Conformal invariance of TMD rapidity evolution

Giovanni Antonio Chirilli

University of Regensburg

Resummation Evolution Factorization 2019

University of Pavia, Italy
28 November, 2019

based on Phys.Rev. D100 (2019) no.5, 051504

Outline

- Motivation.
- BK equation and $SL(2,C)$ Möbius group.
- Rapidity factorization for particle production in hadron-hadron collisions.
- Conformal invariance of TMD Operators.
- Conformal TMD Rapidity evolution equation in Sudakov region.
- Conclusions and outlook.

Particle production in hadron-hadron collisions

e.g. production of Higgs particle



- typical TMD region: $s \sim q^2 = m_X^2 \gg q_\perp^2 \sim 1$Gev
- Sudakov region: $s \sim q^2 = m_X^2 \gg q_\perp^2 \gg 1$Gev
- small-x region : $s \gg q^2 \sim q_\perp^2 \gg m_N^2$

TMD factorization

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\eta d^2q_\perp} = \sum_f \int d^2b_\perp e^{i(q,b)_\perp} \mathcal{D}_{f/A}(x_A, b_\perp, \eta) \mathcal{D}_{f/B}(x_B, b_\perp, \eta) \sigma(ff \to H)$$
$$+ \text{power corrections} + \text{Y}-\text{terms}$$

- rapidity: $\eta = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{q^+}{q^-}$.
- $\mathcal{D}_{f/A}$: TMD density of parton f in hadron A.
- $\sigma(ff \to H)$: cross section of production of particle H of invariant mass $m_H^2 = Q^2$ from two partons scattering.
- Power corrections: $\frac{q_\perp^2}{Q^2}$.
- Y-terms: for $q_\perp^2 \sim q^2$ allow transition to collinear factorization formula.

Motivation

- TMD evolution equation are analyzed by different methods at moderate x_B, CSS and SCET, and at small-x_B resulting in different evolution equations.

- At the future Electron Ion Collider, TMD will be probed from low to high x_B. It is then necessary to develop a formalism which is valid in both limits.

- In the region of moderate x_B TMD analysis is performed with a combination of UV and rapidity cutoff which results in two evolution equations, in μ^2 and ζ (related to rapidity). See Collins' book
  - Such evolution equations are known at two and three-loop, but their relation to the conformal properties of TMD is not known.

- I. Balitsky and A. Tarasov (2016): Evolution equation for gluon TMD valid for all $x_B = \beta_B$ and all $k_\perp (\geq 1\text{GeV})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(\beta_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j^a(\beta_B,y_\perp) \;=\; & -\alpha_s \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\int d\!\!{}^-{}^2 k_\perp (x_\perp|\Big\{U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}(Uk_k+p_kU)\frac{\sigma\beta_B s g_{\mu i}-2k_\mu^\perp k_i}{\sigma\beta_B s+k_\perp^2}\\
& - 2k_\mu^\perp g_{ik}U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U - 2g_{\mu k}U^\dagger\frac{p_i}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U + \frac{2k_\mu^\perp}{k_\perp^2}g_{ik}\Big\}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^k\big(\beta_B+\frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s}\big)|k_\perp)\\
& \times (k_\perp|\mathcal{F}^l\big(\beta_B+\frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s}\big)\Big\{\frac{\sigma\beta_B s\delta_j^\mu - 2k_\perp^\mu k_j}{\sigma\beta_B s+k_\perp^2}(k_lU^\dagger+U^\dagger p_l)\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U\\
& -2k_\perp^\mu g_{jl}U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U - 2\delta_l^\mu U^\dagger\frac{p_j}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U + 2g_{jl}\frac{k_\perp^\mu}{k_\perp^2}\Big\}|y_\perp)\\
& + 2\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i(\beta_B,x_\perp)(y_\perp|\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2}\mathcal{F}_k(\beta_B)(i\overleftarrow{\partial}_l+U_l)(2\delta_m^k\delta_j^l - g_{jm}g^{kl})U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s-p_\perp^2+i\epsilon}U\\
& + \mathcal{F}_j(\beta_B)\frac{\sigma\beta_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma\beta_B s-p_\perp^2+i\epsilon)}|y_\perp)\\
& + 2(x_\perp| - U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s-p_\perp^2-i\epsilon}U(2\delta_i^k\delta_m^l - g_{im}g^{kl})(i\partial_k - U_k)\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_l(\beta_B)\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2}\\
& + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i(\beta_B)\frac{\sigma\beta_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma\beta_B s-p_\perp^2-i\epsilon)}|x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j(\beta_B,y_\perp)\Big\} \;+\; O(\alpha_s^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Light-cone limit $\Rightarrow$ DGLAP

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\alpha_s\,\mathcal{D}(x_B,0_\perp,\eta)=\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}N_c\int_{x_B}^{1}\frac{dz'}{z'}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{1-z'}\Big)_+ +\frac{1}{z'}-2+z'(1-z')\Big]\alpha_s\mathcal{D}(\frac{x_B}{z'},0_\perp,\eta)$$

