REALISTIC SHELL-MODEL STUDIES OF NUCLEAR STRUCTURE AND BETA DECAY



Women in Nuclear and Hadron Theoretical Physics: the last frontier

Genova, December 10-11 2018



A. Gargano, Sezione di Napoli

OUTLINE

- What is nuclear structure and why carry out nuclear structure studies?
- Which are the available tools? \rightarrow Nuclear structure models \rightarrow Shell model \rightarrow Realistic shell model
- Applications of realistic shell-model to nuclear structure:
 ✓ Results for GT strengths and nuclear matrix elements of the 2vββ decay for nuclei that are candidates for the observation of the 0vββ decay
 ✓ Very preliminary results for some 0vββ nuclear matrix elements
- Concluding remarks







DEGREES OF FREEDOM OF ATOMIC NUCLEI





NFN

Inthia Radenate di Talca Radener

DEGREES OF FREEDOM OF ATOMIC NUCLEI





NFN

Intitute Reviewalls of Theira Reviews

Genova December 10-11

INVESTIGATING THE NUCLEAR MANY BODY PROBLEM



Nuclei are many-body quantal systems (up to ~300 nucleons) showing a large variety of quantum phenomena, as shell structure (like atoms), collective behavior (like rigid rotor), clustering







... BEYOND NUCLEAR PHYSICS

The theory of atomic nuclei is intimately tied to other problems beyond nuclear physics

from QCD



to astrophysics

- ➤ A deep understanding of nuclei and nucleonic matter also allows us to use nuclear physics to probe neutrino physics and fundamental symmetries → physics beyond the standard model
- Nuclei are the core of matter and their properties govern the evolution of the universe and the abundance of the elements





NUCLEAR MANY-BODY PROBLEM



The "exact" solution of the Schrödinger equation for any value of A is unfeasible only for light-mass nuclei ($A \leq 12$) → NUCLEAR MODELS



NUCLEAR MODELS



- Each model has strengths and limitations
- Accuracy or more microscopic vs larger application domain
- No systematic connections between the models
- Overlapping regions to crosscheck



SHELL MODEL (NON INTERACTING)

Basic idea:

Mean-field ansatz, namely each nucleon is assumed to be moving in an external field created by the remaining nucleons



J. Hans D. Jensen (1907 – 1973) *PR* 75, 1766 (1949)

Maria Goeppert Mayer 1906 - 1972 *PR* 75, 1969 (1949)



A.Gargano

Noble Prize in 1963 for their descoveries concerning nuclear shell structure



The shell model has initiated a large field of research. It has served as the starting point for more refined calculations. There are enough nuclei to investigate so that the shell modellists will not soon be unemployed.

from the Nobel Lecture of MGM, December 12,1963

magic numbers!



SHELL MODEL (INTERACTING)

Starting from the mean-field ansatz, we obtain a set of single-particle states, where all nucleons of the nucleus are distributed starting from the lowest energy level



SP states are assumed to be separated into 3 spaces, well separated in energy:

- inert core
- model space
- external space

the valence nucleons are the only active/interacting degrees of freedom core nucleons and the excitations of valence nucleons above the model space are *"frozen"*



SHELL MODEL HAMILTONIAN

$$H_{\rm eff} = \sum_i U_i + \sum_{i < j} V_{ij}$$

defined in the model space for only valence nucleons

 $H_{\rm eff}$ should take into account <u>in an effective way</u> all the degrees of freedom not considered explicitly namely excitations of the core nucleons into the model and external spaces and of the valence nucleons into the external space





Two alternative approaches

empirical - MEs of interaction are considered as parameters or contain adjustable parameters fitted on the experimental data



- No adjustable parameters \rightarrow increase of predictive power
- "Bridge" between effective shell-model interactions and underlying nuclear forces





Choose a free NN (NNN) potential

- Determine the number of valence nucleons and the model space better tailored to study the system under investigation
- Derive the effective Hamiltonian making use of many-body theory
- Diagonalize the Hamiltonian matrix & calculate physical observables as energies, electromagnetic transition probabilities, ...







