

### Matching NLO+PBTMD on inclusive Z and BBar production

### 2019REF Workshop Pavia Italy

L.I. Estevez, H. Jung, A. Bermudez

## OUTLINE



- (1) NLO + parton showers
- (2) MCatNLO vs POWHEG
- (3) Applications
  - Z production at LHC
  - BBar production at LHC
- (4) Conclusions

## (1) NLO + parton shower



#### SMC (LO + Shower)

- Bad description at high pT
- Less accurate normalization at LO
- Bigger dependence on factorization and renormalization scales
- Correct Sudakov suppression at small pT
- Simulate events at hadron level



25/11/2019

#### NLO

- Accurate shapes at high pT
- Normalization at NLO
- Reduced dependence with factorization and renormalization scales
- Wrong shapes at small pT
- Description only at parton level

NLO + PS have the best of both approaches

## (1) NLO + parton shower



- Interfacing ME generators with PS (Parton Showers)
  - MLM matching [*Mangano*] (Armando's talk)
- Interfacing NLO calculations with PS
  - MCatNLO [Frixione,Weber]
  - **POWHEG** [Nason]
- Why not to go for NLO + PBTMD + HAD

25/11/2019

L.I Estevez, 2019REF

**PYTHIA6** 

CASCADE

## (2) MCatNLO vs POWHEG



#### **MCatNLO**

#### POWHEG

- It is dependent on parton shower
- ME obtained using subtraction procedure depends on PS
- Independent from any parton shower
- ME obtained using hdamp and ptsqmin parameters

#### Interface with CASCADE (SMC) for applying PBTMD and TMD shower

# (3) Matching NLO+PBTMD

### **MCatNLO HERWIG subtraction**



# (3) Matching NLO+PBTMD

### POWHEG hdamp and ptsqmin



- Matrix Elements unphysical pT
- Using PBset2 for collinear calculation
- NLO accuracy at high pT, mainly from Real Emission (RE) contribution
- Real Emission for pT > ptsqmin
- hdamp define the damping scale for suppressing NLO divergences (hdamp =  $1 \rightarrow NLO$ ).
- For Z production hdamp=1 ptsqmin=90

L.I Estevez, 2019REF



### Drell Yan/Z production at LHC

→ ATLAS 8 TeV pp  $\rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$ 



#### **Comparing different NLO calculations**

- Using PBset2 for collinear calculation
- PBTMD used: 2018PBTMD-NLO-set2
- Scale uncertainty is shown only since PBTMD uncertainty much smaller.
- Perfect agreement at low pT
- Differences in matching regions, and at high pT because of different alpha s
- MCatNLO prediction from PhysRevD.100.074027
- Data from arXiv:1512.02192



### Drell Yan/Z production at LHC

→ CMS 13 TeV pp  $\rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$ 



#### **Comparing different NLO calculations**

- Using PBset2 for collinear calculation
- PBTMD used : 2018PBTMD-NLO-set2
- Scale uncertainty is shown (only for MC@NLO)
- Perfect agreement at low pT differences arise at high pT
- CMS 13TeV data has finer binning than ATLAS 8TeV data at low pT
- McatNLO prediction from PhysRevD.100.074027 and arXiv:1909.04133
- Data from arXiv:1909.04133



### Drell Yan/Z production at LHC

→ CMS 5.02 TeV pPb  $\rightarrow Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$ 



#### **Comparing different NLO calculations**

- Using uTMDset2 for collinear calculation
- PBTMD used : 2018PBTMD-NLO-set2
- Scale uncertainty is shown
- Cross section per nucleon
- Agreement at low pT validates the PBTMD
- Data from j.physletb.2016.05.044

25/11/2019

#### L.I Estevez, 2019REF

10



### BBar production at LHC

ATLAS 7 TeV BBar dijets





### BBar production at LHC

ATLAS 7 TeV BBar dijets



### (5) Conclusions



- Good description of color neutral and color final state with NLO + PBTMD:
  - DY at different energies
  - BBar dijets
- Very small uncertainty (<<1%) in low pT (resummation) from PBTMD (not shown here but in PhysRevD.100.074027).
- Scale uncertainty dominates at resummation region (coming from ME)
- Very good agreement at low pT with different NLO approaches
- TMD parton shower applied to BBar jets production

#### 25/11/2019

POWHEG hdamp and ptsqmin



BACK UP

POWHEG real emission :

$$D\frac{\overline{\mathcal{B}}}{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{R}(p_t^2) \exp\left[-\int_{p_t^2} dp_t'^2 \frac{D\mathcal{R}(p_t'^2)}{\mathcal{B}}\right] + (1-D)\mathcal{R}(p_t^2),$$
$$D(p_t^2;h) = \frac{h^2 M_Z^2}{h^2 M_Z^2 + p_t^2} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{ptsqmin} \\ \text{hdamp} \to h \end{array}$$

- If  $h \rightarrow 0$  then POWHEG NLO limit
- If  $h \rightarrow inf$  then maximal Sudakov suppression
- Choosing h=1 and ptsqmin = 90 there is a balance since damping and suppression of real emission happens around same scale (Mz).





### BACK UP



#### ME and NLO+PBTMD with McatNLO and POWHEG



25/11/2019

### BACK UP



ME vs NLO+PBTMD+HAD and pT of bbar system



25/11/2019

### BACK UP



#### POWHEG pT and phi\*



25/11/2019