Light-cone limit $\Rightarrow$ DGLAP

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\alpha_s\,\mathcal{D}(x_B,0_\perp,\eta) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}N_c\int_{x_B}^{1}\frac{dz'}{z'}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{1-z'}\Big)_+ + \frac{1}{z'} - 2 + z'(1-z')\Big]\alpha_s\mathcal{D}(\frac{x_B}{z'},0_\perp,\eta)$$

Low-x limit: $p_\perp \sim (x-y)^{-2} \ll 1$ non-linear evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\tilde{U}_i^a(x)U_j^a(y)$$
$$= -\frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2}\mathrm{Tr}\{(-i\partial_i^x + \tilde{U}_i^x)\Big[\int d^2z(\tilde{U}_x\tilde{U}_z^\dagger - 1)\frac{(x-y)^2}{(x-z)^2(y-z)^2}(U_zU_y^\dagger - 1)\Big](i\overleftarrow{\partial}_j^y + U_j^y)\}$$

with $U_i = \partial_i U$ and $\frac{(x-y)^2}{(x-z)^2(y-z)^2}$ BK kernel

Light-cone limit $\Rightarrow$ DGLAP

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\alpha_s\,\mathcal{D}(x_B,0_\perp,\eta) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}N_c\int_{x_B}^{1}\frac{dz'}{z'}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{1-z'}\Big)_+ + \frac{1}{z'} - 2 + z'(1-z')\Big]\alpha_s\mathcal{D}(\frac{x_B}{z'},0_\perp,\eta)$$

Low-x limit: $p_\perp \sim (x-y)^{-2} \ll 1$ non-linear evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\tilde{U}^a_i(x)U^a_j(y)$$
$$= -\frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2}\mathrm{Tr}\{(-i\partial^x_i + \tilde{U}^x_i)\Big[\int d^2z(\tilde{U}_x\tilde{U}^\dagger_z - 1)\frac{(x-y)^2}{(x-z)^2(y-z)^2}(U_zU^\dagger_y - 1)\Big](i\overleftarrow{U}^y_j + U^y_j)\}$$

with $U_i = \partial_i U$ and $\frac{(x-y)^2}{(x-z)^2(y-z)^2}$ BK kernel

Double-Log: $1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{(x-y)^{-2}_\perp}{s}$ and $\sigma x_B s \gg p^2_\perp(x-y)^{-2}_\perp$ $\eta = \ln\sigma$

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\mathcal{D}(x_B,z_\perp,\ln\sigma) = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi}\mathcal{D}(x_B,z_\perp,\ln\sigma)\int\frac{d^2p_\perp}{p^2_\perp}[e^{i(p,z)_\perp} - 1]$$

Result is complicated and not unique. Conformal Invariance may help.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^a_i(\beta_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}^a_j(\beta_B,y_\perp) \;=\; & -\alpha_s \mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\int d\!\!{}^-{}^2k_\perp (x_\perp|\Big\{U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}(Uk_k+p_kU)\frac{\sigma\beta_B s g_{\mu i}-2k^\perp_\mu k_i}{\sigma\beta_B s+k_\perp^2} \\
& -2k^\perp_\mu g_{ik}U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U-2g_{\mu k}U^\dagger\frac{p_i}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U+\frac{2k^\perp_\mu}{k_\perp^2}g_{ik}\Big\}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^k\big(\beta_B+\frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s}\big)|k_\perp) \\
& \times (k_\perp|\mathcal{F}^l\big(\beta_B+\frac{k_\perp^2}{\sigma s}\big)\Big\{\frac{\sigma\beta_B s\delta^\mu_j-2k^\mu_\perp k_j}{\sigma\beta_B s+k_\perp^2}(k_lU^\dagger+U^\dagger p_l)\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U \\
& -2k^\mu_\perp g_{jl}U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U-2\delta^\mu_l U^\dagger\frac{p_j}{\sigma\beta_B s+p_\perp^2}U+2g_{jl}\frac{k^\mu_\perp}{k_\perp^2}\Big\}|y_\perp) \\
& +2\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i(\beta_B,x_\perp)(y_\perp|\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2}\mathcal{F}_k(\beta_B)(i\overleftarrow{\partial}_l+U_l)(2\delta^k_m\delta^l_j-g_{jm}g^{kl})U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s-p_\perp^2+i\epsilon}U \\
& +\mathcal{F}_j(\beta_B)\frac{\sigma\beta_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma\beta_B s-p_\perp^2+i\epsilon)}|y_\perp) \\
& +2(x_\perp|-U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma\beta_B s-p_\perp^2-i\epsilon}U(2\delta^k_i\delta^l_m-g_{im}g^{kl})(i\partial_k-U_k)\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_l(\beta_B)\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2} \\
& +\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i(\beta_B)\frac{\sigma\beta_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma\beta_B s-p_\perp^2-i\epsilon)}|x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j(\beta_B,y_\perp)\Big\} \;+\; O(\alpha_s^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conformal properties of 6-point functions in $\mathcal{N}$=4 SYM

