Zeta regularization in the scalar Casimir effect

Davide Fermi

Università degli Studi di Milano Dipartimento di Matematica and INFN - Sezione di Milano, Gruppo BELL

based on joint works with L. Pizzocchero (Università degli Studi di Milano) C. Cacciapuoti, A. Posilicano (Università degli Studi dell'Insuria, Como)

Vacuum Fluctuations at Nanoscale and Gravitation: Theory and Experiments Orosei, 29 April 2019

Background and basic ideas

Zeta Regularization (ZR) gives meaning via analytic continuation to ill-defined or divergent expressions appearing in mathematics and physics.

• A textbook example ($\zeta = \text{Riemann zeta function}$):

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} n \; "=" \left[\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \right]_{s=-1} "=" \left[\zeta(s) \right]_{s=-1} \stackrel{a.c.}{=} -\frac{1}{12} \; .$$

 First in number theory [Ramanujan, Hardy, Littlewood (1916)], then to study pseudo-differential operators [Minakshisundaram, Pleijel ('45), Seeley ('67)] and geometric invariants (analytical/topological indexes) [Ray, Singer ('71)].

- Later in QFT, to define renormalized Vacuum Expectation Values (VEVs) [Dowker, Critchley ('75); Hawking ('77); Zimerman *et al.* ('77), Wald ('79)] [Albeverio, Actor, Cognola, Elizalde, Kirsten, Moretti, Vanzo, Zerbini, ... ('85-today)].
 - ▼ In recent works with L. Pizzocchero (2011-today), we focus on:
 - i. developing a general framework for ZR in QFT, using canonical quantization and results on integral kernels of pseudo-diff. operators;
 - ii. analysing some exactly solvable cases, related to the Casimir effect.

- Consider (d+1)-dim. Minkowski spacetime with inertial coordinates $(x^{\mu})_{\mu=0,1,...,d} = (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ $(c = 1, \hbar = 1);$ the metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, ..., 1).$
- Refer to the classical field theory described by the action functional

$$\mathcal{S}[\phi] = -rac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} imes \Omega} dt \, d\mathbf{x} \left(\partial^{\mu} \phi \, \partial_{\mu} \phi + V(\mathbf{x}) \, \phi^2
ight)$$

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a space domain, with boundary $\partial \Omega$;
- $\phi = \phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ is a real scalar field on Ω , fulfilling boundary cond. on $\partial \Omega$;
- $V = V(\mathbf{x})$ is an external static potential (~ position-dependent mass).

• The (Euler-Lagrange) field eq. and the (improved) stress-energy tensor are

$$(\partial_{tt} - \Delta + V) \phi = 0$$
 plus b.c. for $\phi|_{\partial\Omega}$ $(\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{ii}),$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi \,\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2} \big(\partial^{\lambda}\!\phi \,\partial_{\lambda}\phi + V\phi^2\big) - \xi \big(\partial_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\Box\big)\phi^2 \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\,.$$

- Consider (d+1)-dim. Minkowski spacetime with inertial coordinates $(x^{\mu})_{\mu=0,1,...,d} = (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ $(c = 1, \hbar = 1);$ the metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, ..., 1).$
- Refer to the classical field theory described by the action functional

$$\mathcal{S}[\phi] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \Omega} dt \, d\mathbf{x} \left(\partial^{\mu} \phi \, \partial_{\mu} \phi + V(\mathbf{x}) \, \phi^2 \right)$$

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a space domain, with boundary $\partial \Omega$;
- $\phi = \phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ is a real scalar field on Ω , fulfilling boundary cond. on $\partial \Omega$;
- . $V = V(\mathbf{x})$ is an external static potential (~ position-dependent mass).

