

Building maps of kinematical regions in SIDIS

Mariaelena Boglione



Svaldo Gonzalez Andrea Simonelli

USA

Alexei Prokudin Leonard Gamberg Ted Rogers Nobuo Sato John Collins







M. Boglione, A. Dotson, L. Gamberg, S. Gordon, J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, A. Prokudin, T. C. Rogers, N. Sato, ArXiv:1904.12882, JHEP 1910 (2019) 122

Sophisticated theoretical frameworks (like perturbation theory and QCD factorization) have long existed for describing specific underlying physical mechanisms in terms of partonic degrees of freedom.

However, they always assume specific kinematic limiting cases, e.g. very large or very small transverse momentum, or very large or very small rapidity.

If all energies and hard scales are extremely large, we are in the asymptotic freedom regime and pictures of partonic interactions rooted in **perturbation theory** can usually be applied confidently and with very high accuracy and precision. However here we are **little sensitive to the inner hadronic structure**.

Instead, it is in the moderate-to-low Q range that we can reasonably expect some sensitivity to intrinsic properties of hadron structure and other non-perturbative effects.

M. Boglione, A. Dotson, L. Gamberg, S. Gordon, J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, A. Prokudin, T. C. Rogers, N. Sato, ArXiv:1904.12882, JHEP 1910 (2019) 122

- The interface between different physical regimes remains unclear in practice, especially when the hard scales involved are not particularly large.
- Estimating the kinematic boundaries of any specific QCD approach or approximation beyond very rough orders of magnitude is difficult and subtle. It requires at least some model assumptions, e.g. about the role of parton virtuality and/or the onset of various non-perturbative or hadronic mechanisms generally.
- Need to organize an interpretation strategy, applicable with any model of underlying non-perturbative dynamics, independent of assumptions about factorization.

Probe the proximity of any given kinematic configuration to a conventional partonic region of SIDIS, and probe the sensitivity to the various model assumptions needed to make such an assessment.

TMD regions

For a CSS-like factorization scheme to work, 4 distinct kinematic regions have to be identified

They should be large enough and well separated

TMD evolution		Matching region (Y factor)	Fixed Order collinear QCD	
$q_{_{T}} \sim \lambda_{_{QCD}}$	q ₇ << Q	q _T ~ Q	$q_{_T} \ge Q$	
Intrinsic q_{τ}	Soft gluon radia	ation	Hard gluon emission	

Unpolarized cross section vs. transverse momentum



Plot credit: Ted Rogers

TMD regions in SIDIS



Anatomy of a SIDIS process



$$\begin{split} Q^2 &= -q^2 = -(l-l')^2, \qquad x_{\mathrm{Bj}} = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}, \\ x_{\mathrm{N}} &= -\frac{q^+}{P^+} = \frac{2x_{\mathrm{Bj}}}{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4x_{\mathrm{Bj}}^2/Q^2}}}, \qquad \mathsf{M} = \text{mass of the ingoing hadron} \\ y &= \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot l}, \qquad z_{\mathrm{h}} = \frac{P \cdot P_{\mathrm{B}}}{P \cdot q} = 2x_{\mathrm{Bj}} \frac{P \cdot P_{\mathrm{B}}}{Q^2}, \qquad z_{\mathrm{N}} = \frac{P_{\mathrm{B}}^-}{q^-}, \\ W_{\mathrm{tot}}^2 &= (q+P)^2, \qquad W_{\mathrm{SIDIS}}^2 = (q+P-P_{\mathrm{B}})^2, \qquad s = (l+P)^2 \end{split}$$

Anatomy of a SIDIS process



- In the hadron frame, the incoming hadron and final state hadron are exactly back-to-back (zero relative transverse momentum), while the virtual photon has non-zero transverse momentum.
- It is an especially useful frame for setting up factorization.

$$\begin{split} q_{\rm H} &= \left(q_{\rm H}^+, q_{\rm H}^-, {\bf q}_{\rm H,T} \right) \,, \qquad \qquad P_{\rm H}^+ = P_{\gamma}^+ = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}x_{\rm N}} \,, \\ P_{\rm H} &= \left(P_{\rm H}^+, \frac{M^2}{2P_{\rm H}^+}, {\bf 0}_{\rm T} \right) \,, \qquad \qquad P_{\rm H}^- = P_{\gamma}^- = \frac{x_{\rm N}M^2}{\sqrt{2}Q} \,, \\ P_{\rm B,H} &= \left(\frac{M_{\rm B}^2}{2P_{\rm B,H}^-}, P_{\rm B,H}^-, {\bf 0}_{\rm T} \right) \qquad \qquad P_{\rm H,T} = {\bf 0}_{\rm T} \,. \end{split}$$



$$\begin{split} q_{\rm b} &= \left(-\frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}}, \mathbf{0}_{\rm T}\right),\\ P_{\rm b} &= \left(\frac{Q}{x_{\rm N}\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_{\rm N}M^2}{\sqrt{2}Q}, \mathbf{0}_{\rm T}\right) = \left(\frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} e^{y_{P,\rm b}}, \frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-y_{P,\rm b}}, \mathbf{0}_{\rm T}\right)\\ P_{\rm B,\gamma}^{-} &= \frac{z_{\rm h}Q^2}{4x_{\rm Bj}P_{\gamma}^+} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4x_{\rm Bj}^2M^2\left(\mathbf{P}_{\rm B,\gamma,\rm T}^2 + M_{\rm B}^2\right)}{z_{\rm h}^2Q^4}}\right) \approx \frac{z_{\rm h}Q^2}{2x_{\rm Bj}P_{\gamma}^+} \end{split}$$

