

## Exercices

[Tight-coupling approximation]

The first two moments of the photon and baryon Boltzmann equations read

$$\dot{\Theta}_0 = -\frac{k}{3}\Theta_1 + \dot{\Phi} \quad (2.55)$$

$$\dot{\Theta}_1 = k\left(\Theta_0 + \Psi - \frac{2}{5}\Theta_2\right) - \dot{\tau}_c(\Theta_1 - V_b) \quad (2.56)$$

$$\dot{\delta}_b = -kV_b + 3\dot{\Phi} \quad (2.57)$$

$$\dot{V}_b = -\mathcal{H}V_b + k\Psi + \dot{\tau}_c\frac{(\Theta_1 - V_b)}{R}. \quad (2.58)$$

While  $V_b$  represents the baryon bulk velocity,  $\Theta_1$  is formally *not* a bulk velocity because it does not exist for photons (and, generally, for collisionless particles that can propagate in all directions). We should interpret it as the bulk velocity of the *photon temperature perturbation*.

This system of coupled ODEs simplifies in the so-called *tight-coupling limit*. Let  $k \sim L^{-1}$  be the wavenumber of the fluctuations. There are two important characteristic timescales:

$$k^{-1} = \text{travel time across the perturbation} \quad (2.59)$$

$$\dot{\tau}_c^{-1} = \text{time between scattering events} \quad (2.60)$$

Tight coupling between the photons and baryons occurs when

$$\frac{\dot{\tau}_c^{-1}}{k^{-1}} = \frac{k}{\dot{\tau}_c} \ll 1. \quad (2.61)$$

In regime, photons experience so many scattering as they travel across a perturbation that they remain strongly coupled to the baryons.

(a) since the baryon bulk velocity  $V_b$  varies on a timescale much longer than  $\dot{\tau}_c^{-1}$ , show that this implies

$$\Theta_1 \simeq V_b \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Theta_2 \simeq 0. \quad (2.62)$$

The photon temperature quadrupole, or anisotropic stress, can thus be neglected, which closes the Boltzmann hierarchy. We will hereafter assume the tight-coupling limit, so that we can ignore all multipoles with  $\ell \geq 2$ .

[Acoustic Oscillations]

One generally expands the Boltzmann hierarchy in powers of  $k/\dot{\tau}_c$  (the inverse of the optical depth through a wavelength  $k$ ) and  $\omega/\dot{\tau}_c$  (the inverse of the optical depth through a period of oscillation  $\omega$ ). We will remain at first order in  $\dot{\tau}_c^{-1}$ , which leads to a driven harmonic oscillator equation describing acoustic waves in the photon-baryon fluid. At second order in  $\dot{\tau}_c^{-1}$ , acoustic oscillations of the monopole and dipole are damped owing to the imperfect coupling between photons and baryons. Photon diffusion creates heat conduction through  $\Theta_1 - V_b$  and shear viscosity through  $\Theta_2$ .

(b) Extract the term  $\dot{\tau}_c(\Theta_1 - V_b)$  from Eq.(2.58) and substitute into Eq.(2.56). Show that, after some manipulations, one obtains

$$(1 + R)\ddot{\Theta}_0 + \mathcal{H}R\dot{\Theta}_0 + \frac{k^2}{3}\Theta_0 = -\frac{k^2}{3}(1 + R)\Psi + \mathcal{H}R\dot{\Phi} + (1 + R)\ddot{\Phi}. \quad (2.63)$$

Use the fact that  $R = 3\bar{\rho}_b/4\bar{\rho}_\gamma \propto a$ , i.e.  $\dot{R} = \mathcal{H}R$  to reexpress this relation as

$$\frac{d}{d\eta} \left[ (1 + R)\dot{\Theta}_0 \right] + \frac{k^2}{3}\Theta_0 = -\frac{k^2}{3}(1 + R)\Psi + \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[ (1 + R)\dot{\Phi} \right]. \quad (2.64)$$

This is the equation of an oscillator with a time-varying mass  $m_{\text{eff}} = 1 + R$ . The homogeneous equation can be solved by employing the fact that variations over a single period of the oscillation are small.

(c) We have thus far not used Einstein equations. One can show that, in the absence of anisotropic stress (that is,  $\pi_\gamma = \pi_\nu = \dots = 0$ ), Einstein equations imply that the two potentials are equal:  $\Psi = \Phi$ . Neglect the time-dependence of  $R$  and show that

$$\frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} (\Theta_0 + \Psi) + k^2 c_s^2 (\Theta_0 + \Psi) = -k^2 c_s^2 R \Psi + 2\ddot{\Psi}, \quad (2.65)$$

where

$$c_s^2 \equiv \frac{\dot{P}}{\dot{\rho}} \approx \frac{1}{3(1 + R)} \quad (2.66)$$

is the adiabatic sound speed of the photon-baryon fluid.  $c_s$  defines a characteristic comoving length scale  $r_s(\eta)$  known as the *sound horizon*,

$$r_s(\eta) = c_s \int \frac{dt}{a(t)} \equiv c_s \eta, \quad (2.67)$$

which represents the comoving distance traveled by a sound perturbation. Calculate  $r_s$  when the CMB decouples from the plasma at  $\eta_{\text{dec}}$  assuming  $z_{\text{dec}} = 10^3$  and an EdS universe.