For TMD we need to study 6-point correlation functions in $\mathcal{N}$=4 SYM theory

$\mathcal{S} \equiv \frac{4\pi^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N_c^2-1}}\mathrm{Tr}\{Z^2\}$ $\quad (Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2))$ renorm-invariant chiral primary operator

$\langle \mathrm{T}\{\mathcal{S}(z_1)\mathcal{S}(z_2)\mathcal{S}(z_3)\mathcal{S}(z_4)\mathcal{S}(z_5)\mathcal{S}(z_6)\}\rangle$ Work in progress I. Balitsky and G.A.C.

8 (out of 9) conformal ratios will contribute.



Conformal properties of 6-point functions in $\mathcal{N}$=4 SYM

For TMD we need to study 6-point correlation functions in $\mathcal{N}$=4 SYM theory

$\mathcal{S} \equiv \frac{4\pi^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N_c^2-1}}\mathrm{Tr}\{Z^2\} \quad (Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2))$ renorm-invariant chiral primary operator

$\langle \mathrm{T}\{\mathcal{S}(z_1)\mathcal{S}(z_2)\mathcal{S}(z_3)\mathcal{S}(z_4)\mathcal{S}(z_5)\mathcal{S}(z_6)\}\rangle$ Work in progress I. Balitsky and G.A.C.

8 (out of 9) conformal ratios will contribute.



Simpler case has been studied: 4-point correlation functions in the Regge limit: only two conformal ratios.

Balitsky-Kovchegov equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y) \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{N_c}\mathrm{tr}\{\hat{U}(x_\perp)\hat{U}^\dagger(y_\perp)\}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y) \;=\; \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2}\int\frac{d^2z\;(x-y)^2}{(x-z)^2(y-z)^2}\Big\{\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,z)+\hat{\mathcal{U}}(z,y)-\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y)-\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,z)\hat{\mathcal{U}}(z,y)\Big\}$$

- LLA for DIS in pQCD $\Rightarrow$ BFKL
  - (LLA: $\alpha_s \ll 1, \alpha_s\eta \sim 1$): describes proliferation of gluons.
- LLA for DIS in semi-classical-QCD $\Rightarrow$ BK eqn
  - background field method: describes recombination process.

Conformal invariance of the BK equation

Formally, a light-like Wilson line

$$[\infty p_1 + x_\perp, -\infty p_1 + x_\perp] \;=\; \mathrm{Pexp}\left\{ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^+ \, A_+(x^+, x_\perp)\right\}$$

is invariant under inversion (with respect to the point with $x^- = 0$).

Conformal invariance of the BK equation

Formally, a light-like Wilson line

$$[\infty p_1 + x_\perp, -\infty p_1 + x_\perp] \; = \; \mathrm{Pexp}\left\{ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^+ \, A_+(x^+, x_\perp)\right\}$$

is invariant under inversion (with respect to the point with $x^- = 0$).

Indeed,
$(x^+, x_\perp)^2 = -x_\perp^2 \Rightarrow$ after the inversion $x_\perp \to x_\perp / x_\perp^2$ and $x^+ \to x^+ / x_\perp^2$

Conformal invariance of the BK equation

Formally, a light-like Wilson line

$$[\infty p_1 + x_\perp, -\infty p_1 + x_\perp] \;=\; \mathrm{Pexp}\left\{ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^+ \, A_+(x^+, x_\perp)\right\}$$

is invariant under inversion (with respect to the point with $x^- = 0$).

Indeed,
$(x^+, x_\perp)^2 = -x_\perp^2 \Rightarrow$ after the inversion $x_\perp \to x_\perp/x_\perp^2$ and $x^+ \to x^+/x_\perp^2 \Rightarrow$

$$[\infty p_1 + x_\perp, -\infty p_1 + x_\perp] \;\to\; \mathrm{Pexp}\left\{ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\frac{x^+}{x_\perp^2} \, A_+(\frac{x^+}{x_\perp^2}, \frac{x_\perp}{x_\perp^2})\right\} \;=\; [\infty p_1 + \frac{x_\perp}{x_\perp^2}, -\infty p_1 + \frac{x_\perp}{x_\perp^2}]$$

Conformal invariance of the BK equation

Formally, a light-like Wilson line

$$[\infty p_1 + x_\perp, -\infty p_1 + x_\perp] \; = \; \text{Pexp}\left\{ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^+ \, A_+(x^+, x_\perp)\right\}$$

is invariant under inversion (with respect to the point with $x^- = 0$).

