



# Search for Anomalous Electroweak Production of WW/WZ/ZZ Boson Pairs in Association with two Jets in p-p Collision at 13 TeV

La Thuile 2019 - Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d'Aoste La Thuile, Aosta Valley, Italy 10-16 March 2019

> Ram Krishna Sharma On Behalf of CMS Collaboration

### Vector Boson Scattering

- Without Higgs, vector boson scattering cross section would violate unitarity at the TeV scale.
- Vector boson scattering at the LHC probes triple and quartic gauge couplings
- Anomalous triple and quartic gauge couplings (aTGC, aQGC) would indicate the presence of new physics
  - Increases the cross-section at large di-boson mass and transverse momentum.
  - sensitive to new physics contributions in the kinematic tail.
- Anomalous couplings can be introduced as a model independent way using Effective Field Theory (EFT).



# aQGC in the EFT Framework

- BSM search using model independent way:
  - Modify triple and quartic gauge couplings by redefining SM Lagrangian.

$$L_{SM} \longrightarrow L_{eff} = L_{SM} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i} \frac{c_i^{(n)}}{\Lambda^n} \mathcal{O}_I^{(n+4)}$$

- $\Lambda >> m$  & L<sub>eff</sub>  $\rightarrow$  L<sub>sm</sub> as  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$
- An effective field theory is the low energy approximation to the new physics, where "low" means  $< \Lambda$

	WWWW	WWZZ	$WW\gamma Z$	WWγγ	ZZZZ	ZZZγ	ΖΖγγ	Ζγγγ	γγγγ
$\mathcal{O}_{S0}, \mathcal{O}_{S1}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$				
$\mathcal{O}_{M,0}, \mathcal{O}_{M,1}, \mathcal{O}_{M,6}, \mathcal{O}_{M,7}$	$\checkmark$								
$\mathcal{O}_{M,2}, \mathcal{O}_{M,3}, \mathcal{O}_{M,4}, \mathcal{O}_{M,5}$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
$\mathcal{O}_{T,0}, \mathcal{O}_{T,1}, \mathcal{O}_{T,2}$	$\checkmark$								
$\mathcal{O}_{T,5}, \mathcal{O}_{T,6}, \mathcal{O}_{T,7}$		$\checkmark$							
$\mathcal{O}_{T,8}, \mathcal{O}_{T,9}$					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Ram Krishna		La Thu	ile 2019			03/15/2	019	3	

# Introduction & Motivation-II

- WV/ZV production in association with two jets
  - Semi-leptonic final state with a boosted hadronic W/Z
- Benefits:
  - larger branching ratio than same sign analysis.
  - Full WW invariant mass reconstruction (neutrino p<sub>z</sub> calculation by constraining W-boson mass)
  - aQGC contribution from all possible vertex (for WVjj process):
    - WWWW, ZZWW,  $\gamma\gamma$ WW,  $\gamma$ ZWW, ZZZZ
  - It should significantly improve the current limits.



# Signal Selection

- Optimised for aQGC sensitivity.
- V boson candidate (reconstructed as merged jet):
   p<sub>T</sub> > 200 GeV, |η|<2.4, 65 < m<sub>V</sub> < 105 GeV</li>
- VBS Topology:
  - High pseudo-rapidity gap between VBF jets:  $\Delta \eta_{jj} > 4.0$
  - Larger di-jet invariant mass: M<sub>jj</sub> > 800 GeV
- Additional requirement to enhance aQGC:

• Zeppenfeld Variable : 
$$Z = \frac{\eta - \frac{\eta_{j1} + \eta_{j2}}{2}}{|\eta_{j1} - \eta_{j2}|} < 0.3$$
  
• Centrality: 
$$\xi_{V} = \min\{\Delta\eta_{-}, \Delta\eta_{+}\} > 1.0$$
  
• Mere,  

$$\Delta\eta_{-} = \min\{\eta(V_{had}), \eta(V_{lep})\} - \min\{\eta_{j1}, \eta_{j2}\}$$
  

$$\Delta\eta_{+} = \max\{\eta_{j1}, \eta_{j2}\} - \max\{\eta(V_{had}), \eta(V_{lep})\}$$



},

03/15/2019

#### Data driven background estimation for W(Z)+jets

- Large background from W (Z) + jets
  - Extrapolate data from side-band to signal region using transfer function (from simulation)
  - Accounts for data-MC differences in shape and normalisation.
- QCD initiated VV contribution taken from simulation (LO Madgraph)
- ttbar and single top background checked in top enriched control region



# WV/ZV Signal Extraction

- We used M<sub>vv</sub> distribution to get the limits for both WV and ZV channel.
  - SM EWK production is treated as background.

