Doubly charmed baryons Les Rencontres de Physique de la Vallée d'Aoste 10th—16th March 2019

> Murdo Thomas Traill on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

> > University | Experimental









- 2 LHCb detector
- $\bigcirc$   $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  discovery
- 4  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  lifetime
- 5 Additional decay modes of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  [NEW]
- Prospects and outlook
- 🕖 Summary



#### Overview and status

- Doubly charmed baryons (DCBs) of the form  $QQq~(Q\equiv c;q\in u,d,s)$ 
  - Ground states:  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu), \Xi_{cc}^{+}(ccd)$  and  $\Omega_{cc}^{+}(ccs)$  with  $J^{P} = 1/2^{+}$
  - Only one DCB discovered so far:  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  (mass & lifetime measured)
  - Production cross-section and quantum numbers remain unmeasured

#### Motivation

• DCBs provide new and unique testing grounds for studies of QCD

 e.g. In HQET two heavy charm quarks can be considered as a single static di-quark reducing it to a simpler Qq system







#### Expected properties

- $m(\Xi_{cc}^{+}) \simeq m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) \& m(\Omega_{cc}^{+}) \simeq m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) + 100$  MeV
  - ▶ From Lattice QCD, bag model, QCD sum rules, quark model etc. [1–7]
- Large spread in lifetime predictions for DCBs:
  - ▶ Between 100–250fs and  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) > \tau(\Omega_{cc}^{+}) > \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{+})$  [8–11]
  - ►  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++})/\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{+}) = 3-4 \implies$  main reason  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  searches were prioritised

#### Generation and decay properties

- Dedicated doubly heavy MC generator: GENXICC [12]
  - Dominated by  $gg 
    ightarrow [cc] + ar{c} + ar{c}$  process
- Decay weakly with high multiplicity
   makes reconstructing decays challenging



# LHCb detector and data



Why are we good at finding doubly charmed baryons?

- Excellent particle identification (RICHes & Muon stations)
- Superb vertex resolution to isolate DCBs from lighter hadrons (VELO)



• Most measurements discussed in this talk are from 2016 *pp* data (around 18% of total recorded luminosity at LHCb)



#### Discovery of the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ doubly charmed baryon

 $\Xi_{cc}^{++} 
ightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ 

- LHCb announced discovery of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  baryon in 2017 after studying the decay chain  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  (CF),  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$  (CF)
- Analysis selection developed using simulated signal and data control modes
- Significance  $> 12\sigma$  with 1.67 fb<sup>-1</sup> ( $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV) of *pp* data
- Significance >  $7\sigma$  with 2.08 fb<sup>-1</sup> ( $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV) of pp data



- m  $(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.40 \pm 0.72$  (stat)  $\pm 0.27$  (syst)  $\pm 0.14$  ( $\Lambda_c^+$ ) MeV/ $c^2$  [13]
  - Last uncertainty is due to the limited knowledge of  $\Lambda_c^+$  mass

# $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ lifetime



#### Weak decay confirmed

- Same data as  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  analysis with extra trigger requirement
- $\bullet\,$  Decay-time distribution measured relative to  $\Lambda^0_b\to\Lambda^+_c\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ 
  - Same selection requirements applied to both decays and common systematic effects largely cancel
  - Lifetime acceptances taken from simulation



• Result from fit to data:  $au(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 0.256^{+0.024}_{-0.022}$  (stat)  $\pm$  0.014 (syst) ps [14]

 $\Xi_{\it cc}^{++}$  background subtracted data

#### Confirmed existence

 $\equiv^+_c \pi^+$ 

- Searching for more modes to understand decay dynamics of DCBs
- Searched for  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$  (CF),  $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+$  (SCS) in 2016 data
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+) \simeq 10\% \ \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ \kappa^- \pi^+ \pi^+) \ [15]$
- ▶ 4 final-state tracks ⇒ better reconstruction efficiency

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (syst)}$$

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (syst)}$$

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (syst)}$$

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (syst)}$$

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (syst)}$$

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (syst)}$$

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (syst)}$$

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.003 \text{ (syst)} \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.003 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.00$$

Branching fractions

 $\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+) = 6.35\% [16] \\ \mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \to pK^-\pi^+) = 2.20\% [15]$ 



Trying for 3 in a row!

 $\Xi_{cc}^{++} 
ightarrow D^+ 
ho K^- \pi^+$ 

• Searched for  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$  (CF),  $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  (CF) in 2016 data

LHCb-PAPER-2019-011 (in preparation)

- Reasons motivated by experimental expectations:
  - Excellent  $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  trigger
  - ▶ Long lifetime of  $D^+$  (1 ps)  $\implies$  flies further from  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  decay point
  - ► Could expect  $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to D^+ p K^- \pi^+) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$



• Selection of data designed similarly to  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  analysis; performed blind and with use of multivariate machine learning techniques

LHCb-PAPER-2019-011 (in preparation) 🏠



#### After selection

• High purity (80%) of  $D^+$  candidates

 $ightarrow D^+ 
ho K^- \pi^+$  🗰

- No  $\equiv_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$  signal in 2016 data
- Mass distributions of wrong-signed (WS) data and real-signed (RS) data look similar





LHCb-PAPER-2019-011 (in preparation)



#### Setting limits

Using CLs method [18] to set upper limits on:

