pm}0.30$	$1.12{\pm}0.21$	$0.60{\pm}0.13$
$1.43{\pm}0.66$	$0.77{\pm}0.35$	$0.41{\pm}0.19$	$0.14{\pm}0.07$
$0.05{\pm}0.01$	$0.03{\pm}0.01$	0.02	0.01
$0.47\substack{+0.27 \\ -0.00}$	$0.10\substack{+0.06\\-0.00}$	$0.06\substack{+0.03\\-0.00}$	$0.04\substack{+0.02\\-0.00}$
106 ± 8	$4.84{\pm}0.40$	0.02	0.01
$735{\pm}20$	$7.36{\pm}0.61$	$1.62{\pm}0.28$	$0.80{\pm}0.14$
3.56	1.70	1.16	0.83
739	14	2	2
	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3 \ \mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{Full} \\ 627 \pm 18 \\ 1.43 \pm 0.66 \\ 0.05 \pm 0.01 \\ 0.47 \substack{+0.27 \\ -0.00 \\ 106 \pm 8 \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 735 \pm 20 \\ 3.56 \\ \end{array} \end{array}$	$1.3 t$ $1.3 t$ FullReference 627 ± 18 1.62 ± 0.30 1.43 ± 0.66 0.77 ± 0.35 0.05 ± 0.01 0.03 ± 0.01 $0.47^{+0.27}_{-0.00}$ $0.10^{+0.06}_{-0.00}$ 106 ± 8 4.84 ± 0.40 735 ± 20 7.36 ± 0.61 3.56 1.70 739 14	$1.3 t$ $1.3 t$ $0.9 t$ FullReferenceReference 627 ± 18 1.62 ± 0.30 1.12 ± 0.21 1.43 ± 0.66 0.77 ± 0.35 0.41 ± 0.19 0.05 ± 0.01 0.03 ± 0.01 0.02 $0.47^{+0.27}_{-0.00}$ $0.10^{+0.06}_{-0.00}$ $0.06^{+0.03}_{-0.00}$ 106 ± 8 4.84 ± 0.40 0.02 735 ± 20 7.36 ± 0.61 1.62 ± 0.28 3.56 1.70 1.16

WIMP expectation under best-fit model at m=200 GeV (cross-section = 4.7x10⁻⁴⁷ cm²)



RESULTS SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION



Core volume

The innermost volume is free of surface and neutron background. The spatial modeling of backgrounds allows to increase the fiducial volume.





STATISTICAL INTERPRETATION <1 SIGMA DISCOVERY SIGNIFICANCE





- No significant (>3 sigma) excess at any scanned WIMP mass
- Background only hypothesis is accepted although the p-value of ~0.2 at high mass (200 GeV and above) does not disfavor a signal hypothesis either

- Extended unbinned profile likelihood analysis
- Example left: Background and 200 GeV WIMPsignal best-fit predictions, assuming 4.2 x 10⁻⁴⁷ cm², compared to data in 1.3T and 0.9T
- Most significant ER & Surface backgrounds shape parameters included
- Safeguard to protect against spurious mismodeling of background