Indeed,
$(x^+, x_\perp)^2 = -x_\perp^2 \Rightarrow$ after the inversion $x_\perp \to x_\perp/x_\perp^2$ and $x^+ \to x^+/x_\perp^2 \Rightarrow$

$$[\infty p_1 + x_\perp, -\infty p_1 + x_\perp] \; \to \; \text{Pexp}\left\{ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\frac{x^+}{x_\perp^2} \, A_+(\frac{x^+}{x_\perp^2}, \frac{x_\perp}{x_\perp^2})\right\} \; = \; [\infty p_1 + \frac{x_\perp}{x_\perp^2}, -\infty p_1 + \frac{x_\perp}{x_\perp^2}]$$

$\Rightarrow$The dipole kernel is invariant under the inversion $V(x_\perp) = U(x_\perp/x_\perp^2)$

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\text{Tr}\{V_x V_y^\dagger\} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2}\int \frac{d^2 z}{\cancel{z^4}} \frac{(x-y)^2 \;\; \cancel{z^4}}{(x-z)^2(z-y)^2}[\text{Tr}\{V_x V_z^\dagger\}\text{Tr}\{V_z V_y^\dagger\} - N_c \text{Tr}\{V_x V_y^\dagger\}]$$

Conformal invariance of the BK equation

SL(2,C) for Wilson lines

$$\hat{S}_- \equiv \frac{i}{2}(K^1 + iK^2), \quad \hat{S}_0 \equiv \frac{i}{2}(D + iM^{12}), \quad \hat{S}_+ \equiv \frac{i}{2}(P^1 - iP^2)$$

$$[\hat{S}_0, \hat{S}_\pm] = \pm\hat{S}_\pm, \quad \frac{1}{2}[\hat{S}_+, \hat{S}_-] = \hat{S}_0,$$

$$[\hat{S}_-, \hat{U}(z,\bar{z})] = z^2\partial_z\hat{U}(z,\bar{z}), \quad [\hat{S}_0, \hat{U}(z,\bar{z})] = z\partial_z\hat{U}(z,\bar{z}), \quad [\hat{S}_+, \hat{U}(z,\bar{z})] = -\partial_z\hat{U}(z,\bar{z})$$

$z \equiv z^1 + iz^2, \quad \bar{z} \equiv z^1 - iz^2, \quad U(z_\perp) = U(z,\bar{z})$

SL(2,C) for Wilson lines

$$\hat{S}_- \equiv \frac{i}{2}(K^1 + iK^2), \quad \hat{S}_0 \equiv \frac{i}{2}(D + iM^{12}), \quad \hat{S}_+ \equiv \frac{i}{2}(P^1 - iP^2)$$

$$[\hat{S}_0, \hat{S}_\pm] = \pm\hat{S}_\pm, \quad \frac{1}{2}[\hat{S}_+, \hat{S}_-] = \hat{S}_0,$$

$$[\hat{S}_-, \hat{U}(z,\bar{z})] = z^2\partial_z \hat{U}(z,\bar{z}), \quad [\hat{S}_0, \hat{U}(z,\bar{z})] = z\partial_z \hat{U}(z,\bar{z}), \quad [\hat{S}_+, \hat{U}(z,\bar{z})] = -\partial_z \hat{U}(z,\bar{z})$$

$$z \equiv z^1 + iz^2, \qquad \bar{z} \equiv z^1 - iz^2, \qquad U(z_\perp) = U(z,\bar{z})$$

Conformal invariance of the evolution kernel

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}[\hat{S}_-, \mathrm{Tr}\{U_x U_y^\dagger\}] = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2}\int dz\; K(x,y,z)[\hat{S}_-, \mathrm{Tr}\{U_x U_y^\dagger\}\mathrm{Tr}\{U_x U_y^\dagger\}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \left[x^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z^2\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right]K(x,y,z) = 0$$

In a conformal theory the amplitude of 4-point functions depends on two conformal ratios which can be chosen as

$$R = \frac{(x-x')^2(y-y')^2}{(x-y)^2(x'-y')^2} \quad \stackrel{\text{Regge limit}}{\longrightarrow} \quad 0$$

$$r = R\Big[1 - \frac{(x-y')^2(y-x')^2}{(x-x')^2(y-y')^2} + \frac{1}{R}\Big]^2 \quad \stackrel{\text{Regge limit}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \text{fixed}$$

Conformal 4-point function in $\mathcal{N}$=4 SYM in the Regge limit

Cornalba (2007)
Provides general structure of the 4-point function in the regge limit as an integral over one real variable ν

$$\langle\{\mathcal{S}(z_1^-, z_{1\perp})\mathcal{S}(z_2^-, z_{2\perp})\mathcal{S}(x^+, x_\perp)\mathcal{S}(y^+, y_\perp)\}\rangle = \int d\nu\ \Phi(r,\nu)F(\nu)R^{\frac{1}{2}\omega(\nu)}$$

$\mathcal{S} \equiv \frac{4\pi^2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{N_c^2-1}}\mathrm{Tr}\{Z^2\}$ $\quad (Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + i\phi_2))$ renorm-invariant operator

- $\omega(\nu) \equiv \omega(0,\nu)$ is the pomeron intercept.
- $F(\nu)$ is the “pomeron residue”.
- $\Phi(r,\nu)$ some function of ν and the conformal ratio r.