 $\rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$ 

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to D^{+}pK^{-}\pi^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+})} = \frac{N(D^{+}pK^{-}\pi^{+})}{N(\Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+})} \times \frac{\varepsilon(\Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+})}{\varepsilon(D^{+}pK^{-}\pi^{+})} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \to pK^{-}\pi^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(D^{+} \to K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+})}$$

$$\sim N(\Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+})$$

$$= 184 \pm 29 \text{ (from data)}$$

$$\sim \varepsilon(\Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+})/\varepsilon(D^{+}pK^{-}\pi^{+})$$

$$= 0.46 \pm 0.01 \text{ (from simulation)}$$

$$\sim \mathcal{R} < 1.5 (1.9) \times 10^{-2} @ 90\% (95\%) \text{ CL}$$

$$[preliminary result]$$

$$\sim \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Sigma_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+})}{0.005 0.01 0.015 0.02 0.025 0.03 0.035} R$$

• Better understanding of resonant and non-resonant contributions in  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ \rho K^- \pi^+$  needed to explain large difference in branching fractions

# DCB roadmap



#### Current work

- Production cross-section  $\sigma(pp \to \Xi_{cc}^{++} + X)$  analysis progressing well
- Update on  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  search soon
  - ► SELEX collaboration reported signals of Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>cc</sub> in this mode in 2002 [19] but is inconsistent with being isospin partner of LHCb's Ξ<sup>++</sup><sub>cc</sub> state [20]
- Searching for  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  baryon in decays of  $\Xi_{cc}^+\to \Xi_c^+\pi^+\pi^-$
- $\bullet$  Dedicated  $\varOmega_{\it cc}^+$  search programme started as well

#### What about in the future?

- Establishing quantum numbers (J<sup>P</sup> etc.)
- Searches for excited  $\Xi_{cc}^*$  and  $\Omega_{cc}^*$  states



# LHCb aims to build an accurate and concise picture of doubly charmed baryons as a whole



#### LHCb are very active in the studies of doubly charmed baryons

- Observed  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  baryon decaying to  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  and  $\Xi_c^+ \pi^+$  final states
  - Established its mass and lifetime
- No evidence of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$  decay in 2016 data but larger data sets are available
  - Implications for dynamics of weakly decaying doubly charmed baryons



- Diverse programme of DCB studies currently in progress with more data
  - Includes the much anticipated search of singly charged  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  baryon

#### Hopefully some more doubly charming results coming very soon!

## References I



- C. Alexandrou and C. Kallidonis, Low-lying baryon masses using N<sub>f</sub> = 2 twisted mass clover-improved fermions directly at the physical pion mass, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034511, arXiv:1704.02647.
- [2] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, *Heavy baryons in a quark model*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A23 (2008) 2817, arXiv:0711.2492.
- [3] D.-H. He et al., Evaluation of spectra of baryons containing two heavy quarks in bag model, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 094004, arXiv:hep-ph/0403301.
- [4] Z.-G. Wang, Analysis of the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> doubly heavy baryon states with QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. A45 (2010) 267, arXiv:1001.4693.
- [5] C.-H. Chang, C.-F. Qiao, J.-X. Wang, and X.-G. Wu, Estimate of the hadronic production of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ<sub>cc</sub> under GM-VFN scheme, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 094022, arXiv:hep-ph/0601032.
- [6] A. Valcarce, H. Garcilazo, and J. Vijande, *Towards an understanding of heavy baryon spectroscopy*, Eur. Phys. J. A37 (2008) 217, arXiv:0807.2973.
- [7] J.-R. Zhang and M.-Q. Huang, *Doubly heavy baryons in QCD sum rules*, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 094007, arXiv:0810.5396.

## References II



- [8] C.-H. Chang, T. Li, X.-Q. Li, and Y.-M. Wang, Lifetime of doubly charmed baryons, Commun. Theor. Phys. 49 (2008) 993, arXiv:0704.0016.
- [9] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, and A. P. Martynenko, Mass spectra of doubly heavy baryons in the relativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 014008, arXiv:hep-ph/0201217.
- [10] B. Guberina, B. Melic, and H. Stefancic, *Inclusive decays and lifetimes of doubly charmed baryons*, Eur. Phys. J. C9 (1999) 213, arXiv:hep-ph/9901323.
- [11] A. V. Berezhnoy, A. K. Likhoded, and A. V. Luchinsky, *Doubly heavy baryons at the LHC*, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 113004, arXiv:1809.10058.
- [12] C.-H. Chang, J.-X. Wang, and X.-G. Wu, *GENXICC2.0: An upgraded version* of the generator for hadronic production of double heavy baryons  $\Xi_{cc}$ ,  $\Xi_{bc}$  and  $\Xi_{bb}$ , Comput. Phys. Commun. **181** (2010) 1144, arXiv:0910.4462.
- [13] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Observation of the doubly charmed baryon  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ , Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) 112001, arXiv:1707.01621.

## References III



- [14] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the lifetime of the doubly charmed baryon Z<sub>cc</sub><sup>++</sup>, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018) 052002, arXiv:1806.02744.
- [15] F.-S. Yu et al., Discovery Potentials of Doubly Charmed Baryons, Chin. Phys. C42 (2018) 051001, arXiv:1703.09086.
- [16] Particle Data Group, M. Tanabashi *et al.*, *Review of particle physics*, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018) 030001.
- [17] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *First observation of the doubly charmed baryon decay*  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$  *decay*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018) 162002, arXiv:1807.01919.
- [18] A. L. Read, Presentation of search results: The CL(s) technique, J. Phys. G28 (2002) 2693.
- [19] SELEX collaboration, M. Mattson et al., First observation of the doubly charmed baryon \(\mathbf{\Xi}\_{cc}^+\), Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 112001, arXiv:hep-ex/0208014.
- [20] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, *Isospin splittings in baryons with two heavy quarks*, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 033004, arXiv:1706.06961.