A self introduction

Vincenzo Vitale, 2018



Dark Matter

 Elegant solution to several problems: celestial dynamics anomalies, gravitational lensing, cosmological data

 ACDM model: 23% dark matter, 4% baryonic matter, 73% something else

•DM

gravitationally coupled, **dark** (no electro-magn.), **cold** (structure formation), **stable** on cosmological timescales

For thermal relics <σv> constrained to 3*10⁻²⁶ cm3/s (Jungman,et al, Physics Reports 267 (1996) 195-373)



Indirect Searches

Neutral secondaries (γ,ν):

 (i) have simpler propagation;
 (ii) carry both spectral and
 spatial signatures
 Expected gamma flux
 typically factorized in Particle
 Physics factor and J factor



$$\phi_{\text{WIMP}}(E, \psi) = J(\psi) \times \Phi^{\text{PP}}(E)$$

Annihilation gamma-ray yield



$$\Phi^{\rm PP}(E) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{4\pi \ m_{\rm WIMP}^2} \sum_f \frac{dN_f}{dE} B_f$$

- Gamma ray yield depends on particles produced after annihilation
- Quark and bosons pairs → hadronization
- Lepton pairs → final state radiation, secondary gamma emission
- Direct gamma-gamma, gamma-Zeta production loop suppressed

Dark Matter Halos



 DM forms gravitationally bounded structures (halos)
 Halos have:

- larger central density
- substructures at severals mass-scales
- Spiral galaxies are colocated with larger DM halos

Pieri et al 2009, the predicted flux of gamma-ray above 3 GeV, produced by DM annihilation (m χ = 40 GeV, b-bbar, thermal annihilation crosssection) with the Via Lactea II simulations

$$J(\psi) = \int_{1.0.5} dl(\psi) \rho^2(l(\psi))$$

Studies with Fermi/LAT



Launched on june 11th
2008
2011 Bruno Rossi Prize: to W.B. Atwood, P.
Michelson and the Fermi
Gamma-ray Space
Telescope LAT team
Celebrated 10 years
during 2018
Details on backup slides

Galactic Centre – GeV Excess



- 7°x7°region centered in the Galactic Center
- 11 months of data, E >400 MeV, front-converting events
- binned likelihood analysis
- effective area systematics (blue area) of the LAT is ~10% at 100 MeV, 5% at 560 MeV, 20% at 10 GeV
- V.Vitale, A.Morselli, Fermi Coll. 2009, arXiv:0912.3828, Fermi Symposium, eConf Proceedings C091122

GeV Excess (Pass8)



- Strong effort to understand the background sources
- Most significant uncertainty from:
 - Fermi bubbles morphology at low latitude
 - Sources of CR electrons near the GC
- Less dominant factors:
 - Variation of GALPROP models
 - Distribution of gas along the line of sight
- Main candidate as source of the excess:
 - a large population of pulsar in the GC;
- -DM annihilation signal
- An instrument with better resolution, such as e-ASTROGAM might help

Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescope

Atmosphere as a large calorimeter $(1030g/cm^{2})$ Huge effective area $(10^4 \text{ to } 10^5 \text{ m}^2)$ Type, energy and incoming direction from the image analysis Strong background rejection (1/1000) 0.1 deg angular resolution



Cherenkov Telescope Array



- 1300 scientists from 33 countries
- Two sites (Paranal, Chile and La Palma, Canary Islands)
- 100+ telescopes, with km squared effective area
- Yesterday press release: Final Agreements Signed for CTA's Southern Hemisphere Site in Chile

CTA Telescopes



•LST: $23 \text{ m } \phi \times 4$, 20 GeV - 200 GeV (8Meu) •MST: $12 \text{ m } \phi \times 20$, 100 GeV - 10 TeV (2.5Meu) •SST: $4 \text{ m } \phi \times 70$, 5 TeV - 300 TeV (0.5Meu)

Large Scale Telescope



Real picture

CTA Performances



LAT & HAWC are large FOV instruments

Vincenzo Vitale, December 2018

CTA Performances



Vincenzo Vitale, December 2018

Conclusions

• Very happy to start a (not so) new job in Tor Vergata

Backup



Dark Matter



Vincenzo Vitale, December 2018

(Jungman, Kamionkowski & Griest 1990)

Fermi Large Area Telescope

Si Tracker pitch = 228 μ m 8.8 10⁵ channels 18 planes



Gamma-Ray Anisotropies

 Diffuse background can originate from unresolved faint sources (DM Halos and substructures, for example)
 Difference from Poisson noise and energy-dependence might allow the identification of the source population
 Diffuse emission fluctuations can be studied with spherical harmonics expansions

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 083007 (2012)

$$I(\psi) = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\psi)$$
$$C_{\ell} = \left\langle \left| a_{\ell m} \right|^2 \right\rangle$$
$$\delta C_{\ell}^{s} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{(2\ell+1)\Delta\ell f_{sky}}} \left(C_{\ell}^{s} + \frac{C_{N}}{W_{\ell}^{2}} \right)$$

Cl = intensity angular power spectrum (APS) Cl / < l >2 = fluctuation APS: dimensionless fsky= un-masked fraction of the sky,

- WI=window function;
- ΔI =multipole bin,
- Cn= noise angular power;

Gamma-ray Anisotropies

• Fermi/LAT all-sky observations from the first 22 months of operation

 The APS of the data are obtained from binned Intensity maps;

•HEALPix (Gorski et al 2005) used;

•Known sources and Galactic diff. em. minimized with masking;

• In the main analysis branch gtools were used for the exposure maps calc.

•An independent method (Shuffling) used to cross-check the exposure;

•APS of real data and detailed all-sky simulations have been obtained and compared;

•A Foreground Cleaning has been used to estimate the possible effects of residual Galactic diffuse emission



DATA (P6_V3 diffuse), 1.0-2.0 GeV



PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 083007 (2012)

DATA (P6_V3 diffuse), 1.0-2.0 GeV

Gamma-ray Anisotropies

 For multipoles > 150 an excess of angular power is detected: Angular power detect with high significance up to 10GeV, and with a lower one at larger energies
 All-sky simulations APS compared to real data ones

Simulated:

- 1FGL sources (1451), Galactic diffuse emission (the standard

- gll_iem_v02.fit at 0.5deg resolution and a version at 0.125deg resolution,

- Isotropic diffuse emission;

•Galactic diff. Model shows low multipole (I<100) excess

Isotropic diffuse and sources follow expected behaviour