Final state	WV	ZV
Data	$\phantom{00000000000000000000000000000000000$	$47\pm7$
V+jets	$187\pm21$	$41.2\pm6.1$
top	$120\pm18$	$0.16\pm0.04$
SM QCD VV	$28\pm10$	$6.4\pm2.2$
SM EW VV	$17\pm2$	$>2.4\pm0.4$
Total bkg.	$352\pm21$	$50.1\pm5.9$
$f_{T2}/\Lambda^4 = -0.5, -2.5 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$	$22\pm1$	$7.6\pm0.6$
$m_{H_5} = 500$ GeV, $s_h = 0.5$	$40\pm1$	$4.3\pm0.1$

 Before doing this we estimated W+jets (for WV channel) and Z+jets (for ZV channel) in data driven way.



**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

#### La Thuile 2019

# Systematic Uncertainty

- Systematic uncertainty can affect the shape and normalisation of the M<sub>W</sub> distribution.
  - Largest impact is from signal theory uncertainty.
  - Experimental uncertainty is mainly dominated by jet energy scale/resolution and V+jet background estimation.

	Source	Shape	Signal	V+jets	SM EW	SM QCD VV	top
	QCD scale	$\checkmark$	9-20		12	30	
	PDF unc.	$\checkmark$	15		10	10	
	Jet momentum scale	$\checkmark$	1-9		1-9	3.0-15	5.0-7.0
1	V-jet selection		8.0		8.0	8.0	
	GM model EW		7.0				
	bkg. normalization			7-16			2.0
	V+jets shape	$\checkmark$		shape			_
	Integrated luminosity		2.5	_	2.5	2.5	_
	Lepton efficiency		1.0-2.0		1.0-2.0	1.0-2.0	
	Lepton momentum scale	$\checkmark$	0.2-0.4	_/	0.5	1.0-1.3	1.0
	b-quark jet efficiency		2.0	_ \	2.0	2.0	3.0
	Jet/MET resolution		4.0	_ \	3.0	2.0	
	Pileup modeling		4.0	<u> </u>	4.0	4.0	_
	Limited MC stat.	$\checkmark$	shape	$\langle \neq \rangle$	shape	shape	shape
Ra	m Krishna Sharma		La Thuile	2019		03/15/	2019

### **Results** – Anomalous Coupling Limits

- Limits for the WV and ZV final states and combination
  - As expected WV significantly more sensitive compared to ZV

	Observed (WV)	Expected (WV)	Observed $(ZV)$	Expected (ZV)	Observed	Expected
	$(\text{TeV}^{-4})$	$(\text{TeV}^{-4})$	$(\text{TeV}^{-4})$	$(\text{TeV}^{-4})$	$(\text{TeV}^{-4})$	$(\text{TeV}^{-4})$
$f_{S0}/\Lambda^4$	[-2.6, 2.7]	[-4.0, 4.0]	[-37, 37]	[-29, 29]	[-2.6, 2.7]	[-4.0, 4.0]
$f_{S1}/\Lambda^4$	[-3.2, 3.3]	[-4.9, 4.9]	[-30, 30]	[-23, 23]	[-3.3, 3.3]	[-4.9, 4.9]
$f_{M0}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.66, 0.66]	[-0.95, 0.95]	[-6.9, 6.9]	[-5.1, 5.1]	[-0.66, 0.66]	[-0.95, 0.95]
$f_{M1}/\Lambda^4$	[-1.9, 2.0]	[-2.8, 2.8]	[-21, 21]	[-15, 15]	[-1.9, 2.0]	[-2.8, 2.8]
$f_{M6}/\Lambda^4$	[-1.3, 1.3]	[-1.9, 1.9]	[-14, 14]	[-10, 10]	[-1.3, 1.3]	[-1.9, 1.9]
$f_{M7}/\Lambda^4$	[-3.3, 3.2]	[-4.8, 4.8]	[-33, 33]	[-24, 24]	[-3.3, 3.3]	[-4.8, 4.8]
$f_{T0}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.11, 0.10]	[-0.16, 0.15]	[-1.3, 1.3]	[-0.95, 0.95]	[-0.12, 0.10]	[-0.16, 0.15]
$f_{T1}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.11, 0.12]	[-0.17, 0.17]	[-1.4, 1.4]	[-0.98, 0.99]	[-0.11, 0.12]	[-0.17, 0.17]
$f_{T2}/\Lambda^4$	[-0.27, 0.27]	[-0.38, 0.38]	[-3.1, 3.2]	[-2.3, 2.3]	[-0.27, 0.27]	[-0.38, 0.38]