REALISTIC NN POTENTIALS

• CD-Bonn, Argonne V₁₈, Nijmegen,...

Modern Potentials reproduce the two-body data with $\chi^2/N_{data} \sim 1$



Renormalization of the short-range repulsion through V_{low-k} approach: low-momentum potentials confined with a momentum space defined by the cutoff Λ [S. Bogner et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 051301(R) (2002)]







REALISTIC NN POTENTIALS

• CD-Bonn, Argonne V₁₈, Nijmegen,...

Modern Potentials reproduce the two-body data with $\chi^2/N_{data} \sim 1$



Renormalization of the short-range repulsion through V_{low-k} approach: low-momentum potentials confined with a momentum space defined by the cutoff Λ [S. Bogner et al., Phys. Rev. C 65, 051301(R) (2002)]

Chiral potentials rooted in χEFT

 \checkmark pions are added to the nucleons as fundamental degrees of freedom

 Iong-range forces are ruled by the symmetries of low-energy QCD, while short-range dynamics is absorbed in LEC (fitted on data of the few-body systems)

 \checkmark two- and many-body forces are generated on the same footing

[see for instance R. Machleidt, D. R Entem, Phys. Rep. 503, 1 (2011)]

$$H = T + V_{NN} = (T + U) + (V_{NN} - U) = H_0 + H_1$$

where the auxiliary one-body potential U is introduced to break up the Hamiltonian into a sum of a one body term H_0 and a residual interaction H_1

$$\Rightarrow \qquad H_{\rm eff} = H_0 + V_{\rm eff}$$

with the requirement that the eigenvalues of H_{eff} should belong to the set of eigenvalues of the full nuclear Hamiltonian





SHELL-MODEL EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN:
$$\hat{Q}$$
 + folded diagram expansionL Coraggio et al, Part. Nucl. Phys. 62, 135 (2009)
L Coraggio et al, Ann. Phys. 327, 2125 (2012) $H_{eff} = \hat{Q} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ $F_1 = \hat{Q}_1 \hat{Q}$
 $F_2 = \hat{Q}_2 \hat{Q} + \hat{Q}_1 \hat{Q}_1 \hat{Q}$
 \vdots $\hat{Q} = PH_1P + PH_1Q \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 - QHQ} QH_1P$ $\hat{Q}_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n \hat{Q}(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon^n} \Big|_{\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0}$



- ε_0 is the unperturbed energy of two nucleons in the model space
- *P* projection operator onto the model space ■ Q = 1 - P



SHELL-MODEL EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN:
$$\hat{Q}$$
 + folded diagram expansionL. Coraggio et al, Part. Nucl. Phys. 62, 135 (2009)
L. Coraggio et al, Ann. Phys. 327, 2125 (2012) $H_{eff} = \hat{Q} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$
 $F_1 = \hat{Q}_1 \hat{Q}$
 $F_2 = \hat{Q}_2 \hat{Q} + \hat{Q}_1 \hat{Q}_1 \hat{Q}$
 \vdots $\hat{Q}_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n \hat{Q}(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon^n} \Big|_{\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0}$ $\hat{Q} = PH_1P + PH_1Q \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 - QHQ}QH_1P$ $\hat{Q}_n = \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n \hat{Q}(\varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon^n} \Big|_{\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0}$

The series for H_{eff} is summed up by using Lee-Suzuki iteration method Prog. Theor. Phys. 64, 2091 (1980)

\widehat{Q} -BOX CALCULATION

The \widehat{Q} -box is calculated perturbatively by using a diagrammatic expansion

$$\hat{Q} = PH_1P + PH_1Q \frac{1}{E_0 - QHQ}QH_1P$$

diagrammatic expansion



Modern calculations do not go
beyond third order
(~200 diagrams)



Calculations of observables (electromagnetic transitions, β decay,...) require effective operators that in realistic shell model should be derived within an approach consistent with the derivation of the Hamiltonian

K. Suzuki and R. Okamoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. 93, 905 (1995)







$0\nu\beta\beta$ DECAY

The $0v\beta\beta$ decay is a hypothetical very rare transitions in which two neutrons undergo β decay simultaneously without the emission of neutrinos.