Explicit calculation of the 4-point function in the Regge limit at NLLO

$\Rightarrow$

Factorization in rapidity



4-point function at NLO in the Regge limit using conformal composite dipole in Wilson line.

Factorization in rapidity



- $F(\nu)$ Pomeron residue (Impact factor) at NLO in $\mathcal{N}$=4 SYM and QCD I. Balitsky and G.A.C. (2009)
- NLO Pomeron intercept $\omega(\nu)$
  - QCD: Fadin-Lipatov (1998) and I.Balitsky and G.A.C (2007)
  - $\mathcal{N}$=4: Lipatov-Kotikov (2000) and I. Balitsky and G.A.C. (2008)

Factorization in rapidity



$$\langle T\{\mathcal{S}(x)\mathcal{S}^\dagger(y)\mathcal{S}(x')\mathcal{S}^\dagger(y')\}\rangle$$
$$= \int d^2z_{1\perp}d^2z_{2\perp}d^2z'_{1\perp}d^2z'_{2\perp}\mathrm{IF}^{a_0}(x,y;z_1,z_2)[\mathrm{DD}]^{a_0,b_0}(z_1,z_2;z'_1,z'_2)\mathrm{IF}^{b_0}(x',y';z'_1,z'_2)$$

in QCD: NLO $\gamma^*\gamma^*$ cross section G.A.C. and Yu. Kovchegov (2015)

Rapidity Factorization

I. Balitsky and G.A.C. (2008),
I. Balitsky and A. Tarasov (2015)



- Projectile fields: $k^- < \sigma_a \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ Projectile TMD
- Central fields: coefficient functions
- Target fields: $k^+ < \sigma_b \quad \Rightarrow \quad$ Target TMD

TMD factorization in coordinate space

$$\langle p_A, p_B | g^2 F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu}(z_1) g^2 F^b_{\lambda\rho} F^{b\lambda\rho}(z_2) | p_A, p_B\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \langle p_A | \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{ij}(z_1^-, z_{1\perp}; z_2^-, z_{2\perp}) | p_A\rangle^{\sigma_A} \langle p_B | \mathcal{O}^{ij}(z_1^+, z_{1\perp}; z_2^+, z_{2\perp}) | p_B\rangle^{\sigma_B} + ...$$

Gluon TMD operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{ij}(z_1^+, z_{1\perp}; z_2^+, z_{2\perp}) = \mathcal{F}^a_i(z_1)[z_1 - \infty n, z_2 - \infty n]^{ab} \mathcal{F}^b_j(z_2)\Big|_{z_1^- = z_2^- = 0},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{ij}(z_1^-, z_{1\perp}; z_2^-, z_{2\perp}) = \mathcal{F}^a_i(z_1)[z_1 - \infty n', z_2 - \infty n']^{ab} \mathcal{F}^b_j(z_2)\Big|_{z_1^+ = z_2^+ = 0},$$

$$\left(\mathcal{F}^{i,a}(z_\perp, z^+)\right)^\sigma \equiv g F^{-i,m}(z) \left[\mathrm{P} e^{ig\int_{-\infty}^{z^+} dz^+ A^{-,\sigma}(up_1 + x_\perp)}\right]^{ma},$$

TMD factorization in coordinate space

Gluon TMD operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{ij}(z_1^+, z_{1\perp}; z_2^+, z_{2\perp}) = \mathcal{F}_i^a(z_1)[z_1 - \infty n, z_2 - \infty n]^{ab} \mathcal{F}_j^b(z_2)\Big|_{z_1^- = z_2^- = 0},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{ij}(z_1^-, z_{1\perp}; z_2^-, z_{2\perp}) = \mathcal{F}_i^a(z_1)[z_1 - \infty n', z_2 - \infty n']^{ab} \mathcal{F}_j^b(z_2)\Big|_{z_1^+ = z_2^+ = 0},$$

$$\left(\mathcal{F}^{i,a}(z_\perp, z^+)\right)^\sigma \equiv gF^{-i,m}(z)\left[\mathrm{P}e^{ig\int_{-\infty}^{z^+} dz^+ A^{-,\sigma}(up_1 + x_\perp)}\right]^{ma},$$

$$A_\mu^\sigma(x) = \int \frac{d^4k}{16\pi^4}\,\theta\Big(\frac{\sigma\sqrt{2}}{z_{12_\perp}} - |k^+|\Big) e^{-ik\cdot x} A_\mu(k)$$