March 2019	CMS					
	ATLAS	C	Channel	Limits	Ldt	√s
$f_{\rm Max}/\Lambda^4$		W	VVγ	[-1.3e+02, 1.3e+02]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
·M,0 / * *		N N	VVγ	[-7.7e+01, 8.1e+01]	19.3 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
		Z	γ	[-7.1e+01, 7.5e+01]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
		Z	ζγ	[-7.6e+01, 6.9e+01]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
Easter of		v	Vγ	[-7.7e+01, 7.4e+01]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
Factor of	~ <b>O</b> H	S	s WW	[-6.0e+00, 5.9e+00]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
and a second starting	H	N	VZ	[-8.8e+00, 8.6e+00]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
	► <b>–</b> – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	n	γ→WW	[-2.8e+01, 2.8e+01]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
	н	ກ	γ→WW	[-4.2e+00, 4.2e+00]	24.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	7,8 TeV
		N	VV ZV	[-6.6e-01, 6.6e-01]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
f /A <sup>4</sup>		W	VVγ	[-2.1e+02, 2.1e+02]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
M,1 72		v	VVγ	[-1.3e+02, 1.2e+02]	19.3 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
		Z <sup>·</sup>	ζγ	[-1.9e+02, 1.8e+02]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
		Z <sup>·</sup>	ζγ	[-1.5e+02, 1.5e+02]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
		v	Vγ	[-1.2e+02, 1.3e+02]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
Eactor of	~ <b>1</b> H	S	s WW	[-8.7e+00, 9.1e+00]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
Factor Or	H	v	VZ	[-8.2e+00, 8.9e+00]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
e the second second second		<b>–</b> ო	γ→WW	[-1.1e+02, 1.0e+02]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
	<b>⊢</b> -	η	γ→WW	[-1.6e+01, 1.6e+01]	24.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	7,8 TeV
	H	N	VV ZV	[-1.9e+00, 2.0e+00]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
f / A <sup>4</sup>		N	VVγ	[-5.7e+01, 5.7e+01]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
I <sub>M,2</sub> //Y	<b>⊢−−−</b> 1	Z	γ	[-3.2e+01, 3.1e+01]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Z	Zγ	[-2.7e+01, 2.7e+01]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
	<b>⊢</b> −−−1	N	Vγ	[-2.6e+01, 2.6e+01]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
f / 1 4		- V	VVγ	[-9.5e+01, 9.8e+01]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
M,3 //	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Z	ζγ	[-5.8e+01, 5.9e+01]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Z	Zγ	[-5.2e+01, 5.2e+01]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
		N	Vγ	[-4.3e+01, 4.4e+01]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
f / 1 4		W	VVγ	[-1.3e+02, 1.3e+02]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
I <sub>M,4</sub> //X	<b>⊢−−−−</b>	N	Vγ	[-4.0e+01, 4.0e+01]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
f / A <sup>4</sup>		W	VVγ	[-2.0e+02, 2.0e+02]	20.2 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
M,5 //		N	Vγ	[-6.5e+01, 6.5e+01]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
$f / \Lambda^4$		W	Vγ	[-1.3e+02, 1.3e+02]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
	tor of ~9 H	S	s WW	[-1.2e+01, 1.2e+01]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
	H	v	VV ZV	[-1.3e+00, 1.3e+00]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
$f / \Lambda^4$		W	Vγ	[-1.6e+02, 1.6e+02]	19.7 fb <sup>-1</sup>	8 TeV
	or of ~1	S	s WW	[-1.3e+01, 1.3e+01]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 TeV
Faci		I . W	VV ZV	[-3.3e+00, 3.3e+00]	35.9 fb <sup>-1</sup>	13 (TeV
_2	00 0	200		400	600	800
-		200				<b></b> /-
				aQGC Limits	@95% C.I	[TeV*1
						]