(d) Eq.(2.65) is a driven harmonic oscillator equation for the effective temperature  $\Theta_0 + \Psi$ , in which the gravitational blueshift due to the infall onto a potential well is exactly compensated by  $\Psi$ . The frequency  $\omega = kc_s$  increasing with decreasing comoving scale  $k^{-1}$ . Ignoring the time variation of  $c_s$ ,  $R$  and, especially,  $\ddot{\Psi}$ , show that the solution to Eq.(2.65) is of the form

$$\Theta_0 + \Psi = -R\Psi + A \cos(kc_s\eta) + B \sin(kc_s\eta). \quad (2.68)$$

$\Theta_0 + \Psi$  oscillates around  $-R\Psi$  and not zero owing to the baryons, which drag the photons into the potential wells (an effect known as *baryon drag*).

(e) To fix the initial conditions which determine  $A$  and  $B$ , we take the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  (or, equivalently,  $c_s\eta \equiv r_s \rightarrow 0$ ), in which case (not demonstrated here)

$$\Theta_0 + \Psi \approx \frac{1}{3}\Psi \quad (\text{adiabatic ICs}) \quad (2.69)$$

$$\Theta_0 + \Psi \approx 2\Psi \quad (\text{isocurvature ICs}) \quad (2.70)$$

Assuming adiabatic perturbations (generated by inflation for instance) and zero initial “velocity”  $\dot{\Theta}_0$ , show that the solution is

$$(\Theta_0 + \Psi)(\eta) = \frac{1}{3}(1 + R)\Psi \cos(kc_s\eta) - R\Psi. \quad (2.71)$$

The baryon drag increases the amplitude of the cosine term. Overall, it accounts for the alternate height of the acoustic peaks (compression peaks occurring at  $kc_s\eta = \pi, 3\pi, \dots$ , are enhanced relative to the rarefaction peaks at  $kc_s\eta = 2\pi, 4\pi, \dots$ ) and their enhancement with  $R \propto \Omega_b h^2$ .

*[Integral solution to CMB anisotropies]*

Rather than decomposing the Boltzmann equation Eq.(2.37),

$$\frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} = -\hat{n}^i \partial_i \Psi + \dot{\Phi} + \dot{\tau}_c \left[ -\Theta + \frac{1}{4}\delta_\gamma + \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_b \right], \quad \frac{d}{d\eta} \equiv \partial_\eta + \hat{n}^i \partial_i \quad (2.72)$$

in Legendre polynomials and solve for the multipoles  $\Theta_\ell(\eta, \mathbf{k})$ , it can be formally integrated to yield the CMB temperature anisotropy  $\Theta(\eta_0, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$  as seen by an observer at time  $\eta_0$ :

$$\Theta(\eta_0, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \int_0^{\eta_0} d\eta e^{-\tau(\eta)} \frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} \quad \text{where} \quad \tau(\eta) = \int_\eta^{\eta_0} d\eta' \dot{\tau}_c(\eta'). \quad (2.73)$$

Here,  $\tau(\eta)$  is the (average) optical depth along the line of sight.

(f) To see this, show that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\eta_0, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) &= \int_0^{\eta_0} d\eta e^{-\tau} \left( \frac{d\Theta}{d\eta} + \dot{\tau}_c \Theta \right) \\ &= \int_0^{\eta_0} d\eta e^{-\tau} \left[ -\hat{n}^i \partial_i \Psi + \dot{\Phi} + \dot{\tau}_c \left( -\Theta + \frac{1}{4}\delta_\gamma + \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_b \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.74)$$

Next, demonstrate that

$$\int_0^{\eta_0} d\eta e^{-\tau} (-\hat{n}^i \partial_i \Psi) = -e^{-\tau} \Psi \Big|_0^{\eta_0} + \int_0^{\eta_0} d\eta e^{-\tau} (\dot{\tau}_c \Psi + \dot{\Psi}) \quad (2.75)$$

Argue that the first term in the right-hand side can be neglected, and substitute this result into the expression of  $\Theta(\eta_0, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$  to obtain

$$\Theta(\eta_0, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \int_0^{\eta_0} d\eta e^{-\tau} \dot{\tau}_c \left( \frac{1}{4}\delta_\gamma + \Psi + \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_b \right) + \int_0^{\eta_0} d\eta e^{-\tau} (\dot{\Psi} + \dot{\Phi}). \quad (2.76)$$

Argue that the visibility function

$$g(\eta) \equiv \dot{\tau}_c e^{-\tau} = \frac{d\tau}{d\eta} e^{-\tau} \quad (2.77)$$

is sharply peaked around the decoupling or last scattering epoch  $\eta_{\text{dec}}$  to approximate  $\Theta(\eta_0, \hat{\mathbf{n}})$  as

$$\Theta(\eta_0, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \approx \left( \frac{1}{4}\delta_\gamma + \Psi + \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_b \right) (\eta_{\text{dec}}, \hat{\mathbf{n}}) + \int_0^{\eta_0} d\eta (\dot{\Psi} + \dot{\Phi}) \quad (2.78)$$

The first encode the contributions of the intrinsic photon density perturbation ( $\frac{1}{4}\delta_\gamma$ ), Sachs-Wolfe effect from the gravitational potential ( $\Psi$ ) and Doppler effect from the photon-baryon relative motion ( $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_b$ ). The second term is the integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect, which vanishes for time-independent potentials.