• The (Euler-Lagrange) field eq. and the (improved) stress-energy tensor are

$$(\partial_{tt} - \Delta + V) \phi = 0$$
 plus b.c. for $\phi|_{\partial\Omega}$ $(\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{ii}),$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi \,\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2} \big(\partial^{\lambda}\!\phi \,\partial_{\lambda}\phi + V\phi^2\big) - \xi \big(\partial_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\Box\big)\phi^2 \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\,.$$

- Consider (d+1)-dim. Minkowski spacetime with inertial coordinates $(x^{\mu})_{\mu=0,1,...,d} = (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ $(c = 1, \hbar = 1);$ the metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, ..., 1).$
- Refer to the classical field theory described by the action functional

$$\mathcal{S}[\phi] = -rac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} imes \Omega} dt \, d\mathbf{x} \left(\partial^{\mu} \phi \, \partial_{\mu} \phi + V(\mathbf{x}) \, \phi^2
ight)$$

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a space domain, with boundary $\partial \Omega$;
- $\phi = \phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ is a real scalar field on Ω , fulfilling boundary cond. on $\partial \Omega$;
- $V = V(\mathbf{x})$ is an external static potential (~ position-dependent mass).

• The (Euler-Lagrange) field eq. and the (improved) stress-energy tensor are

$$(\partial_{tt} - \Delta + V) \phi = 0$$
 plus b.c. for $\phi|_{\partial\Omega}$ $(\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{ii}),$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi \,\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2} \big(\partial^{\lambda}\!\phi \,\partial_{\lambda}\phi + V\phi^2\big) - \xi \big(\partial_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\Box\big)\phi^2 \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\,.$$

- Consider (d+1)-dim. Minkowski spacetime with inertial coordinates $(x^{\mu})_{\mu=0,1,...,d} = (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ $(c = 1, \hbar = 1);$ the metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, ..., 1).$
- Refer to the classical field theory described by the action functional

$$\mathcal{S}[\phi] = -rac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} imes \Omega} dt \, d\mathbf{x} \left(\partial^{\mu} \phi \, \partial_{\mu} \phi + V(\mathbf{x}) \, \phi^2
ight)$$

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a space domain, with boundary $\partial \Omega$;
- $\phi = \phi(t, \mathbf{x})$ is a real scalar field on Ω , fulfilling boundary cond. on $\partial \Omega$;
- . $V = V(\mathbf{x})$ is an external static potential (~ position-dependent mass).

• The (Euler-Lagrange) field eq. and the (improved) stress-energy tensor are

$$(\partial_{tt} - \Delta + V) \phi = 0$$
 plus b.c. for $\phi|_{\partial\Omega}$ $(\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{ii}),$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\phi \,\partial_{\nu}\phi - \frac{1}{2} \big(\partial^{\lambda}\!\phi \,\partial_{\lambda}\phi + V\phi^2\big) - \xi \big(\partial_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\,\Box\big)\phi^2 \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R})\,.$$

 \circ Working hypothesis: b.c. on $\partial\Omega$ and V are such that

 $\mathcal{A} := -\Delta + V$ on $\text{Dom}\mathcal{A} \subset L^2(\Omega)$ is self-adjoint and non-negative $(L^2(\Omega) = \text{single particle Hilbert space with inner prod. } \langle f|g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \overline{f}g)$.

⇒ ∃ $(F_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$ of (generalized) eigenfunctions with eigenvalues $\omega_k^2 \ge 0$, labelled by a measure space (\mathcal{K}, dk) :

 $\mathcal{A} F_k = \omega_k^2 F_k, \qquad \langle F_h | F_k \rangle = \delta(h, k)$

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K} \text{ discrete set: } dk = \text{counting meas., } \delta(h, k) = \text{Kronecker delta, } \int_{\mathcal{K}} dk = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \\ \mathcal{K} \text{ continuous set: } dk = \text{Lebesgue meas., } \delta(h, k) = \text{Dirac delta} \end{pmatrix}.$

• Canonical quantization : $(\phi, \partial_t \phi) \rightarrow (\hat{\phi}, \hat{\pi})$ self-adj. operators on the bosonic Fock space $\mathfrak{F} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L^2(\Omega)^{\otimes_s n}$, fulfilling equal-time CCR $[\hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}), \hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{y})] = [\hat{\pi}(t, \mathbf{x}), \hat{\pi}(t, \mathbf{y})] = 0$, $[\hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}), \hat{\pi}(t, \mathbf{y})] = i \, \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$.