#### Introduction & Motivation-III (Result interpretation using charged Higgs model)

- Considering extended Higgs sector model: Georgi, Machacek (GM) model.
  - Extension of scalar sector using triplet Higgs field.
- Main feature:
  - Maintains custodial symmetry at tree level.
  - provides majorana mass to neutrino via Type-II Seesaw mechanism.
- It has triplet field:
  - Allows fermiophobic H<sup>±±</sup> and H<sup>±</sup>produced via VBF.
  - Higher cross-section of  $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow WW$



# Charged-Higgs Limits

#### Model independent limit on singly and doubly charged Higgs production.





- Search for aQGC in WVjj and ZVjj at 13 TeV
  - Data sample of 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected with CMS detector in 2016.
- Semi-leptonic final states not sensitive to SM EW production yet with 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> data sample
  - But give stringent limits on AQGC
  - Signal extraction was done using invariant mass of WV/ZV system (M<sub>wv/zv</sub>)
  - Significant improvement in limits with respect to the fully leptonic searches
- Using same final state, set the model independent limit on the resonant charged Higgs production.

**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

La Thuile 2019



## Signal & Background

- VVJJ (aQGC EWK): Electroweak production of VVJJ with contributions from aQGC.
- **VVJJ (EWK) :** Electroweak production of WWJJ.
- VVJJ (QCD initiated): Irreducible background for analysis.
- **W+Jets:** Most dominating background.
- tt **Jets**: Top quark always decays to one b-quark and one W boson. So,  $t\bar{t} \rightarrow bWbW \rightarrow bl\nu l\nu$ , if we mis-measure one lepton and one b quark form jets.
- Drell-Yan: Z/Gamma decays to I+I- and we mis-measure one I because of acceptance or inefficiency effects, gives missing energy.
- Single top production: Here  $t \rightarrow bW \rightarrow bl\nu$ , and 3 jets is reconstructed.

### Centrality and Zeppenfeld Definition

Boson Centrality (Phys. Rev. D 95, 032001)

$$\xi_{V} = min\{\Delta \eta_{-}, \Delta \eta_{+}\}$$
where,  

$$\Delta \eta_{-} = min\{\eta(V_{had}), \eta(V_{lep})\} - min\{\eta_{j1}, \eta_{j2}\},$$

$$\Delta \eta_{+} = max\{\eta_{j1}, \eta_{j2}\} - max\{\eta(V_{had}), \eta(V_{lep})\}$$
•  $\xi > 0$ : Both W's should be within VBF jets  
•  $\xi < 0$ : One or both lepton are at larger  $|\eta|$   
than the VBF jets



WV Channel	_		V Channel	3019
<ul> <li>Final Selection Electron</li> </ul>	ns (Muons)	• Final S	Selection	
<ul> <li>Exactly 1 lepton</li> </ul>		• Exa	actly 2 leptons	
<ul> <li>For electrons exclude</li> <li>η &lt; 1.566</li> </ul>	le region 1.4442	• 76	< m <sub>LL</sub> < 107	
<ul> <li>MET &gt; 80 GeV (50 GeV)</li> <li>Fat Jet (having radiu</li> </ul>	GeV) Is parameter 0.8):	• Lar	ge radius parameter jet:	
<ul> <li>65&lt; m<sub>W</sub> &lt; 105, T</li> <li>VBE jets (baying rad)</li> </ul>	au2/Tau1 < 0.55	•	65< mz < 105, Tau2/Tau1 0.55	<
• m <sub>ii</sub> > 800 GeV. dE	ta > $4.0$	• VB	F jets:	
<ul> <li>Boson-Centrality &gt; <sup>1</sup></li> <li>Lontonic zopponfold</li> </ul>	1.0	•	m <sub>jj</sub> > 800 GeV, dEta > 4.0	)
<ul> <li>Leptonic zeppenield &lt; 0.3</li> <li>Hadronic zeppenfeld &lt; 0.3</li> </ul>		• mz	v > 600	
• m <sub>wv</sub> > 600		• Fit m <sub>v</sub>	v distribution to get limits	)
Ram Krishna Sharma	La Thuile 2019	9	03/15/2019	1