$\frac{\sigma\sqrt{2}}{z_{12_\perp}}$ cutoff preserving conformal invariance

$$[x, y] \equiv \mathrm{P}e^{ig\int du (x-y)^\mu A_\mu(ux + (1-u)y)}$$

TMD factorization in coordinate space

Gluon TMD operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{ij}(z_1^+, z_{1\perp}; z_2^+, z_{2\perp}) = \mathcal{F}_i^a(z_1)[z_1 - \infty n, z_2 - \infty n]^{ab} \mathcal{F}_j^b(z_2)\Big|_{z_1^- = z_2^- = 0},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{ij}(z_1^-, z_{1\perp}; z_2^-, z_{2\perp}) = \mathcal{F}_i^a(z_1)[z_1 - \infty n', z_2 - \infty n']^{ab} \mathcal{F}_j^b(z_2)\Big|_{z_1^+ = z_2^+ = 0},$$

TMDs are invariant under the inversion

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_i^m(z_\perp, z^+) = \quad & F^{-i,n}(z^+, z_\perp)[z^+, z^+ - \infty n]^{nm} \\ & \to F^{-i,n}\left(\frac{z^+}{z_\perp^2}, \frac{z_\perp}{z_\perp^2}\right)\left[\frac{z^+}{z_\perp^2}, \frac{z^+}{z_\perp^2} - \infty n\right]^{nm} = \mathcal{F}_i^m(z'_\perp, z'^+)\end{aligned}$$

We need the full conformal group of TMD operators.

Conformal SO(2,4) group

Conformal group has 15 generators: Poincare + Dilatation + Special conformal trasformation (inversion + shift + inversion)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\alpha\beta}] = g_{\mu\alpha}M_{\nu\beta} + g_{\nu\beta}M_{\mu\alpha} - g_{\mu\beta}M_{\nu\alpha} - g_{\nu\alpha}M_{\mu\beta} \\
& i[M_{\alpha\beta}, P_\mu] = g_{\alpha\mu}P_\beta - g_{\beta\mu}P_\alpha \\
& i[M_{\alpha\beta}, K_\mu] = g_{\alpha\mu}K_\beta - g_{\beta\mu}K_\alpha \\
& i[D, P_\mu] = P_\mu, \quad i[D, K_\mu] = -K_\mu, \quad i[K_\mu, P_\nu] = 2(g_{\mu\nu}D + M_{\mu\nu})
\end{aligned}
$$

Action on scalar field of canonical dimension Δ;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i[D, \Phi(x)] = (x^\alpha \partial_\alpha + \Delta)\Phi(x) \\
& i[K^\mu, \Phi(x)] = (2x^\mu x^\alpha \partial_\alpha - x^2\partial^\mu + 2\Delta x^\mu)\Phi(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

quantum correction: $\Delta \to \Delta + \text{anomalous}$

Conformal invariance of TMD operator

Conformal $SO(2,4)$ group has 15 generators

TMD operator transform covariantly under 11 generators:

TMD Conformal group

$$P^i,\ \ P^-,\ \ M^{12},\ \ M^{-i},\ \ D,\ \ K^i,\ \ K^-,\ \ M^{-+}$$

Generators P^+, K^+, M^{+i} do not preserve the form of $\mathcal{F}^{-j}$.

Action of conformal generators on TMD operators

$$-iP^i\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp) = \partial^i\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp)\,, \qquad -iP^-\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^+}\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp)\,,$$

$$-iM^{-i}\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp) = -x^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^+}\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp)\,,$$

$$-iD\mathcal{F}^{-i}(x^+,x_\perp) = (x^+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^+} + x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + 2)\mathcal{F}^{-i}(x^+,x_\perp)\,,$$

$$-iM^{ij}\mathcal{F}^{-k}(x^+,x_\perp) = (x^i\partial^j - x^j\partial^i)\mathcal{F}^{-k}(x^+,x_\perp) + g^{ik}\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp) - g^{jk}\mathcal{F}^{-i}(x^+,x_\perp)\,,$$

$$-K^i\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp) = 2x^i(x^+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^+} + x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + 2)\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp) + x_\perp^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp)$$
$$-2x^j\mathcal{F}^{-i}(x^+,x_\perp) + 2g^{ij}x_i\mathcal{F}^{-i}(x^+,x_\perp)\,,$$

$$-iK^-\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp) = x_\perp^2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^+}\mathcal{F}^{-j}(x^+,x_\perp)\,,$$

$$-iM^{+-}\mathcal{F}^{-i}(x^+,x_\perp) = (x^+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^+} + 1)\mathcal{F}^{-i}(x^+,x_\perp)$$

Conformal TMD group in the embedding formalism

Conformal TMD group in the 6-dim space; $g^{-2,-2} = 1, \;\; g^{-1,-1} = -1$

Conf. Transf. are Lorentz transf. of light-rays $\left(\frac{1-x^2}{2}, \frac{1+x^2}{2}, x_\mu\right)$ in 6-dim space with metric $(1, -1, 1, -1, -1, -1)$

$$L_{\mu\nu} \equiv M_{\mu\nu}\,, \quad L_{-2,\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(P_\mu - K_\mu)\,, \quad L_{-1,\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(P_\mu + K_\mu)\,, \quad L_{-2,-1} \equiv D$$

$$i[L_{ab}, L_{mn}] = g_{ma}L_{nb} + g_{nb}L_{ma} - g_{mb}L_{na} - g_{na}L_{mb}$$