.Gargano

 $(A,Z) \to (A,,Z+2) + e^- + e^-$

Its detection is nowadays one of the main targets in many laboratories all around the world, triggered by the search of "new physics" beyond the Standard Model. Detection of this decay would

- correspond to a violation of the conservation of the leptonic number
- imply that neutrino is its own antiparticle, namely is a Majorana particle
- provide information on the neutrino masses



$0\nu\beta\beta$ decay & nme

The information to be extracted from the $0\nu\beta\beta$ experiments is subject to the NME of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ transition operator between the ground states of the decaying nucleus (parent) and its decay product (grand-daughter).

The rate of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay is proportional to the squared NME

$$\left[T_{1/2}^{0\nu}\right]^{-1} = G^{0\nu} |M^{0\nu}|^2 \langle m_{\nu} \rangle^2$$

•
$$G^{0\nu} \equiv$$
 phase-space factor

•
$$\langle m_{\nu} \rangle = \sum_{k} m_{k} U_{ek}^{2} \Rightarrow$$
 effective neutrino mass
• $M^{0\nu} \equiv NME$

$$M_{
u}^{0
u} = M_{GT}^{0
u} - \left(rac{g_V}{g_A}
ight)^2 M_F^{0
u} - M_T^{0
u}$$

 $\begin{aligned} & Ovββ \text{ transition operator} \\ & \mathcal{O}_{GT} = \tau_{1-}\tau_{2-} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 \right) H_{GT}(r, E_{\kappa}), \\ & \mathcal{O}_F = \tau_{1-}\tau_{2-} H_F(r, E_{\kappa}), \\ & \mathcal{O}_T = \tau_{1-}\tau_{2-} S_{12} H_T(r, E_{\kappa}), \end{aligned}$





$0\nu\beta\beta$ NME PREDICTIONS



From J. Engel & J. Menéndez Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 046301 (2017)

Results produced by different models show a large spread





$0\nu\beta\beta$ NME predictions



From J. Engel & J. Menéndez Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 046301 (2017)

Results produced by different model produce a large spread

Matrix elements obtained with different nuclear-structure approaches differ by factors from 2 to 3 corresponding to nearly an order of magnitude in the rate of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay \rightarrow

- no insight about of the sensitivity of the experimental devices needed to detect the 0vββ (which and how much material should be used)
- no useful information on the effective neutrino mass can be extracted



In almost all calculations the strength of the free axial coupling $g_A^{\text{free}} = 1.27$ is reduced by introducing a quenching factor $q = \frac{g_A^{\text{eff}}}{g_A^{\text{free}}}$, that is fixed from the observed GT and $2\nu\beta\beta$ decays

In fact the predicted single β and $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay lifetimes are almost always shorter than measured lifetimes, i.e. corresponding matrix elements are too large





In almost all calculations the strength of the free axial coupling $g_A^{\text{free}} = 1.27$ is reduced by introducing a quenching factor $q = \frac{g_A^{\text{eff}}}{g_A^{\text{free}}}$, that is fixed from the observed GT and $2\nu\beta\beta$ decays

In fact the predicted single β and $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay lifetimes are almost always shorter than measured lifetimes, i.e. corresponding matrix elements are too large

$$\beta^{-} \stackrel{A_{Z} \rightarrow A(Z+1) + e^{-} + \bar{\nu}_{e}}{B^{+} \stackrel{A_{Z} \rightarrow A(Z-1) + e^{+} + \nu_{e}}}$$

$$GT \text{ strength}$$

$$B(GT^{\pm}) = q^{2} \frac{\left|\left\langle \Phi_{f}\right| |\sum_{j} \vec{\sigma}_{j} \tau_{j}^{\pm} |\Phi_{i}\rangle\right|^{2}}{2J_{i} + 1}$$
Experimental versus theoretical strengths for GT transitions in nuclei with A=16-40