Event-Selection

## aQGC parameters to probe

$$\mathcal{L}_{S,0} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu}\Phi \right] \times \left[ (D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{S,1} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \times \left[ (D_{\nu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\nu}\hat{W}^{\nu\beta} \right] \times \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,2} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,3} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu}B^{\nu\beta} \right] \times \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,4} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \times B^{\beta\nu} \\\mathcal{L}_{M,5} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}\hat{W}^{\beta\nu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,6} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}\hat{W}^{\beta\mu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \\\mathcal{L}_{M,7} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\hat{W}_{\beta\nu}\hat{W}^{\beta\mu}D^{\nu}\Phi \right]$$

The operators in the red box are the one which we considered in our analysis.

• **Dimension 8 operators:** Lowest dimension operators that modify the quartic boson interactions.

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{W}^{\alpha\beta} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\beta} \hat{W}^{\alpha\nu} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\beta\nu} \hat{W}^{\nu\alpha} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,3} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \hat{W}^{\nu\alpha} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,4} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\beta\nu} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,5} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,6} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,7} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,8} = B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{T,9} = B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$
Ref: Phys.Rev. D74 (2006) 073005

**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

La Thuile 2019

## Neutrino pyz calculation

(To reconstruct leptonic W-boson invariant mass)

$$p_{\nu z} = \frac{1}{2 \times A} \left[ -b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4 \times A \times C} \right]$$

Where,

$$A = 4(E_l^2 - p_{lz}^2)$$
  

$$b = -4ap_{lz}$$
  

$$C = 4E_l^2 p_{\nu T}^2 - a^2$$
  

$$a = M_w^2 - M_l^2 + 2(p_{lx} p_{\nu x} + p_{ly} p_{\nu y})$$

Full calculation: link

- Picked solution which is closest to lepton pz.
- If roots are complex then take real part.

#### Data driven background estimation for V+jets (Alpha-Ratio Method)

#### • To get V+jet contribution from data in signal region:

 $N_{signal}^{Data,W+Jets}(M_{WW}) = \alpha(M_{WW}) \times N_{sideband}^{Data}(M_{WW})$ 

#### • Alpha (taken from MC) is defined as:

$$\alpha(M_{WW}) = \frac{N_{signal}^{MC,W+Jets}(M_{WW})}{N_{sideband}^{MC,W+Jets}(M_{WW})} = \frac{N_{signal}^{Data}(M_{WW})}{N_{sideband}^{Data}(M_{WW})}$$

Large background from W (Z) + jets

- Extrapolate data from side-band to signal region using alpha (also known as transfer function)
- Accounts for data-MC differences in shape and normalisation.

### **Results** - Anomalous Coupling Limits

aQGC Parameters Previous published limits		Our Limits					
		WV Channel	ZV Channel	<b>Combined Limit</b>			
FS0	[-7.7,7.7]	[-2.6,2.7]	[-37,37]	[-2.6, 2.7]			
FS1	[-22,22]	[-3.2,3.3]	[-30,30]	[-3.3,3.3]			
FT0	[-0.46,0.44]	[-0.11,0.10]	[-1.3,1.3]	[-0.12,0.10]			
FT1	[-0.28,0.31]	[-0.11,0.12]	[-1.4,1.4]	[-0.11,0.12]			
FT2	[-0.89,1.0]	[-0.27,0.27]	[-3.1,3.2]	[-0.27,0.27]			
FM0	[-4.2,4.2]	[-0.66,0.66] [-6.9,6.9]		[-0.66,0.66]			
FM1	[-8.7,9.1]	[-1.9,2.0] [-21,21]		[-1.9,2.0]			
FM6	[-12,12]	[-1.3,1.3]	[-14,14]	[-1.3,1.3]			
FM7	[-13,13]	[-3.3,3.2] [-33,33] [-3		[-3.3,3.3]			

#### **Reference:**

1. <u>https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsSMPaTGC#aQGC\_Results</u>

**Ram Krishna Sharma** 

La Thuile 2019