







SIMULATIONS OF A WAKEFIELD DISSIPATION IN A RADIALLY BOUNDED PLASMA

<u>K. V. Lotov</u>,¹ J. Allen,² M. Downer,³ S. Gessner,² M. J. Hogan,² V. K. Khudyakov,¹ Z. Li,³ M. Litos,² T. Silva,⁴ A. Sosedkin,¹ J. Vieira,⁴ V. Yakimenko,² R. Zgadzaj³

> ¹ Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia ² SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA, USA ³ University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA ⁴ Insituto Superior Tecnico, Lisboa, Portugal

Essence in brief

We study long-term evolution of the plasma wakefield after passage of a dense electron bunch (in the blowout regime). Experiments at FACET (optical shadowgraphic measurements) show rapid plasma expansion at a nearly constant speed.

Simulations quantitatively agree with measurements and uncover mechanisms of wakefield energy conversions and plasma expansion:

- •first, the wave breaks, and its energy goes mostly to fast (tens of keV) electrons, which tries to escape from the plasma;
- •fast electrons create charge separation electric field, which holds most electrons near the plasma and halves their total energy
- •electric field accelerates ions radially, and eventually ions acquire most of the plasma energy
- •radially moving fast ions ionize the surrounding neutral gas (impact ionization), create low-density plasma there and make these regions accessible to warm plasma electrons
- •growth of electron population triggers near-exponential plasma density growth through electron impact ionization (mainly two-step process through excited states).

=1e-5 (a)

adius [mm]

[mm]

 Δ Z

0

(b)

(C)

0

2 (e)

 $_{2}(d)$ $r_{o}(\Delta t)$

r_B(Δt)

 $\Delta z(\Delta t)$

200

90% of the wake's initially deposited energy remains within the plasma column for over a nanosecond, and major part of this energy is kinetic







e-bunch energy	20 GeV
e-bunch charge	2.4 nC
transverse rms e-bunch size	30 µm
longitudinal rms e-bunch size	55 µm
laser wavelength	0.8 µm
laser energy	1 mJ
laser duration	0.1 ps
laser FWHM at focus	0.5 cm
plasma density	8 10 ¹⁶ cm ⁻³
plasma length	1.5 m
angle	0.008 rad

Sim1 🛛

Sim2 •

Sim3

Exp •





Distance z along oven axis (cm) 15 35 55 **75** 105 (g) $10 \, \mu s$ ر ح

Imaging of expanding Li⁺ ion column following electron wake excitation. (c) Experimental probe images, normalized to unperturbed probe intensity I₀, averaged over 30 shots. Dashed vertical white lines: intersection of "O" with plasma column. Each probe image is 4mm high × 7.5mm wide; horizontal dimension corresponds to projected distance 1.0 m along plasma column axis. (d)-(f) Simulated probe images for three plasma expansion models: (d) including dynamics of ions within initial plasma column only; (e) including impact ionization of ground state neutral lithium surrounding initial plasma column; (f) including impact excitation of neutral lithium to 2P, 3S, and 3P

Comparison of probe image features with simulation results. (a)-(c) Electromagnetic simulations showing how probe intensity profile evolves thru plasma column to detector: (a) Top half: typical refractive index cross-section $\eta(r)$ of plasma column at object plane "O", with column axis tilted at 8 mrad to page normal from left (back) to right (front); circles: black index contours in $\Delta \eta = 10^{-5}$ increments; **bottom half:** radial intensity profile of probe, propagating normally out of page, at "O", showing light penetration to $\eta - 1 = 10^{-5}$ contour, parabolic shadow and surrounding interference fringes; (b) corresponding probe profile after ideal imaging to detector, showing axial caustic and orthogonal interference fringes acquired in passing through remainder of plasma column; (c) corresponding probe profile after non-ideal imaging to detector, showing re-shaping of vertex region due to partial blockage of imaging lens. (d)-(f) Plots of (d) $r_0(\Delta t)$, (e) $r_B(\Delta t)$ and (f) $\Delta z(\Delta t)$ from measured probe images (orange-filled circle data points), showing 1σ (dark grey) and 2σ (light gray band) variations over 30 shots, and from the three theoretical models: no impact ionization (open grey squares), ground state impact ionization (filled black squares), impact excitation + impact ionization (filled blue circles).

400

600

probe time delay Δt [ps]

800

1000

1200



0 1 2 3 4

Normalized probe intensity I/Io

states and impact ionization. (g) Experimental probe image at $\Delta t = 10 \ \mu s$, for which plasma column expanded well beyond field of view.



Initial ionization





Hot electrons carry ~ 10% of the energy deposited in the original wake to the walls in the first ~ 20 ps (region 4). The expanding plasma column retains the rest without noticeable attenuation throughout the remainder of the simulated period. Radially propagating electrons (2) and fields (3) carry most of the latter energy initially $(20 \le \Delta t \le 40 \text{ ps})$, but transfer ~ 85% of it to radial ion motion (1) within 300 ps.

Technical details Fields and motion of charged particles: main effect that wakefield codes simulate, well benchmarked Probability $w = \sigma(E_p) v_p n_a \Delta t$ Ionization by the driver: Ammosov-Delone-Krainov (ADK) model [Sov. Phys. JETP 64, 1191 (1986)]. particles in a cell

Coulomb collisions: Takizuka-Abe model [J. Comp. Phys. 25, 205 (1977)] modified to include relativistic particles





--- 2P $\rightarrow Li^+$

--- 3S $\rightarrow Li^+$

--- 3P $\rightarrow Li^+$

----- 2S→2P

— 2S→3S

−− 2S→3P

E, eV