 \Rightarrow Field expansion via annihilation/creation operators on \mathfrak{F} :

$$\hat{\phi}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{dk}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left[e^{-i\omega_k t} F_k(\mathbf{x}) \,\hat{a}_k + e^{i\omega_k t} \,\overline{F_k}(\mathbf{x}) \,\hat{a}_k^\dagger \right];$$
$$[\hat{a}_h, \hat{a}_k] = [\hat{a}_h^\dagger, \hat{a}_k^\dagger] = 0, \qquad [\hat{a}_h, \hat{a}_k^\dagger] = \delta(h, k);$$
$$\hat{a}_k |\mathbf{v}\rangle = 0, \quad |\mathbf{v}\rangle \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ the vacuum state (NB: not Minkowski vacuum).}$$

Zeta regularization and renormalization

• VEVs like $\langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{\phi}^2(t, \mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}) \hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{y}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle \Big|_{\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{dk}{\omega_k} F_k(\mathbf{x}) \overline{F_k}(\mathbf{x})$ are plagued by **UV divergences**, corresp. to $\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x}$ or $\omega_k \to \infty$ (*IR divergences* also appear if $\omega_k \to 0$ and *must be treated separately*).

• Define \mathcal{A}^{-s} for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ via functional calc. for self-adj. oper. $(\mathcal{A} = -\Delta + V)$. • \mathcal{A}^{-s} is a pseudo-diff. oper. with distrib. integral kernel $(\mathcal{A} F_k = \omega_k^2 F_k)$

$$\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \langle \delta_{\mathbf{x}} | \mathcal{A}^{-s} \delta_{\mathbf{y}} \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{dk}{\omega_k^{2s}} F_k(\mathbf{x}) \overline{F_k}(\mathbf{y}) ;$$

 $(\mathcal{A}^{-s}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{y} \ \mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) \quad \text{for } f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ regular enough}.$

- \mathcal{A}^{-s} regularizing for $\Re s > 0$ large. Especially, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Re s > \frac{j+d}{2}$, $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in C^{j}(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and $s \mapsto \mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is analytic for fixed \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} .
- Fix $\kappa > 0$ (a mass parameter) and define the smeared ZR-field for $u \in \mathbb{C}$ $\hat{\phi}^{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) := ((\mathcal{A}/\kappa^{2})^{-u/4}\hat{\phi})(t, \mathbf{x}) = \kappa^{u/2} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{y} \, \mathcal{A}^{-u/4}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, \hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{y})$ $= \kappa^{u/2} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{dk}{\sqrt{2} \, \omega_{k}^{(1+u)/2}} \left[e^{-i\omega_{k}t} F_{k}(\mathbf{x}) \, \hat{a}_{k} + e^{i\omega_{k}t} \, \overline{F_{k}}(\mathbf{x}) \, \hat{a}_{k}^{\dagger} \right].$

- Quantized ZR-observables are obtained from corresp. classical expressions with the replacements $\phi \rightarrow \hat{\phi}^u$ and $A \cdot B \rightarrow \hat{A} \circ \hat{B} := \frac{1}{2} (\hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A})$. \hookrightarrow Casimir physics : evaluate VEVs without normal ordering.
 - \hookrightarrow Casimir physics: evaluate VEVs without normal ordering.
- A relevant observable is the (on-shell) ZR-stress-energy tensor

$$\hat{T}^{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\mu\nu} := (1-2\xi)\,\partial_{\mu}\hat{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \circ \partial_{\nu}\hat{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{u}} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\xi\right)\eta_{\mu\nu}\left(\partial^{\lambda}\hat{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{u}}\partial_{\lambda}\hat{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{u}} + \boldsymbol{V}\left(\hat{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{u}}\right)^{2}\right) - 2\xi\,\hat{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \circ \partial_{\mu\nu}\hat{\phi}^{\boldsymbol{u}}.$$

