Soft gluon resummation in associated production of top-antitop pair and a H, Z or W boson

Pavia, 27-11-2019 Leszek Motyka Jagiellonian University, Kraków

Motivation

The main goal:

Estimate resummed soft gluon corrections to ttH, ttZ, ttW hadroproduction at the LHC and improve theoretical precision

Reasons:

 processes with the heaviest Standard Model particles that probe directly the value of top Yukawa coupling and top quark coupling to electroweak gauge bosons – potentially sensitive to New Physics

 practical extension of the soft gluon resummation technique to more than two final state particles

 Report on results of recent and continued work by Anna Kulesza, Tomasz Stebel, Vincent Theeuwes, Daniel Schwartlander, LM
 [JHEP 1603 (2016) 065, Phys.Rev. D97 (2018) 114007, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019), 249]

Observation of tt-Higgs at the LHC (2018)





Parallel efforts in soft gluon resummation in tt**H**

Currently two active groups:

Direct QCD – Mellin space: A. Kulesza, D. Schwartlander, T. Stebel, V. Theeuwes,LM

Soft Collinear Effective Theory (SCET) A. Broggio, A. Ferroglia, B.D. Pecjak,

A. Signer and L.L.Yang, R. Frederix

- Soft gluon NLL resummation at the absolute threshold (direct QCD) arXiv:1509.02780
- Approximate NNLO from soft gluon NNLL resummation formula in Soft Collinear Effective Theory: invariant mass dependent resummation (SCET) arXiv:1510.01914
- Invariant mass dependent resummation at NLL (direct QCD) arXiv:1609.01619
- Invariant mass dependent resummation at NNLL (SCET) arXiv:1611.00049
- Invariant mass dependent resummation at NNLL (direct QCD) arXiv:1704.03363
- Since then: several new papers of both groups on pp $\rightarrow t\bar{t}Z$ and $t\bar{t}W$

Soft gluon resummation

 Framework based on proofs of hard factorization by Collins, Soper and Sterman and by Catani and Trentadue (1980-s)

Logarithmically enhanced soft gluon corrections may factorized and resummed to all order of perturbation theory keeping:
 (α_s log² β)ⁿ at LL, (α_sⁿ log²ⁿ⁻¹ β) at NLL, (α_sⁿ log²ⁿ⁻²β) at NNLL accuracy

- We work up to NNLL accuracy: hard scattering at NLO, soft-collinear logs at NNLO + collinear logs (NLO) + soft logs (NLO)
- Collinear logs \rightarrow incoherent, they redefine massless partons
- Soft wide angle logs \rightarrow coherent, depend on total color current
- Resummation is performed using Renormalization Group technique
- Those corrections may be factorized in the Mellin moment space: particularly simple picture of resummation → multiplicative factors at given value of the Mellin moment N

General factorization procedure

 $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{H}^{\dagger} \otimes \hat{S} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \psi_i \otimes \psi_j \otimes J_i \otimes J_j$



 S_{AB} — soft gluon matrix

H — hard amplitude matrix

 ψ_i — initial state "jet factors" collinear radiation of incoming partons

 J_i — collinear "jet factors" for final state massless partons

Scale evolution of the soft gluon matrix: renormalization group equations

• The anomalous dimension matrix in color tensor space governs the Soft Matrix evolution:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \end{bmatrix} \hat{S}(\mu, g) = -\hat{\Gamma}_{S}^{\dagger} \hat{S}(\mu, g) - \hat{S}(\mu, g) \hat{\Gamma}_{S}$$
$$\hat{\Gamma}_{S}(g) = -\frac{g}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \operatorname{Res}_{\epsilon \to 0} \hat{Z}_{S}(g, \epsilon)$$
$$\beta(g) = -g^{3} \frac{\beta_{0}}{(4\pi)^{2}} - g^{5} \frac{\beta_{1}}{(4\pi)^{4}} - \dots$$
$$\hat{\Gamma}_{S} = \frac{\hat{\gamma}^{(0)}}{(4\pi)^{2}} + \frac{\hat{\gamma}^{(1)}}{(4\pi)^{4} + \dots}$$