Define

$$L_{mn} \equiv \mathbb{M}_{mn} \qquad L_{-n} \equiv \mathbb{P}_n \qquad L_{+-} \equiv \mathbb{D}$$

with $m, n, l = -2, -1, 1, 2$, get usual Poincare generators $\mathbb{M}_{mn}, \mathbb{P}_n$ and the "Dilatation" $\mathbb{D}$ in 4-dim sub-space orthogonal to the physical "$+$" and "$-$" directions

Rapidity evolution at leading in Sudakov region

$m_X^2 = q^2 \equiv Q^2$ Sudakov region: $Q \gg q_\perp \gg 1$Gev

Sudakov region in coord. space: $z_{12\|}^2 \equiv 2z_{12}^- z_{12}^+ \ll z_{12\perp}^2$

Typical LO diagrams



To calculate these diagrams the approximation is: $k^+ \gg \frac{z_{12}^+}{z_{12\perp}^2}$

Sudakov evolution:transverse separation between the gluon operators $\mathcal{F}_i$ and $\mathcal{F}_j$ does not change while the longitudinal one increases.

Rapidity evolution at leading in Sudakov region

$$\mathcal{O}^{\sigma_2}(z_1^+, z_2^+) \;=\; \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{\sigma_1\sqrt{2}}{z_{12\perp}}}^{\frac{\sigma_2\sqrt{2}}{z_{12\perp}}} \frac{dk^+}{k^+}\, K\mathcal{O}^{\sigma_1}(z_1^+, z_2^+)$$

where the kernel K is given by

$$K\mathcal{O}(z_1^+, z_2^+) \;=\; \mathcal{O}(z_1^+, z_2^+)\int_{-\infty}^{z_1^+} \frac{dz^+}{z_2^+ - z^+} e^{-i\frac{z_{12\perp}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}(z_2-z)^+}} + \;\mathcal{O}(z_1^+, z_2^+)\int_{-\infty}^{z_2^+} \frac{dz^+}{z_1^+ - z^+} e^{i\frac{z_{12\perp}\sigma}{\sqrt{2}(z_1-z)^+}}$$

$$-\int_{-\infty}^{z_1^+} dz^+ \frac{\mathcal{O}(z_1^+, z_2^+) - \mathcal{O}(z^+, z_2^+)}{z_1^+ - z^+} \;-\int_{-\infty}^{z_2^+} dz^+ \frac{\mathcal{O}(z_1^+, z_2^+) - \mathcal{O}(z_1^+, z^+)}{z_2^+ - z^+}$$

Solution of the evolution equation

To solve the evolution equation, perform Fourier transform

$$K e^{-ik^- z_1^+ + ik'^- z_2^+} = \Big[-2\ln \sigma z_{12_\perp} - \ln(ik^-) - \ln(-ik'^-) + \ln 2 - 4\gamma_E + O\Big(\frac{z_{12}^+}{z_{12_\perp}\sigma}\Big)\Big] e^{-ik^- z_1^+ + ik'^- z_2^+}$$

Result ($\bar{\alpha}_s = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{4\pi}$) I. Balitsky and G.A.C (2019)

$$\mathcal{O}^{\sigma_2}(z_1^+, z_2^+) = e^{-2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\left[\ln \sigma_1\sigma_2 + 4\gamma_E - \ln 2\right]} \int dz_1'^+ dz_2'^+ \, \mathcal{O}^{\sigma_1}(z_1'^+, z_2'^+) \, z_{12_\perp}^{-2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}$$
$$\times \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\Bigg[\frac{i\Gamma\big(1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\big)}{(z_1^+ - z_1'^+ + i\epsilon)^{1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}} + c.c.\Bigg]\Bigg[\frac{i\Gamma\big(1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\big)}{(z_2^+ - z_2'^+ + i\epsilon)^{1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}} + c.c.\Bigg]$$

Solution of the evolution equation

Result ($\bar{\alpha}_s = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{4\pi}$)

I. Balitsky and G.A.C (2019)

$$\mathcal{O}^{\sigma_2}(z_1^+, z_2^+) \;=\; e^{-2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\left[\ln\sigma_1\sigma_2 + 4\gamma_E - \ln 2\right]} \int dz_1'^+ dz_2'^+ \; \mathcal{O}^{\sigma_1}(z_1'^+, z_2'^+) \; z_{12_\perp}^{-2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}$$
$$\times \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\left[\frac{i\Gamma\left(1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)}{(z_1^+ - z_1'^+ + i\epsilon)^{1-2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}} + c.c.\right]\left[\frac{i\Gamma\left(1 - 2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}\right)}{(z_2^+ - z_2'^+ + i\epsilon)^{1-2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}} + c.c.\right]$$

Result transform covariantly under the TMD-conformal group generators except the Lorentz boost M^{+-} which is the generator of the evolution equation: The Lorentz boost in z direction changes the cutoffs for the evolution.