The VEVs $\langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{\mathcal{T}}^{u}_{\mu\nu} | \mathbf{v} \rangle$ can be expressed in terms of (derivatives^{*} of) the integral kernels $\mathcal{A}^{-\frac{u+1}{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, evaluated along the diagonal $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^{*}$; e.g.,

$$\langle \mathbf{v} | I_{00}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle = \\ \kappa^{u} \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} + \xi \right) \mathcal{A}^{-\frac{u-1}{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \xi \right) \left(\partial^{x^{\ell}} \partial_{y^{\ell}} + V(\mathbf{x}) \right) \mathcal{A}^{-\frac{u+1}{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right]_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}}.$$

• $\langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}^{u}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle$ is *t*-independent (as expected for static config.). * $\Re u > j + d + 1 \Rightarrow \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}^{u}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle \in C^{j}(\Omega)$ (hence, locally bounded); $\Rightarrow u \mapsto \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}^{u}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle$ analytic for fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, with vertice antiputies (assisted simple as

with meromorphic continuation (possible simple poles).

Define renormalized VEVs by analytic continuation at u = 0 (\$\hat{\phi}^u\$ \$\write{\phi}\$ \$\hat{\phi}\$).
 The Casimir stress-energy tensor is

$$\langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{\mu
u}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v}
angle_{ren} := \left. \mathsf{RP} \right|_{u=0} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{\mathcal{T}}^u_{\mu
u}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v}
angle \, ,$$

 $(\langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}^u_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle = \text{anal. cont.}; \ T(u) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} T_\ell u^\ell \Rightarrow \operatorname{RP} \Big|_{u=0} T(u) = T_0).$

- No pole at $u = 0 \Rightarrow$ finite result independent of κ , without subtraction of any divergent expression.
- Pole at $u = 0 \Rightarrow$ minimal subtraction scheme [Wald ('79), Visser et al. ('88)] \leftrightarrow addition of local counter-terms in the Lagrangian; \Rightarrow explicit dependence on κ .
- The **Casimir pressure** at $\mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$ is $(n(\mathbf{x}) = \text{outer normal})$ $p_i^{ren}(\mathbf{x}) := \operatorname{RP}|_{u=0} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{ij}^u(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle n^j(\mathbf{x}) \qquad (i, j = 1, ..., d).$

• The Casimir total energy is $\mathcal{E}^{ren} := \mathsf{RP}\Big|_{u=0} \int_{\Omega} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}^u_{00} | \mathbf{v} \rangle = E^{ren} + B^{ren}$:

$$E^{ren} := \mathsf{RP}\Big|_{u=0} \frac{\kappa^{u}}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \mathcal{A}^{\frac{1-u}{2}} = \mathsf{RP}\Big|_{u=0} \frac{\kappa^{u}}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \omega_{k}^{1-u} \quad (bulk \ term),$$

$$B^{ren} := \mathsf{RP}\Big|_{u=0} \kappa^{u} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \xi\right) \int_{\partial\Omega} d\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \ \partial_{n_{\mathbf{y}}} \mathcal{A}^{-\frac{u+1}{2}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\Big|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}} \quad (boundary \ term).$$

Analytic continuation of $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

 $\circ \ e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \langle \delta_{\mathbf{x}} | e^{-t\mathcal{A}} | \delta_{\mathbf{y}} \rangle \text{ is the heat kernel (smooth for } t > 0); \\ e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \langle \delta_{\mathbf{x}} | e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}} | \delta_{\mathbf{y}} \rangle \text{ is the wave kernel (smooth for } t > 0).$

• For $\Re s > d/2$, there hold the Mellin identities (even on the diag. $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$): $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ t^{s-1} e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ $= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ t^{2s-1} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

 $\,\hookrightarrow\,$ Starting point to construct the analytic continuation of $\mathcal{A}^{-s}({\sf x},{\sf y})$.

1. Heat asymptotics: assume $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) t^n + O(t^N) \right)$ for $t \to 0$ (HDMS expansion); then for $\Re s > \frac{d}{2} - N$

$$\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{a_n(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{s+n-\frac{d}{2}} + \int_0^1 dt \, t^{s-\frac{d}{2}-1} O(t^N) + \int_1^\infty dt \, t^{s-1} e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \right)$$

(possible simple poles at $s = \frac{d}{2} - n$, for n = 0, ..., N-1).