B.A, Brown, B. H. Wildenthal, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 38, 29 (1988)

Possible sources of the quencing:

- Corrections due to the truncation of the Hilbert space
- Corrections due to the subnucleonic structure of nucleons

What's their role in determining the quencing is still unknown \rightarrow it's difficult to establish what are the consequences on the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay and if $0\nu\beta\beta$ NMEs need a similar quenching





Possible sources of the quencing:

- Corrections due to the truncation of the Hilbert space
- Corrections due to the subnucleonic structure of nucleons

What's their role in determining the quencing is still unknown \rightarrow it's difficult to establish what are the consequences on the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay and if $0\nu\beta\beta$ NMEs need a similar quenching

To investigate the renormalization of GT operator:

we consistently derive the effective SM Hamiltonian and the effective decay operators by many-body perturbation theory, starting from the free nuclear potential \rightarrow we take into account the reduced SM model space without resorting to an empirical quenching. We do not consider corrections arising from the subnucleonic structure of nucleons





RESULTS

Ⅰ¹³⁰Te & ¹³⁶Xe

¹³⁰Te CUORE – Italy
 ¹³⁶Xe EXO – USA
 KamLAND – Japan





² ⁷⁶Ge & ⁸²Se

⁷⁶Ge GERDA/Genius – Italy
 IGEX – Spain; Russia
 Majorana – USA
 ⁸²Se NEMO – France

d_{3/2} d_{5/2} g_{7/2} g_{7/2} g_{9/2} p_{1/2} f_{5/2} p_{3/2} f_{5/2}

 $V_{\rm eff}$ & $\Theta_{\rm eff}$ (*a*) third order

L.Coraggio et al, Phys. Rev. C 95, 064324 (2017)





SPECTROSCOPIC PROPERTIES: ¹³⁰Te, ¹³⁶Xe, ⁷⁶Ge, ⁸²Se





B(E2)s in e²fm⁴



GT⁻ RUNNING SUM: ¹³⁰Te & ¹³⁶Xe - ⁷⁶Ge & ⁸²Se



EFFECTIVE VERSUS BARE OPERATOR

¹⁰⁰Sn core

Comparison of the neutron-proton matrix elements of the effective and bare GT⁻operators

n _a l _a j _a	$n_b l_b j_b$	Effective	Bare	quencing	
$0g_{7/2}$	$0g_{7/2}$	-1.24	-2.48	0.50	
$0g_{7/2}$	$1d_{5/2}$	-0.14	0		
$1d_{5/2}$	$0g_{7/2}$	0.02	0		
$1d_{5/2}$	$1d_{5/2}$	1.86	2.91	0.64	
$1d_{5/2}$	$1d_{3/2}$	-1.75	-3.10	0.56	
$1d_{3/2}$	$1d_{5/2}$	1.94	3.10	0.63	
$1d_{3/2}$	$1d_{3/2}$	-1.02	-1.55	0.66	
$1d_{3/2}$	$2s_{1/2}$	-0.12	0		
2 <i>s</i> _{1/2}	$1d_{3/2}$	0.09	0		
2 <i>s</i> _{1/2}	$2s_{1/2}$	1.60	2.46	0.65	
$0h_{11/2}$	$0h_{11/2}$	2.60	3.76	0.69	

⁵⁶Ni core

Comparison of the neutron-proton matrix elements of the effective and bare **GT**⁻operators

n _a l _a j _a	$n_b l_b j_b$	Effective	Bare	quencing	
$0f_{5/2}$	$0f_{5/2}$	-0.69	-1.86	0.37	
$0f_{5/2}$	$1p_{3/2}$	-0.10	0		
$1p_{3/2}$	$0f_{5/2}$	0.03	0		
$1p_{3/2}$	$1p_{3/2}$	1.44	2.32	0.62	
$1p_{3/2}$	$1p_{1/2}$	-1.15	-2.09	0.55	
$1p_{1/2}$	$1p_{3/2}$	1.21	2.09	0.58	
$1p_{1/2}$	$1p_{1/2}$	-0.49	-0.73	0.67	
$0g_{9/2}$	$0g_{9/2}$	2.21	3.16	0.70	