Conformal invariance of the TMD matrix element

Sudakov-region result is applicable in the region between:

$$\sigma_2 = \sigma_B = \frac{z_{12\perp}}{z_{12}^{-}\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1 = \frac{z_{12}^{+}\sqrt{2}}{z_{12\perp}}$$

Sudakov-region result is applicable in the region between:

$$\sigma_2 = \sigma_B = \frac{z_{12\perp}}{z_{12}^{-}\sqrt{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_1 = \frac{z_{12}^{+}\sqrt{2}}{z_{12\perp}}$$

Lorentz boost: $z^+ \to \lambda z^+, \qquad z^- \to \frac{1}{\lambda} z^-$

- $\langle p_B|\mathcal{O}|p_B\rangle \to \langle p_B|\mathcal{O}|p_B\rangle \exp\{4\lambda\bar{\alpha}_s \ln \frac{z^2_{12\parallel}}{z^2_{12_\perp}}\}$ Target
- $\langle p_A|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}|p_A\rangle \to \langle p_A|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}|p_A\rangle \exp\{-4\lambda\bar{\alpha}_s \ln \frac{z^2_{12\parallel}}{z^2_{12_\perp}}\}$ Projectile

So the amplitude is invariant:

$$\langle p_A, p_B|g^2 F^a_{\mu\nu}F^{a\mu\nu}(z_1) g^2 F^b_{\lambda\rho}F^{b\lambda\rho}(z_2)|p_A, p_B\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{N_c^2-1}\langle p_A|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{ij}(z_1^-, z_{1_\perp}; z_2^-, z_{2_\perp})|p_A\rangle^{\sigma_A}\langle p_B|\mathcal{O}^{ij}(z_1^+, z_{1_\perp}; z_2^+, z_{2_\perp})|p_B\rangle^{\sigma_B}$$

Comparing with conventional TMD analysis

Evolution for generalized TMD $\xi = \frac{p_B - p'_B}{\sqrt{2s}}$

$$D^\sigma(x_B, \xi) = \int dz^+ \, e^{-ix_B\sqrt{\frac{s}{2}}z^+} \langle p'_B | \mathcal{O}^\sigma\big(-\frac{z^+}{2}, \frac{z^+}{2}\big) | p_B \rangle$$

From our result we get

$$\frac{D^{\sigma_2}(x,\xi)}{D^{\sigma_1}(x,\xi)} = e^{-2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}[\ln \sigma_2\sigma_1(x^2-\xi^2)sz^2_{12_\perp} + 4\gamma_E - 2\ln 2]}$$

For usual TMD at $\xi = 0$ with the limits of Sudakov evolution one obtains

$$\frac{D^{\sigma_2}(x,q_\perp)}{D^{\sigma_1}(x,q_\perp)} = e^{-2\bar{\alpha}_s \ln\frac{Q^2}{q^2_\perp}\left[\ln\frac{Q^2}{q^2_\perp} + 4\gamma_E - 2\ln 2\right]}$$

- Coincides with usual one-loop evolution of TMDs up to replacement $4\gamma_E - 2\ln 2 \to 4\gamma_E - 4\ln 2$.
- constant depends on the way of cutting k^+-integration which should be coordinated with the cutoffs in the “coefficient function” $\sigma(ff \to H)$
  - The discrepancy is just like using two different schemes for usual renormalization.

Sudakov: $Q \gg q_\perp$; in coord. space $(x-y)^2_\perp \gg (x-y)^2_\parallel$

- $x_B \sim 1$ and $q_\perp \sim m_N$
  - The relative energy between Wilson-line operators $\mathcal{F}$ and target nucleon at the final point of the evolution is $\sim m_N^2$ so one should use phenomenological models of TMDs with this low rapidity cutoff as a starting point of the evolution.

- $x_B \ll 1$
  - This relative energy is within $\frac{q_\perp^2}{x_B s} > \sigma > \frac{m_N^2}{s}$ beyond Sudakov region into the low-x region: the TMD operator, known as Weiczsäcker-Williams distribution, will produce a of color dipoles as a result of the non-linear evolution. The transition between Sudakov region and small-x region is described by rather complicated interpolation formula. In coordinate space this means the study of the operator $\mathcal{O}$ at $z_\parallel^2 \sim z_\perp^2$. Conformal invariance may help us obtain the TMD evolution in that region.

Conclusions and Outlook

- The conformal TMD group has been obtained: it is made out of 11 generators of the full conformal group.
- We obtained conformal evolution of gluon and quark ($N_c \to C_F$) TMDs in the Sudakov region.

Outlook

- Conformal properties of TMD evolution in the small-x region.
- Conformal evolution for all x_B.
- The plan is to perform the calculation for 6 point function similarly to the one done for the 4 point function in $\mathcal{N}$=4 and in in QCD.