Analytic continuation of $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

 $\circ \ e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \langle \delta_{\mathbf{x}} | e^{-t\mathcal{A}} | \delta_{\mathbf{y}} \rangle \text{ is the heat kernel (smooth for } t > 0); \\ e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \langle \delta_{\mathbf{x}} | e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}} | \delta_{\mathbf{y}} \rangle \text{ is the wave kernel (smooth for } t > 0).$

• For $\Re s > d/2$, there hold the Mellin identities (even on the diag. $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$): $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ t^{s-1} e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ $= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ t^{2s-1} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

 \hookrightarrow Starting point to construct the analytic continuation of $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$.

2. Integration by parts: assume $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} H(t; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ for some H of class $C^N([0, \infty))$ w.r.t. t (for fixed \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}); then for $\Re s > \frac{d}{2} - N$

$$\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{(-1)^N}{(s-\frac{d}{2})\dots(s-\frac{d}{2}+N-1)\,\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty d\mathfrak{t} \,\mathfrak{t}^{s-\frac{d}{2}+N-1} \,\partial_\mathfrak{t}^N H(\mathfrak{t};\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$$

(possible simple poles at $s = \frac{d}{2} - n$, for n = 0, ..., N-1).

Analytic continuation of $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

 $\begin{array}{l} \circ \ e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\textbf{x},\textbf{y}) = \langle \delta_{\textbf{x}} | e^{-t\mathcal{A}} | \delta_{\textbf{y}} \rangle \ \text{is the heat kernel} \ (\text{smooth for } t > 0) \, ; \\ e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}}(\textbf{x},\textbf{y}) = \langle \delta_{\textbf{x}} | e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}} | \delta_{\textbf{y}} \rangle \ \text{is the wave kernel} \ (\text{smooth for } t > 0) \, . \end{array}$

• For $\Re s > d/2$, there hold the Mellin identities (even on the diag. $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$): $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ t^{s-1} e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ $= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \ t^{2s-1} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

 \hookrightarrow Starting point to construct the analytic continuation of $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$.

3. Hankel representation: assume $e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{A}}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{t^d} H(t; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ for some H admitting analytic extension to $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $[0, \infty) \subset \mathcal{U}$ (for fixed \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}); then for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ ($\mathfrak{H} = \text{Hankel contour}$)

$$\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i} \Gamma(1-2s)}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathfrak{H}} d\mathfrak{t} \, \mathfrak{t}^{2s-d-1} \, H(\mathfrak{t};\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$$

(possible simple poles at $s = \frac{d-n}{2}$, for n = 0, ..., d-1). ∇ For $s = -\ell/2$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N} \implies easy explicit computations (residue thm.).$

Parallel plates [Prog. Teor. Phys. 126(3) ('11), World Scientific ('17)]

Case study: massless scalar field (V=0) on Ω = (0, a) × ℝ² (a>0), with Dirichlet b.c. on ∂Ω

$$\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}$$
 ;

 $\mathcal{A}_1=-\partial_{11}$ on (0, *a*) with Dirichlet b.c., $\mathcal{A}_{\parallel}=-\partial_{22}-\partial_{33}$ on \mathbb{R}^2 .

• Reduced 1D problem on (0, a): the wave kernel is (via eigenfun. expan.)

$$e^{-\mathfrak{t}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_{1}}}(x^{1},y^{1}) = \frac{1}{2a} \left[\frac{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{a}(x^{1}-y^{1})\right) - e^{-\frac{\pi}{a}\mathfrak{t}}}{\cosh\left(\frac{\pi}{a}\mathfrak{t}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{a}(x^{1}-y^{1})\right)} - \left(y^{1} \to -y^{1}\right) \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{-s}(x^1, y^1) \text{ via Hankel repres.} + \text{ residue thm.; e.g.,} \\ \mathcal{A}^{1/2}(x^1, x^1) = -\operatorname{Res}\left(\mathfrak{t}^{-2} e^{-\mathfrak{t}\sqrt{\mathcal{A}_1}}(x^1, x^1); \mathbf{0}\right) = \frac{\pi}{12a^2} \frac{3-\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}x^1\right)}{\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{a}x^1\right)}.$$