$2\nu\beta\beta$ MATRIX ELEMENTS





$$M^{\rm GT}_{2\nu} = \sum_n \frac{\langle 0^+_f || \vec{\sigma} \tau^- || 1^+_n \rangle \langle 1^+_n || \vec{\sigma} \tau^- || 0^+_i \rangle}{E_n + E_0}$$

 E_0 depends on the Q value and the mass difference between the parent and daughter nuclei E_n excitation energy of the daughter nucleus

Decay	Expt	Bare	Effective	
$^{76}\text{Ge} \rightarrow ^{76}\text{Se}$	0.113 ± 0.006	0.304	0.106	
82 Se \rightarrow 82 Kr	0.083 ± 0.004	0.347	0.114	
130 Te $\rightarrow ^{130}$ Xe	0.031 ± 0.004	0.131	0.044	
136 Xe \rightarrow 136 Ba	0.0181 ± 0.0007	0.091	0.028	

WTDI F 2018



$0\nu\beta\beta$ MATRIX ELEMENTS

$$M_\nu^{0\nu} = M_{GT}^{0\nu} - \left(\frac{g_V}{g_A}\right)^2 M_F^{0\nu}$$

A.Gargano

without tensorial component

Decay	$M_{GT}^{0\nu}$		$M_F^{0 u}$		$M^{0 u}$	
	Bare	Eff	Bare	Eff	Bare	Eff
76 Ge \rightarrow 76 Se	2.76	1.76	-0.52	-0.45	3.09	2.01
82 Se $\rightarrow ^{82}$ Kr	2.72	1.70	-0.51	-0.43	3.04	1.97
¹³⁰ Te → ¹³⁰ Xe	2.51	1.00	-0.57	-0.34	2.87	1.22
¹³⁶ Xe → ¹³⁶ Ba	1.81	0.65	-0.41	-0.26	2.08	0.82

- Renormalization effects affect mainly $M_{GT}^{0\nu}$
- The suppression of $M^{0\nu}$ increases with A from 0.65 to 0.42



- Realistic shell-model calculations allow a fully microscopic description of nuclear structure
 ✓ no parameters modified ad hoc
 - \checkmark enhanced predictive power
- Effective shell-model Hamiltonians derived from realistic NN potentials are reliable and may be employed successfully to describe the properties of nuclei:
- satisfactory description of the experimental data, including level spectra, electric quadrupole transitions, GT strengths and $2\nu\beta\beta$ ME without quenching the g_A for β decay properties

 \rightarrow good prospects to the parameter-free calculation of $0\nu\beta\beta$ NME

- Assess the calculation of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ NME
- Use H_{eff} derived from chiral two- and three-body potentials







SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVES

- Realistic shell-model calculations allow a fully microscopic description of nuclear structure
 ✓ no parameters modified ad hoc
 - \checkmark enhanced predictive power
- Effective shell-model Hamiltonians derived from realistic NN potentials are reliable and may be employed successfully to describe the

satisfactory descript:
quadrupole, transfor your attention!
decay prope
$$Thanks for your attention!$$
, electric
the g_A for β

... ee calculation of $0\nu\beta\beta$ NME

- Assess the calculation of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ NME

 \rightarrow good pros

• Use H_{eff} derived from chiral two- and three-body potentials







COLLABORATORS

- L. Coraggio (INFN-NA)
- L. De Angelis (INFN-NA)
- T. Fukui (INFN-NA)
- N. Itaco (UNICampania & INFN-NA)
- F. Nowacki (IPHC Strasbourg)



