



SiPM characteristics after very high Radiation at low Temperature for the SLHC CMS PHASE II upgrade

A. Heering, Y. Musienko * , M. Wayne (Notre Dame) A. Karneyeu, Yu. Andreev (INR Moscow)

* On leave from INR(Moscow)





- 2 future CMS sub detectors which are planning on using SiPMs
- General experience from last > 10 years of R&D on raddam on SiPMs
- Results of latest Wafer run with FBK on thin epitaxial layer substrate
- Main effects of raddam at very high doses > 5E13 n/cm2 1 Mev eq



CMS - CEH EndCap for Phase II





Electromagnetic calorimeter (CE-E): Si, Cu & CuW & Pb absorbers, 28 layers, 25 X₀ & ~1.3 λ Hadronic calorimeter (CE-H): Si & scintillator, steel absorbers, 24 layers, ~8.5 λ

1 Mev eq Neutron fluence up to 8E13 n/cm2

We expect a signal of ~ 40 p.e/MIP

Signal to Noise is very important for calibration





SiPM-on-Tile in the biggest part of CE for high granularity to compliment CE-E



Bench measurement of the timing dependance of the N_{phe} and DCR using an LED with a pulse of 390 p.e. and time spread of 350 ps rms. At 2E14 n/cm2 eq. the DCR is expected to rise to 40-60 GHz even at an operating temperature inside the tracker tube of -30C. The DCR noise term will quickly be the dominating factor in the timing resolution.



Leakage current increase in Si-PIN diodes for 1 MeV equivalent dose



We expect the dark count rate: Dark Count = $1/q * V * \Phi *$ slope * G.F. * P_(V)

V= cell size * d_{epi} (few microns in case of SiPM)

Measurements show ~4X more noise



The dark noise generation rate in APDs/ SiPMs is dominated by high electric field effects mainly by tunneling via radiationinduced defects or traps in Si



Efield Tunneling effects vs Temp and irrad



Before Irradiation:

Primary dark count rate is due to the thermal generation of carriers; 1/T (reduction of 2.4 x every 10C)

After irradiation we find that temperature reduction coefficient drops to as low as 1.6 / 10C depending on the internal electric field structure of the SiPM General trend is that SiPMs with higher Vbr have larger reduction with T



2018 HPK and FBK SiPMs after 2.1E14 n/cm²



In 2018 we chose the best 3 SiPMs with the best performance vs irradiation for the BTL as a TDR baseline. All cell sizes are 15x15 micron to avoid saturation due to high DCR. This also reduces the recovery time



FBK wafer split for optimization



Wafer n.	Substrate	Technology	DI dose	Meas Vbr (RT)	DI dose
1	Thin Epi	Low Field	1		
2	Thin Epi	Low Field	1	38.0	S Smaller
3	Thin Epi	Low Field	2)
4	Thin Epi	Low Field	2	35.2	TDR Thin epi
5	Thin Epi	Low Field	2)
6	Thin Epi	Low Field	3		larger
7	Thin Epi	Low Field	3	33.0	S larger
8	Thin Epi	Low Field	4		•
9	Thin Epi	Low Field	4	32.0	largest
10	Thin Epi	Low Field	4)

In addition each wafer has 2 different micro cell versions one aggressive for better FF

15 micron STD : 51.2 % 15 micron HFF : 60.1 %



Irradiation in ceramic Package of the wafers

We packaged the 8 different Types in a 8ch ceramic package

3 of these arrays were sent to JSI for passive irradiation for a total dose of 1E13, 2E14 and 4E14

For direct comparison we also included 3 HPK 3x3 mm HDR2 for each dose







Measured PDE before irradiation





Average number of photons in LED pulse is measured using XP2020 calibrated: PMT (QE(410 nm)=25.0 %, ENF=1.15), and waveforms recorded by DSO



Approach of measurement of irradiated SiPM





To measure S/N after irradiation we use constant light illumination from 405 nm LED source (calibrated uniform over the 8ch):

I (total) = I(ph) + I(dark) =(I'ph + I'dark)* Gain*ENF with ENF ~1 I'ph and I'dark are the primary generated currents (before amplification) I(total) and I(dark) are measured <u>simultaneously</u> (LED On and LED off)

Phcurrent= l'ph/1.6E-19 DCR = l'dark/1.6E-19 S/N = Phcurrent/DCR



Silicon Annealing vs temperature





- We use 60C for 80 min to simulate RT annealing

Bari oct. 2019

A. Heering, CMS collaboration



Dose = 1.4E13 n/cm eq.



FBK array, T= -28 °C

I(ph) is unchanged after irradiation and annealing: Hence PDE,Gain and ENF are unchanged at this dose



DCR after irradiation of 1.4E13 n/cm²





Currents reach 2 mA at 3V over voltage which starts to cause self heating of the SiPM









Previously measured Vbr shift with rad



Using the CERN IRRAD 24 GeV proton beam we irradiated a 8 ch Array with 10 micron HPK S12572 SiPMs



Fig. 3. 10 μm cell HPK 8 channel array with Ø 2.8 mm SiPMs with a maximum dose of 2.2 × 10¹⁴ n/cm² in channel 2. (a) Dark current vs. bias voltage. (b) Bias voltage shift vs. dark current at gain 1.

Ch.2 – irradiated with 24 GeV protons (~2.2E14 n/cm²) Ch.8 – irradiated with 24 GeV protons (~7.5E12 n/cm²)

Due to Change of the doping concentration: VB shift with fluence reaches 4 V at 2.2E14 n/cm2

Vbr = 66 V at zero dose







HPK HDR2 after 2E14



Other EFFECT we found at 2E14 is QE loss



This could be a combination of ; Recombination of e-h in top p+ layer and Browning of protective resin used (under investigation)



FBK thin epi after 2E14





FBK with thinner top p+ layer









After successful replacement of HPDs with SiPMs in the CMS HCAL in the last 6 years with a neutron dose of max 2E12 n/cm2

We now plan to also use them in two more sub-detectors in CMS: HGCAL and BTL. This bring significant new challenges due to the large fluence of 2E14 n/cm2 1MeV eq. (Even though we will operate at -30C)

- Large DCR linear with the fluence
- Breakdown voltage shift due to change in doing concentration
- Self heating of the SiPMs due to the large gain
- Loss of PDE in front p+ layer and/or the protective resins used

We like to thank FBK and Hamamatsu for the commitment to this project and the progress made in the last years to improve the SiPMs for these projects

R&D will continue.....