Final hadron variables in the Breit photon frame



Massless Hadron Approximations (MHA)



The ratio x_N / x_{Bj} is a measure of the quality of the MHA approximation. It must not deviate too much from 1 if the standard massless approximations are to be considered valid

Kinematics regions of Q and x_{Bi} covered by JLab 12, HERMES and COMPASS.

Shaded areas are obtained by applying appropriate experimental cuts.

- Q and x_{Bj} are strongly correlated: large values of x_{Bj} can only be accessed when Q is sufficiently large. When Q is relatively small, only limited values of x_{Bj} can be reached.
- The values of x_N / x_{Bj} are colour-coded: the lightest shade corresponds to values very close to one, while darker shades correspond to regions where the ratio $x_N = x_{Bj}$ increasingly deviates from 1 and the quality of the MHA deteriorates.
- While mass corrections are more important for JLab 12 kinematics, for all three experiments the value x_N=x_{Bi} remains very close to 1 to a very good approximation.

Massless Hadron Approximations (MHA)



The ratio z_N/z_h is a measure of the quality of the MHA approximation. It must not deviate too much from 1 if the standard massless approximations are to be considered valid

- The ratio z_N/z_h is represented over the kinematic coverage in $(z_h; P_{BT}; Q)$ for JLab 12, HERMES and COMPASS, at some fixed values of x_{Bi} and Q.
- The values of z_N/z_h , for pion and kaon production are colour-coded: the lightest shade corresponds to values very close to one, while darker shades correspond to regions where the ratio increasingly deviates from 1 and the quality of the MHA deteriorates.
- Deviations from 1 are more sizeable as compared to those of x_N/x_{B_j} , particularly in the JLab case.

Mapping SIDIS kinematics and partonic sub-processes



Assuming that the configuration of initial and final hadrons is the result of scattering and fragmentation of small-mass constituents (partons), what are the possible kinematics configurations of those constituents, given a set of assumptions about their intrinsic properties?

- **Lower blob** \leftrightarrow incoming hadron.
- Diagram in central square ↔ partonic subprocess of interaction partons virtual photon
- Dashed lines ↔ flow of momenta
- Upper blob ↔ fragmentation of the outgoing parton into the observed hadron B
- $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}}$ labels the total momentum of all other unobserved partons combined

Parton kinematics

$$\begin{split} k_{\rm i}^{\rm b} &= \left(\frac{Q}{\hat{x}_{\rm N}\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\hat{x}_{\rm N}(k_{\rm i}^2 + \mathbf{k}_{\rm i,b,T}^2)}{\sqrt{2}Q}, \mathbf{k}_{\rm i,b,T}\right), \qquad k_{\rm f}^{\rm b} = \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\rm f,b,T}^2 + k_{\rm f}^2}{\sqrt{2}\hat{z}_{\rm N}Q}, \frac{\hat{z}_{\rm N}Q}{\sqrt{2}}, \mathbf{k}_{\rm f,b,T}\right)\\ k_{\rm i}^+ &\equiv \xi P_{\rm b}^+, \qquad P_{\rm B,b}^- \equiv \zeta k_{\rm f}^-, \qquad \hat{x}_{\rm N} \equiv -\frac{q_{\rm b}^+}{k_{\rm i,b}^+} = \frac{x_{\rm N}}{\xi}, \qquad \hat{z}_{\rm N} \equiv \frac{k_{\rm f,b}^-}{q_{\rm b}^-} = \frac{z_{\rm N}}{\zeta} \end{split}$$

 $\mathbf{k}_{f,b,T} = -z_N \mathbf{q_T} + \delta \mathbf{k_T} \qquad \qquad = \delta \mathbf{k}_{\tau} \text{ plays the role of "intrinsic" transverse momentum}$

For nearly on-shell partons

From momentum conservation:

$$k_X^2 = (k_i + q - k_f)^2$$



Parton kinematics

27 November 2019

Mapping SIDIS kinematics and partonic sub-processes

■ We are interested in the kinematics of the $k_i + q \rightarrow k_f + k_x$ subprocess and how closely it matches the overall P + q $\rightarrow P_B$ + X process under very general assumptions