• Casimir stress-energy tensor, pressure and total energy per unit surface:

$$\langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{00}(x^{1}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle_{ren} = -\frac{\pi^{2}}{1440a^{4}} + \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6}\right) \frac{\pi^{2}}{8a^{4}} \frac{3 - 2\sin^{2}(\frac{\pi}{a}x^{1})}{\sin^{4}(\frac{\pi}{a}x^{1})} \xrightarrow{x^{1} \to 0, a} \infty;$$

$$p_{1}^{ren}(0) = \frac{\pi^{2}}{480a^{4}}, \quad p_{1}^{ren}(a) = -\frac{\pi^{2}}{480a^{4}}; \qquad E^{ren} = -\frac{\pi^{2}}{1440a^{3}}, \quad B^{ren} = 0.$$

Davide Fermi

- Zeta regularization in the scalar Casimir effect - 9 / 16

Rectangular box [Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 31(04&05) (2016)]

• Case study: massless scalar field (V=0) on $\Omega = (0, a_1) \times (0, a_2)$ ($a_1, a_2 > 0$), with Dirichlet b.c. on $\partial \Omega$

$$\mathcal{A} = -\Delta$$
 .

- Previous analyses: mostly on global aspects, only partly on local aspects [Lukosz ('71), Mamaev, Trunov ('79), Ambjørn, Wolfram ('83), Actor ('95), Elizalde *et al.* ('95), Svaiter *et al.* ('01), Bordag *et al.* ('09), Fulling *et al.* ('09), ...].
- Computational methods :
 - heat kernel $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$: explicit series expansions for small and large t;
 - $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ via Mellin identity (with the split $\int_0^\infty = \int_0^1 + \int_1^\infty$);
 - uniform estimates ⇒ *exchange summation/integration orders*
 - \Rightarrow series repres. of $\mathcal{A}^{-s}(\textbf{x},\textbf{y})$ giving the analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} .
- Main advances :
 - unified analysis of Casimir observables: stress-energy tensor, pressure,

total energy and total force;

series repres. with exponential convergence and explicit error estimates.



• Divergences near the boundaries $\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{00}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle_{ren} = \infty$.

Davide Fermi

- Pressure on the side $\{x^1 = 0\}$ $p_1^{ren}(x^2) = -\operatorname{RP}\Big|_{u=0} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{11}^u(0, x^2) | \mathbf{v} \rangle = -\lim_{x_1 \to 0} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{11}(x^1, x^2) | \mathbf{v} \rangle.$ • Total energy: analogous series expansions for E^{ren} ($B^{ren} = 0$).
- An example: $a_1 = 1$



• Remarks :

- $p_1^{ren} = \frac{1}{32\pi(x^2)^3} + O((x^2)^2)$ for $x^2 \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow$ divergences near the corners;
- $E^{ren}(a_2)$ finite for all $a_2 > 0 \Rightarrow E^{ren} \neq \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{00}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle_{ren}$.

Harmonic potential [Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 30(35) (2015)]

Case study: massless scalar field on Ω = ℝ³, with isotropic harmonic potential V(x) := λ⁴|x|² (λ>0)

$$\mathcal{A} = -\Delta + \lambda^4 |\mathbf{x}|^2$$

- Previous analysis: total energy determined by [Actor, Bender ('95)].
- Computational methods :
 - heat kernel $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) =$ Mehler kernel (explicit expression);
 - pass to the rescaled spherical coordinate $r:=\lambda|\mathbf{x}|\in(0,\infty)$;
 - for $\Re u > 4$, the Mellin identity gives

- 3-fold integration by parts \Rightarrow analytic continuation for $\Re u\!>\!-2$