- The evolution between the process scale and the lower cutoff scale on the soft gluon energy: generation of logs of the scale ratio
- Solution in the Mellin space

Compact summary of the resummation formalism

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\tilde{\hat{\sigma}}_{ij\to klB}^{(\text{res})}}{dQ^2} & (N,Q^2,\{m^2\},\mu_{\rm F}^2,\mu_{\rm R}^2) = \\ & = & \text{Tr}\left[\mathbf{H}_{ij\to klB}(Q^2,\{m^2\},\mu_{\rm F}^2,\mu_{\rm R}^2)\mathbf{S}_{ij\to klB}(N+1,Q^2,\{m^2\},\mu_{\rm R}^2)\right] \\ & \times & \Delta^i(N+1,Q^2,\mu_{\rm F}^2,\mu_{\rm R}^2)\Delta^j(N+1,Q^2,\mu_{\rm F}^2,\mu_{\rm R}^2), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{ij\to klB}(N,Q^2,\{m^2\},\mu_{\mathrm{R}}^2) &= \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{ij\to klB}(N,Q^2,\{m^2\},\mu_{\mathrm{R}}^2) \, \tilde{\mathbf{S}}_{ij\to klB}(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}(Q^2/\bar{N}^2)) \\ &\times \, \mathbf{U}_{ij\to klB}(N,Q^2,\{m^2\},\mu_{\mathrm{R}}^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{ij\to klB} = \mathbf{H}_{ij\to klB}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \mathbf{H}_{ij\to klB}^{(1)} + \dots \qquad \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{ij\to klB} = \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{ij\to klB}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} \mathbf{\tilde{S}}_{ij\to klB}^{(1)} + \dots$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{ij\to klB}\left(N,Q^{2},\{m^{2}\},\mu_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}\right) = \operatorname{P}\exp\left[\int_{\mu_{\mathrm{R}}}^{Q/\bar{N}}\frac{dq}{q}\mathbf{\Gamma}_{ij\to klB}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}\left(q^{2}\right)\right)\right]$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{ij\to klB}\left(\alpha_{\rm s}\right) = \left[\left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{\pi}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{ij\to klB}^{(1)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{\pi}\right)^2 \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{ij\to klB}^{(2)} + \dots \right]$$

Compact summary of the resummation formalism

Ingredients of the NLL calculation:

- LO hard matrix in color tensor basis (computed)
- LO soft matrix at initial scale (trivial)
- One loop soft anomalous dimension matrix (computed)
- NLL collinear factors (known)

NNLL calculation:

- Hard matrix in color tensor basis at one loop (extracted from NLO QCD calculations)
- One-loop soft matrix at one initial scale (computed)
- Two-loop soft anomalous dimension matrix
- NNLL collinear factors (known)

Organizing the perturbative series:

- In Mellin representation $log\beta$ -s translate into logs of Mellin moments $\rightarrow \log N$
- LL corresponds to $(\alpha_s \log^2 N)^n$, NLL to $\alpha_s \log N (\alpha_s \log^2 N)^{n-1}$ NNLL to $\alpha_s^2 (\alpha_s \log^2 N)^{n-1}$
- The LL terms come from the LO soft–collinear corrections
- The soft wide angle gluon corrections enter at NLL (they are exponentiated), and the first order corrections at NNLL
- The Mellin space resumming factors organized into functions at given level of accuracy: $g_1(\alpha_s, N), g_2(\alpha_s, N)$ and $g_3(\alpha_s, N)$

Matching to NLO QCD and NLO EW

- In order to make full use of available information: matching of soft gluon resummation to the existing NLO calculations
- The NLO cross-section implemented in MC codes: PowHEG BOX, aMC@NLO and SHERPA
- From the cross-section at the NLL / NNLL accuracy the part beyond the fixed order NLO expansion is taken and combined with the exact NLO result
 - → NLL / NNLL cross-section matched to NLO
- Also: inclusion of NLO EW corrections into the fixed order part

Beyond NLO, towards NNLL: NLO hard matrix element

The available NLO calculations give access to the value of NLO correction in the threshold limit

$$\mathbf{H}_{ij\to klB} = \mathbf{H}_{ij\to klB}^{(0)} + \frac{\alpha_{\rm s}}{\pi} \mathbf{H}_{ij\to klB}^{(1)} + \dots$$