Basic partonic approximation 1: masses and off-shellness of partons are small relative to Q:

$$\frac{k_i^2}{Q^2} \to 0 \,, \ \frac{k_f^2}{Q^2} \to 0$$

Basic partonic approximation 2: in the current region, k_{f} is aligned with the final state hadron

$$k_f \cdot P_B \to 0$$

Mapping SIDIS kinematics and partonic sub-processes

Further approximations apply to different specic partonic subprocesses

The kinematics of the struck parton approaches the kinematic configuration of TMD factorization, in the current region



11

$$|k^2| \to Q^2, \ \frac{k_X^2}{Q^2} \to 0$$

The kinematics of the subprocess is that of a hard 2 to 2 partonic process



Here at least three partons are ejected at wide angles from the hard collision

M. Boglione, A. Dotson, L. Gamberg, S. Gordon, J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, A. Prokudin, T. C. Rogers, N. Sato, ArXiv:1904.12882, JHEP 1910 (2019) 122

- Sketch of kinematical regions of SIDIS in terms of the produced hadron's Breit frame rapidity and transverse momentum.
- In each region, the type of suppression factors that give factorization are shown.

In the Breit frame, partons in the handbag configuration are centered on y=0 if $-k_i^2 \sim k_f^2 = O(m^2)$

The shaded regions in the sketch are shifted somewhat toward the target rapidity y_{P;b} (the vertical dashed line) to account for the behaviour of the rapidities when z_N and x_N are small.



M. Boglione, A. Dotson, L. Gamberg, S. Gordon, J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, A. Prokudin, T. C. Rogers, N. Sato, ArXiv:1904.12882, JHEP 1910 (2019) 122

General Hardness Ratio :	$R_0 \equiv \max\Big($	$ \frac{k_i^2}{Q^2} ,$	$ \frac{k_f^2}{Q^2} ,$	$ \frac{\delta k_f^2}{Q^2} \Big)$
5	-			

Collinearity:
$$R_1 \equiv \frac{P_B \cdot k_f}{P_B \cdot k_i}$$

Transverse Hardness Ratio : $R_2 \equiv \frac{|k^2|}{Q^2}$

Spectator Virtuality Ratio : $R_3 \equiv \frac{|k_X^2|}{Q^2}$

	R_0	R_1	R_2	R_3	R'_1
TMD Current region	small	small	small	Х	large
Hard region	small	small	large	small (low order $pQCD$)	large
	small	small	large	large (high order pQCD)	large
Target region	small	large	Х	Х	small
Soft region	small	large	small	Х	large







Non-perturbative parameters

Typical estimate of non-perturbative mass scales ~ 300 MeV, so we set

$$k_i = k_f = k_T = 300 \text{ MeV}$$

Also, to start with we assume that

$$\mathbf{q}_T \cdot \delta \mathbf{k}_T = q_T \cdot \delta k_T$$

Azimuthal effects may be added later

Finally we set the following representative values:

$$\xi = 0.3, \ \zeta = 0.3, \ z_h = 0.3, \ q_T = 0.3 \text{ GeV}$$

M. Boglione, A. Dotson, L. Gamberg, S. Gordon, J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, A. Prokudin, T. C. Rogers, N. Sato, ArXiv:1904.12882, JHEP 1910 (2019) 122

Collinearity R,

At large Q and not-too-large x_{Bj}, R₁ remains small for all transverse momenta, while corrections might be necessary at smaller Q and larger x_{Bi}.

Notice the strong flavour dependence.



M. Boglione, A. Dotson, L. Gamberg, S. Gordon, J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, A. Prokudin, T. C. Rogers, N. Sato, ArXiv:1904.12882, JHEP 1910 (2019) 122

Transverse hardness ratio R,

- R₂ maps out the applicability of large and small transverse momentum approximations.
- "large-q," grows with Q
- While the hadron is in the current region for most q_τ values, the small transverse momentum region is much more limited.
- There is a broad intermediate region where the situation is not clear.
- The flavour of the final state hadron is decisive in determining the relevant factorization region.
- The flavour of the final state hadron has little effect on the transverse momentum hardness



M. Boglione, A. Dotson, L. Gamberg, S. Gordon, J. O. Gonzalez-Hernandez, A. Prokudin, T. C. Rogers, N. Sato, ArXiv:1904.12882, JHEP 1910 (2019) 122

Spectator Virtuality ratio R,

- R₃ helps us deciding whether a LO calculation is sufficient or whether higher order perturbative corrections are necessary.
- Large R₃ coupled with large R₂ signal a large-q₇ region, where higher order pQCD corrections are relevant
- Small R₃ together with small R₂ indicate a TMD current region, which requires a TMD factorization scheme.
- At large transverse momentum there is a linear region in the Q versus x_{B_j} plane where the $2 \rightarrow 2$ process is the optimal description (R_3 is small) and low order QCD computations are applicable.







Transverse Hardness ratio R_{2:} allows you to access whether your data subset sits in the **large** transverse momentum (current) region or in the **TMD** (current) region

Spectator Virtuality ratio R₃: helps you decide whether a **LO** calculation is sufficient or whether **higher order** perturbative corrections are necessary