- The renormalized stress-energy VEV is $(\diamondsuit = \text{conf.}, \blacksquare = \text{non-conf.})$ $\langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(r) | \mathbf{v} \rangle_{ren} =$ $\lambda^4 \Big[\Big(T^{(0,\diamondsuit)}_{\mu\nu}(r) + M_{\kappa\lambda} T^{(1,\diamondsuit)}_{\mu\nu}(r) \Big) + \Big(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \Big) \Big(T^{(0,\blacksquare)}_{\mu\nu}(r) + M_{\kappa\lambda} T^{(1,\blacksquare)}_{\mu\nu}(r) \Big) \Big],$ $T^{(a,\bullet)}_{\mu\nu}(r) := \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\tau \, e^{-r^2 \tanh \tau} \Big[\begin{array}{c} \text{polinomial in } r^2 \text{ with} \\ \text{coefficients depending on } \tau \Big], \quad M_{\kappa\lambda} := \gamma_{EM} + 2 \ln \Big(\frac{2\kappa}{\lambda} \Big).$
- Numerical evaluation of the above integral representations:



• Asymptotics for small/large $r = \lambda |\mathbf{x}|$: exact express. + remainder estimates.

• The total energy: $E^{ren} = -(0.0079 \pm 10^{-4})k$, $B^{ren} = 0$. $\Rightarrow E^{ren} \neq \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{00}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle_{ren} = \infty$.

- The renormalized stress-energy VEV is $(\diamondsuit = \text{conf.}, \blacksquare = \text{non-conf.})$ $\langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(r) | \mathbf{v} \rangle_{ren} =$ $\lambda^4 \Big[\Big(T^{(0,\diamondsuit)}_{\mu\nu}(r) + M_{\kappa\lambda} T^{(1,\diamondsuit)}_{\mu\nu}(r) \Big) + \Big(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \Big) \Big(T^{(0,\blacksquare)}_{\mu\nu}(r) + M_{\kappa\lambda} T^{(1,\blacksquare)}_{\mu\nu}(r) \Big) \Big],$ $T^{(a,\bullet)}_{\mu\nu}(r) := \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\tau \, e^{-r^2 \tanh \tau} \Big[\begin{array}{c} \text{polinomial in } r^2 \text{ with} \\ \text{coefficients depending on } \tau \Big], \quad M_{\kappa\lambda} := \gamma_{EM} + 2 \ln \Big(\frac{2\kappa}{\lambda} \Big).$
- Numerical evaluation of the above integral representations:



• Asymptotics for small/large $r = \lambda |\mathbf{x}|$: exact express. + remainder estimates.

• The total energy: $E^{ren} = -(0.0079 \pm 10^{-4})k$, $B^{ren} = 0$. $\Rightarrow E^{ren} \neq \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{x} \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{00}(\mathbf{x}) | \mathbf{v} \rangle_{ren} = \infty$.

Davide Fermi

Summary and outlook

• Summary

- . general ZR approach: unified analysis of local and global observables;
- computational effectiveness in some models.

• Generalizations and further developments

- Curved (ultrastatic) background spacetimes \hookrightarrow semi-classical Einstein eq.s: $G_{\mu\nu} = \kappa_g \langle \mathbf{v} | \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} | \mathbf{v} \rangle$.
- Analysis of boundary divergences/energy anomalies :
 - . delta-like potentials (semi-transparent boundaries);
 - semi-classical boundaries (position = r.v.) [Ford, Svaiter ('98)].
- Higher spin fields : EM and Dirac .
- Equivalence with other renorm. schemes [Hack, Moretti ('12)].

- D.F., L. Pizzocchero, *Local zeta regularization and the scalar Casimir effect. A general approach based on integral kernels*, World Scientific (2017).
- D.F., L. Pizzocchero, Symmetry 2018, 10(2) (2018) [arXiv:1712.10039].
- . C.Cacciapuoti, D.F., A.Posilicano, Springer INdAM Series 18(2017)[arXiv:1702.05296].
- D.F., Ph.D. Thesis (2016).
- D.F., L. Pizzocchero, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 31(04&05) (2016) [arXiv:1505.03276].
- D.F., L. Pizzocchero, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 30(35) (2015) [arXiv:1505.01651].
- D.F., L. Pizzocchero, Prog. Theor. Phys. 126(3) (2011) [arXiv:1104.4330].

Thanks a lot for your attention!