- Part of this correction coincides with the LL and NLL soft gluon logarithms → taken care by the soft gluon resummation
- The reminder of the NLO correction constant at the threshold limit (as function of the Mellin moment N) → hard matrix at NLO
- Inclusion of NLO correction in the hard matrix element → necessary part of NNLL resummation, but it may be also used as an improvement of the NLL resummation → customary in soft gluon resummation in Higgs boson physics

Soft anomalous dimension at NNLL

- Soft anomalous dimension new topologies with three eikonal lines
- Color structures containing 3 SU(3) generators: T^aT^bT^c
 [A. Ferroglia, M. [Neubert, B. Pecjak, L. L. Yang, Phys.Rev.Lett. 103 (2009) 201601,

JHEP 0911 (2009) 062]



Results for tt-Higgs: NLO approximate vs NLO exact

Quality test of the approach: comparison of expanded NNLL result to exact NLO result



- In the plot the qg channel that enters at NLO as a subleading piece that is subtracted from the NLO as it is not generated in the soft gluon resummation
- The NLO result is well approximated

Results for ttH, Q-dependent threshold resummation at NLO + NNLL: renormalization scale = factorization scale

Scale dependence of total cross-section



- Sizable improvement of the scale stability of NLO+NLLL w.r.t. the NLO
- Increasing theoretical accuracy: NLO → NLO+NLL → NLO+NLLwC → NLO+NNLL leads to increasing stability of predictions

Results for ttH: effects of the NNLL resummation on the invariant mass (Q) distribution



- We use the 7-point method to estimate of theoretical uncertainty due to independent variations of renormalisation and factorisation scales
- Sizable improvement of theoretical precision of NLO+NNLL w.r.t. the NLO
- Improvement of the results stability w.r.t. the choice of the central scale

Predictions for ttH: NLO + NNLL invariant mass dependent resummation

Central scales:

• $M = 2m_t + m_H$

• $Q^2 = (p_t + p_t + p_H)^2$

• $H_T = sum M_{Ti}$



Predictions for ttz and ttW: NLO + NNLL invariant mass dependent resummation

The same framework as for associated Higgs boson production



- In the case of Z boson similar pattern to the Higgs boson
- For the W boson only small improvement due to resummation → reason: lack of gluon – gluon channel

NLO + NNLL invariant mass dependent resummation for ttz and ttW: comparison to CMS and ATLAS data



Theory errors reduced, and for Z made lower than experimental ones
 Measurements consistent with the Standard Model predictions

Predictions: NLO+NNLL resummation for Z-boson pT-distribution in hadroproduction of ttz

Included: NLO+NNLL QCD + NLO EW



NLO results show quite some sensitivity to the choice of central scale

Predictions: NLO + NNLL resummation for Z-boson pT-distribution in hadroproduction of ttZ

Included: NLO+NNLL QCD + NLO EW



NLO results show quite some sensitivity to the choice of central scale

NLO + NNLL results lead to smaller theoretical uncertainty

NLO + NNLL resummation for Z boson pT distribution in t-t-Z hadroproduction: comparison to CMS (2019) data

Accuracy:

NLO QCD + NLO EW vs NLO + NNLL QCD + NLO EW

 NLO + NNLL results: closer to data, smaller theoretical uncertainty



• P_{T} (top) and M(top-antitop)



Distributions at NLO (QCD+EW)+NNLL for ttZ [New!]

Relative azimuthal angle of top and Z and the difference of Z - t rapidities



 $\mathbf{2}$

3

Summary

- Soft gluon resummation in ttH, ttZ and ttW production in pp collisions have been performed for absolute threshold and inariant mass dependent schemes at NNLL accuracy matched to NLO QCD
- Extension performed of the soft gluon resummation to three body final state with non-trivial colour structure
- Significant improvement of the NLO+NNLL theoretical precision w.r.t. the NLO results
- The currently most precise theoretical estimates obtained of hadroproduction tt
 H, tt
 Z and tt
 W cross sections at the LHC including differential distributions
- The obtained results lead to an improved description of data